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## FOREWORD

It is a well recognized fact that the level of agricultural production in India is one of the lowest in the world and it is only by the exploitation of scientific methods of agriculture that we can hope to increase our agricultural production to the level necessary for providing a reasonable standard of living to the country's population. Properly planned and conducted field experiments provide a reliable basis for propagating improved agricultural techniques among farmers. A number of research institutes and other experimental - centres are functioning under the Central Ministry of Agriculture, the Commodity Committees and the State Governments, in which research on agricultural problems is going on. The need for an integrated account of the researches done in these organisations and institutions in the country has been felt for a long time, particularly in the context of planning. The absence of such a unified account has often led to duplication of work and delay in the utilisation of the results for practical farming. The Institute of Agricultural Research Statistics of the Indian Council of Agricultural Research has, therefore, rendered a most timely service by preparing a compendium of all agricultural field experiments conducted in India upto 1953 and similar compendia are under preparation by the Institute for subsequent years.

The present compendium contains critical summaries of results of experiments bearing on important agronomic factors such as the responses of crops to fertilizers and manures, inter relationship of fertilizers, varieties and cultivation practices and other information of value for giving sound advice to farmers in different regions. I am sure that these results will bé fully utilised by agricultural institutions, research workers, planners and extension organisations. The chief merit of the present publication is that it brings together in one place the results of experimentation carried out under diverse soil, climatic and agricultural conditions obtaining in India. Workers in one State can thus supplement data for their own area by results from other regions where conditions may be similar and thereby re-inforce their own conclusions. For the same reason I hope that this publication will be of use to workers in other countries also.

A Standing Committee consisting of the Agricultural Commissioner with the Government of India, the Director, Indian Agricultural Research Institute and the Statistical Adviser, Indian Council of Agricultural Research, has been set up to provide general guidance to the work under this scheme. I congratulate the members of this Committee and in particular the Statistical Adviser and his associates at the Institute of Agricultural Research Statistics for bringing out this compendium. The preparation of this compendium has been made possible only by the whole hearted co-operation of the States and other organisations in making available the results of their experimental researches for this purpose. My thanks are due to the officers of the State Departments of Agriculture and other institutions for participating in this work. I hope that the present series will be followed by periodical publication of similar compendia for later years, in order that the availability, in a consolidated form, of results of scientific experiments in agriculture in India may be maintained up-to date.
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## PREFACE

A large number of agricultural field experiments on different problems is being conducted in the country by Central and State Governments; Research Institutes, Commodity Committees and other organisations engaged in agricultural research. In addition, a number of schemes involving field experimentation is sponsored by the Indian Council of Agricultural Research in different States. The absence of a unified record of the results of these various experiments has considerably handicapped planning of further research and development and has often led to duplication of efforts.

Vaidyanathan brought out in 1933 a useful catalogue of manurial experiments conducted in India till then. Considering that Vaidyanathan's work was confined to manurial experiments and the fact that an enormous increase has taken place in the number and scope of agronomic experiments in recent years in India, the Indian Council of Agricultural Research launched the scheme of National Index of Field Experiments in 1954. The object of the scheme was two-fold :
(i) the preparation of compendium of all the field experiments for the period 1935-53 and
(ii) the preparation of index cards for individual experiments from 1954 onwards.

Under the scheme, results of all agricultural field experiments other than purely varietal trials were to be consolidated. Subsequently at the time of the extension of the scheme in 1959 it was decided that the compendium would be prepared in the first instance for the period $1948 \times 53$ and a similar compendium would be prepared for the period 195459. The present series for the period 1948-53 has been prepared in pursuance of this decision.

The compendium is divided into 15 volumes one each for (1) Andhra Tradesh (2) Assam, Manipur and Tripura (3) Bihar (4) Gujarat (5) Kerala (6) Madhya Pradesh (7) Madras (8) Maharashtra (9) Mysore (10) Orissa (11) Punjab, Jammu \& Kashmir and Himachal Pradesh (12) Rajasthan (13) Uttar Pradesh (14) West Bengal and (15) all Central Institutes. In each volume back-ground information of the respective State regarding its physical features, soils, rainfall and climate, agricultural production and area under different crops is given. A map showing different regions of the State, soils and agricultural research farms is also included. The experiments reported in each volume have been arranged cropwise for each State. All the experiments belonging to a particular crop at various research stations are grouped together. For a particular crop, experiments are arranged according to the following classification :

- Manurial (M), Cultural (C), Irrigational (I), Diseases, Pests and Chemicals other than fertilisers (D), Rotational (R), Mixed Cropping (X) and combinations of these wherever they occur (e.g., CM as Cultural-cum-Manurial). Experiments in which crop varieties also form a factor are denoted by adding V to their symbol and are given together (e.g., MV as Manurial-cum-Varietal). The results of an experiment are given along with other basic information such as rotation of crops followed, cultural practices adopted, etc.

For making maximum use of the experimental data all the important tables giving the average yields of various treatments along with the appropriate standard errors have been presented. No attempt has, however, been made to summarise the data of groups of experiments on any particular item and to draw any general conclusions. This will be done for the period 1948-59 while publishing the compendium for the period 1954-59.

This publication is the result of the co-operative endeavour of a large number of persons both at the Centre and in the States. I should particularly mention in this connection, guidance and help rendered in the formulation of the scheme by Dr. D.J. Finney F.R.S. of Aberdeen University, Scotland, during his stay at the Institute of Agricultural Research Statistics as an F.A.O. Statistical Expert in 1952-53.

At the Institute of Agricultural Research Statistics, the work under the scheme was carried out under the supervision and guidance of Shri T.P. Abraham, Assistant Statistical Adviser. Shri G.A. Kulkarni, Statistician, looked after the detailed working of the scheme. These officers have been largely responsible for the preparation of the manuscript of the compendium and it is a pleasure to thank them for the hard work they have put in for getting this compendium ready. Messrs O.P. Kathuria, B.V. Srikantiah, M.L. Sahni, B.P. Dyundi, S.D. Bal and P K. Jain of the statistical staff of the Institute deserve special mention for their careful scrutiny of the data and preparation of the material for the compendium. Thanks are also due to Dr. Uttam Chand, Professor of Statistics, now with the Central Statistical Orgainsation, Shri K.S. Avadhany, Assistant Statistician, also now with the Central Statistical Organisation, and Shri K.C. Raut, Statistician in this office who were associated with the scheme in its initial stages.

The burden of collecting data from original records by visiting different resear.h stations and the analysis of a large number of experiments, only the primary data for which had been recorded in the files, fell on the regional staff appointed by the Indian Council of Agricultural Research in different States. They deserve to be congratulated for the patient work they have put in. The State Departments of Agriculture, Central Institutes and Commodity Committees made data for the experiments conducted within their jurisdiction readily available. The Indian Council of Agricultural Research acknowledges this willing co-operation without which the consolidation of the results would not have been possible. Various State officers who helped the project by making the data accessible to the satistical staff of the project and worked as the regional supervisors for the scheme also deserve thanks by the Council fcr their active help. The list of names of the regional supervisors is given on the following page.

V.G. Panse

New Delfi,
August 16, 1962.

## Statistical Adviser

Institute of Agricultural Research Statistics
(I.C.A.R.)

## REGIONAL SUPERVISORS FOR THE NATIONAL INDEX OF FIELD EXPERIMENTS

|  | Region and headquaters | Regional Supervisors: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh (Hyderabad) | Shri D.V.G. Krishnamoorthy, <br> Deputy Director of Food Production; Andhra Pradesh. <br> Shri Jagannath Rao, <br> Joint Director of Agriculture (Research), Andhra Pradesh. <br> Dr. Khadruddin Khan, <br> Joint Director of Agriculture (Research), Andhra Pradesh. <br> Dr. Wahiuddin, <br> Headquarters Deputy Director of Agriculture (Research), <br> Andhra Pradesh. |
| 2. | Assam, Manipur and Tripura (Shillong) | Shri L.K. Handique, <br> Director of Agriculture, Assam. <br> Shri S. Majid, <br> Director of Agriculture, Assam. <br> Dr. S.R. Barooha, <br> Director of Agriculture, Assam. |
| 3. | Bihar <br> (Sabour) | Dr. R. Richaria, <br> Principal, Agriculture College, Sabour. <br> Shri R.S. Roy, <br> Principal, Agriculture College, Sabour. |
| 4. | Kerala <br> (Trivandrum) | Shri N. Shankara Menon, <br> Director of Agriculture, Kerala. <br> Shri P.D. Nair, <br> Director of Agriculture, Kerala. |
| 5. | Madhya Pradesh (Gwalior) | Dr. T'.R. Mehta, <br> Principal, Agriculture College, Gwalior. |
| 6. | Madras <br> (Coimbatore) | Shri C.R. Sheshadri, <br> Vice-Principal \& Secretary, Research Council, Agriculture College, Coimbatore. <br> Shri P.A. Venkateswaran, <br> Vice-Principal \& Secretary, Research Council, Agriculture College, Coimbatore. <br> Late Shri M. Bhavani Sankara Rao, <br> Vice-Principal \& Secretary, Research Council, Agriculture College, Coimbatore. <br> Shri T. Natarajan, <br> Agronomist \& Secretary, Research Council, Agriculture College, Coimbatore. <br> Shri A.H. Sarma, <br> Extension Specialist \& Secretary, Research Council, Agriculture College, Coimbatore. |
| 7. | Maharashtra \& Gjuarat (Former Bo Statiz) (Poona) | Shri D.S. Ranga Rao, bay Statistician, Department of Agriculture, Poona. |
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8. Mysors Shri A. Anant Padmanabha Rau, (Bangalore) State Statistician, Mysore State.
9. Orissa
(Bhubaneshwar)
10. Punjab, Jammu \& Kashmir and Himachal
Pradesh (Chandigarh)
11. Rajasthan
(Jaipur)
12. Uttar Pradesh (Lucknow)
13. West Bengal
(Calcutta)

Dr. U.N. Mohanty,
Dy. Director of Agriculture (H.Q.), Orissa.
Shri P.S. Sahota,
Satistician, Department of Agriculture, Punjab.

Shri H.C. Kothari,
Satistician, Department of Agriculture, Rajasthan.
Dr. K. Kishen,
Chief Statistician to Govt. of U.P.
Department of Agriculture, U.P.
Shri S.N. Mukherjee,
Statistical Officer,
Directorate of Agriculture,
West Bengal.
Dr. S. Basu,
Statistical Officer,
Directorate of Agriculture,
West Bengal.

## ABBREVIATIONS COMMON TO EXPERIMENTS ON ANNUAL AND PERENNIAL CROPS AND EXPERIMENTS ON CULTIVATORS' FIELDS

Crop :- In the top left coner is given the name of the crop on which the experiment is conducted. Within brackets along side the crop is mentioned the season wherever the information is available.

Ref :- Against the sub-title 'reference' is mentioned the name of the State, the year in which the experiment is conducted and the serial number of the experiment for that year given in brackets.

| Abbreviations adopted for States are as follows :- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A.P. | Andhra Pradesh | Mn. | Manipur |
| As. | Assam | Mh. | Maharashtra |
| Bh. | Bihar | Ms. | Mysore |
| Dl. | Delhi | M.P. | Madhya Pradesh |
| Gj. | Gujarat | Or. | Orissa |
| H.P. | Himachal Pradesh | Pb. | Punjab |
| J.K. | Jammu \& Kashmir | Rj. | Rajasthan |
| K. | Kerala | Tr. | Tripura |
| M. | Madras | U.P. | Uttar Pradesh |
|  |  | W.B. | West Bengal |

Repetition of the experiment in other years is indicated in the same line against 'reference' by stating the year and serial number for each repetition side by side e.g. U.P. 53(19)/52(42)/51(20) etc.

Site :- Name of the Research Station is mentioned along with the place where it is located, e.g. Agri. Res. Stn. for Agricultural Research Station.

For Central Institutes, the corresponding standard abbreviations have been adopted e.g. I.A.R.I. for Indian Agricultural Research Institute.

Type :- Abbreviations used against this item are one or more than one of the following:-

C-Cultural ; D-Control of Diseases and Pests; I—Irrigational ; M-Manurial; $\mathbf{R}$-Rotational ; V-Varietal and X-Mixed cropping. e.g. CM. is to be read as Cultural-cum-Manurial.

Results :- Information under this heading should be read against the following items:-
(i) General mean. (ii) S.E. per plot. (iii) Result of test of significance. (iv) Summary table (s) with S.E. of comparison (s).

Abbreviations used in the text of the experiments :-
ac.-acre.
Ammo. Phos.-Ammonium Phosphate.
A/N-Ammonium Nitrate.
A/S-Ammonium Sulphate.
B.D.-Basal Dressing.
B.M.-Bone Meal.
C.L.-Cart load.
C.M.-Cattle Manure.

C/N-Ghilean Nitrate.
C/S-Copper Sulphate.
F.M.-Fish Meal or Fish Manure.
F.W.C.-Farm Waste Compost.

| F.Y.M.-Farm Yard Manure. | N.-Nitrogen. |
| :--- | :--- |
| G.M.-Green Manure. | Nitro phos-Nitro phosphate. |
| G.N.C.-Groundnut cake. | P.-Phosphate. |
| K.-Potash. | Pot. Sul.-Potassium Sulphate. |
| lb. - Pounds. | Super-Super Phosphate. |
| M.C.-Municipal Compost. | T.C.-Town compost. |
| Mur. Pot.-Muriate of Potash. | Zn. Sul.-Zinc Sulphate. |

## BASAL CONDITIONS

Information under the above heading to be read against the following items :

## A. For annual crops :

(i) (a) Crop rotation if any. (b) Previous crop. (c) Manuring of previous crops. (State amount and kind). (ii) (a) Soil type. (b) Soil analysis. (iii) Date of sowing/ planting. (iv) Cultural practices. (a) Preparatory cultivation. (b) Method of sowing/planting. (c) Seed-rate. (d) Spacing. (e) No. of seedlings per hole. (v) Basal manuring with time and method of application. (vi) Variety. (vii) Irrigated or Unirrigated. (viii) Post-sowing, planting cultural operations. (ix) Rainfall during crop season (State name of the season along with the month). (x) Date of harvest.
B. For perennial crops:
(i) History of site including manuring and other operations. (ii) (a) Soil type. (b) Soil analysis. (iii) Method of propagation of plants. (iv) Variety. (v) Date and method of sowing/planting. (vi) Age of seedling at the time of planting. (vii) Basal dressing with time and method of application. (viii) Cultural operations during the year. (ix) Inter cropping if any. (x) Irrigated or Unirrigated. (xi) Rainfall during crop season. (xii) Date of harvest.
C. For experiments on cultivators' fields:
(i) (a) Crop rotation, if any. (b) Previous crop. (c) Manuring of previous crop. (ii) Soil type in general. (iii) Basal manuring with time and method of application. (iv) Variety. (v) Cultural practices. (a) Preparatory cultivation. (b) Method of sowing. (c) Seed-rate. (d) Spacing. (e) No. of seedings per hole. (vi Period of, sowing/planting per hold. (vii) Irrigated or Unirrigated. (viii) Post-sowing/planting cultural operations. (ix) Rainfall during crop season. (x) Period of harvesting.

## DESIGN

Information under this heading to be read against the following items :

## A. For annual crops:

(i) Abbreviations for designs : C.R.D.-Completely Randomised Design. R.B.D.Randomised Block Design ; L. Sq.-Latin Square ; Confd.-Confounded ; Fact.-Factorial. (other designs and modifications of the above to be indicated in full). (ii) (a) No. of plots per block. (b) Block dimensions (iii) No. of replications. (iv) Plot size. (a) Gross. (b) Net. (v) Border or guard rows kept. (vi) Whether treatments are randomised (separately in each block).

## B. For perennial crops :

(i) Abbreviations for designs : C.R.D.-Completely Randomised Design ; R.B.D.-Randomised Block Design; L. Sq.-Latin Square ; Confd.-Confounded. (other designs and modifications of the above indicated in full). (ii) (a) No. of plots per block. (b) Block dimensions. (iii) No. of replications. (iv) No. of trees/plot. (v) Border or guard rows kept. (vi) Are treatments randomised.

## C. For experiments on cultivators' fields :

(i) Method of selection of experimental sites. (ii) No. and distribution of experiments.
(iii) Plot size. (a) Gross. (b) Net. (iv) Whether treatments are randomised.

## GENERAL

Information under: this heading to be read against the following items :-
A. For annual crops:
(i) Crop conditions during growth with date of lodging, if any. (ii) Incidence of pests and diseases with control measures taken. (iii): Quantitative:observations taken (iv). In case of repetition in successive years-(a) from what year to what year; (b) whether treatments were assigned to the same plots in the same manner every. year, (c) reference to combined analysis, if any. (v) In case of repetition in other. places, (a) names of the places along with reference. (b) reference to combined analysis, if any. (vi) Abnormal occurrences like heavy rains, frost, storm etc.; if any. (vii) Any other important information.
B. For perennial crops:
(i) Crop condition during the year. (ii) Incidence of pêsts and diseases with control measures taken. (iii) Quantitative observations taken. (iv) In case of repetition in successive years:-(a) from what year to what year, (b) reference to combined analysis, if any. (v) Abnormalioccurrences like heav.y rains, frost, storm etc., if 'any. (vi) Any other important information.
C. Forexperiments on cultivators' fiel is :
(i) Crop condition during growth. (ii) Incidence of pests and diseases with control measures taken. (iii) Quantitative observations taken.. (iv) In case of reptition in successive years (a, from what year to what year, (b). whether treatments werè assigned to the same plots in the same manner every: year, (c) reference to combined analysis, if any.. (v) In case offrepetition in other places names of placess ailong with reference. (vi) Abnormal-occurrences, like heavy rains; frost; storm etc, if any. (vii) Any other important information.

| Sl. No. | Name of Crop | Botanical name | Assamese | Bengali | Oriya | Telugu | Tamil | Malayalam | Kannada | Marathi | Gujarati | Hindi | Punjabi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Paddy. | Oryza sativa $L$. | Dhan | Dhan | Dhano | Vadlu, Biyyamu | Nel | Nellu | Bhatta | Bhat | Dangar | Dhan; <br> Chawal | Chaul ; <br> Dhan |
| 2. | Wheat. | Triticum Sativum Lank Triticum aestivum $L$. | Gaum ; <br> Ghehu | Gam | Gaham | Godumalu | Kothumai | Gothambu | Godhi | Gahu | Ghahu | Gehon | Kanak |
| 3. | Jowar. | Andropogon sorghum <br> Brot: Sorghum vulgare Pers. | - | Jowar | Juara | Jonna | Cholam | Cholam | Jola | Jowari ; <br> Jondhla | Jowari ; Juar | Jowar : Jaur | Jowar |
| 4. | Maize. | Zea mays L. | Gom dhan | Bhuta | Macca | Mokka jonna | Makka cholam | Cholam | Musukina jola | Makka | Makkai | Makka | Makki ; <br> Makayee |
| 5. | Arhar. | Cajanus cajan Milsp. Cajanus indicus Sprengl. | Arhar | Arhar | Harad | Kandulu | Thuvarai | Thuvaran Payaru | Thogari | Tur | Tuver | Arhar | Harhar : <br> Arhar |
| 6. | Gram. | Cicer arietinum L. | Butmah | Chola | Boot | Sanagalu | Kadalai ; <br> Sundal <br> Kadalai | Kadala | Kadale | Harbara | Chana | Chana | Chhole <br> Chana |
| 7. | Lentil | Lens esculenta Moench | Masurmah | Masuri | Masur | Chirusenaga | Masur Paruppu | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Masooru } \\ & \text { bele } \end{aligned}$ | Masur | Masur | Masur | Massar |
| 8. | Potato. | Solanum tuberosum L. | Alooguti | Alu | Bilati <br> Alu | Bangaladumpa: Urlagadda | Uruzhai kilangu | Urala kizangu | .Alu gedde | Batata | Aloo: <br> Batata | Aaloo | Alu. |
| 9. | Tomato | Lycopersicum csculeutum Mill. | Bilahi | Bilati begun | Bilati baigan | Tomato ; Rama mulaka | Thakkali | Thakkali | Tomato | Welwangi ; Tambati | Vilaiti wagan; Tameta | Tamatter | Tamatar |
| 10. | Sugarcane | Saccharum officinarum $L$. | Kuhiar | Akh | - | Cheruku | Karumbu | Karumbu | Kabbu | Oos | Sherdi | Ganna ; Kamad; Naishakar | Kamad ; <br> Ganna; <br> Eakh |
| 11. | Jute. | Corchorus spp. | Marapat | Shada pat <br> Tosha pat | Jhota | Janumu | Chanapai | Chanambu | Senabu | Joot | Moti | ${ }^{\text {Jute }}$ | -Patsan |
| 12. | Roselle. | Hibiscus sabdariffa L. | Tenga Mora | Mesta | Khata <br> Kaunria | Erragogu | Sivappu <br> Kashamkai | - | Kempupundrike | Tambdi ambadi | Lal sheria | Patua | - |
| 13. | Groundnut | Arachis hypogaea Lt | China badam | Cheena badam | China badam | Nelashanga | Nilakadalai | Nikkaadla | Kadale kayi | Bhuimug | Magafali | $\underset{\text { Mung- }}{\text { phai }}$ | Mungfali |
| 14. | Linseed | Linum Usitatissimum L. |  | Tishi | Peshi | Avise | Alivithai | Cheruch- anavithu | Agase | Javas; Alsi | Alsi | Alsi | Alsi |
| 15. | Til | Sesamum indicum L. | Til | Til | Rasi | Navvulu | Eltu | Ellu | Yellu | Til, Tili | Tal | Til | Til |
| 16. | Banana | Musa patadisiaca L. | Kol | Paka-kala | Kadali | Arati | Vazhai pazam | Vazha | Bale | Kele | Kela | Kelo | Kela |
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## WEST BENGAL STATE

## 1. G̈ENERAL

The State of West Bengal is situated bétweèn $21^{\circ} 31^{\prime}$ and $27^{\circ} 14^{\prime}$ north latitudés, $86^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} 5^{\prime}$ and $89^{\circ} 53^{\prime}$ east longitudes. Along the north of the State stand the Himalayan ranges. The Bay of Bengal washes its southern boundary. Iń the east lie Bhutañ, Assam and East Pakistan, in the north, Sikkim, in the west, Nepal and Bihar and in the south-west lies Orissa.

The State comprises of 15 districts which have been grouped into two Commissioners' Divisions for administrative purposes. The Burdwan Division consists of the districts of Burdwan, Birbhum, Bankura, Midnapur, Hoogly and Howrah; all of which lie west of the Bhagirathi or Hooghly river. The Presidency Division consists of the -districts of 24 Parganas (including the Sundarbans), Calcutta, Nadia, eastern half of Murshidabad all of which lie south of the river Ganges or Padma and east of the Bhagirathi or Hoogly; are the districts of Malda and West Dinajpur lying north of the Ganges, and farther north the districts of Cooch Bihar, Jalpaiguri and Darjeeling. The total area of the State is $34,214 \mathrm{sq}$. miles. The area under forests is $26,46,100$ acres (reserve \& protected forests only).

## 2. PHYSICAL FEATURES

In a land of so many rivers the greater part of the soil must be new alluvium. According to the directions of the flow of rivers, West Bengal can be divided into two clear, natural geographical divisions, the Great Plain of the Ganges and Himälayan Wést Bengal. The upper limit of the first tract is the northern limit of West Dinajpur. The elevation of this tract increases as one goes farther west. Bhagirathi acts as the great drain as well as boundary of this tract. To the east of this tract all rivers flow north to south with a south-easterly slant except Jalangi and Churni in Nadia which turn west ward into the Bhagirathi. The second natural division, Himalayan West Bengal is dominated by the mighty Himalayan range in the north, ẅherefröm all rivers take their rise and flow north to south with an easterly slant. This review of the river system serves as a back ground to the geological account of the State.

## 3. SOILS

The greater paift of the plains of West Bengal is covered by alluvium. Laterite is noticed on the west and is traced in north from Orissa through Midnapur, Burdwan and Birbhum to the flänks of the Rajmahal hills where in places, it is as much as 200 feet thick. Thick gneiss of the well foliated type, frequently passing into mica schist, constitutes the greater portion of the Darjecling Himalayas.

According to the soil types, the State can be divided into two main divisions described below :

Himalayan West Bengal Division :-The Himaláyan region is made up of the Darjeeling, Jalpaiguri and Cooch Bihar districts. The soil is quite heavy and dark coloured, - containing high percentage of organic matter and nitrogen. The soils of Darjeeling district appear to be highly weathered. The texture of the soil varies from clay to clay loam. The contents of lime, manganese, potash and phosphate are low perhaps due to heavy leaching. The content of alumina is much higher than ferric oxide. The humid and cold climate is evidently responsible for the accumulation of organic matter. The soils of Western Duars besides being highly deficient in lime, show lack of phosphate and are mechanically less weathered than the rest of the soils. The soils of Jalpaiguri are of sandy nature; the proportion of sand being considerably greater in proportion to clay. The soils have lost the major amount of lime and have become highly dificient in potash and phosphate but are quite high in nitrogen contents.

West Bengal Plain Division :-Portions of Murshidabad, Bankura, and entire Burdwan have the appearance of undulating plateau. It is composed mainly of the old alluvium and the area between the Damodar and the Bhagirathi is interspersed with some basaltic and granitic hills with laterite capping. The western part of this region is said to be occupied by lateritic soils. Probably the red soils are transported soils from the hills of Chhota Nagpur plateau. The soils of the Chhota Nagpur region divide themselves into two groups. To the first group belong the soils of Midnapur, Bankura, Burdwan and Birbhum. The soils of this group are almost similar in their chemical composition and physical properties. The second group of soils from Malda, Murshidabad, Howrah and Hoogly are mostly alluvial. Nadia soils contain calcium carbonate and are alkaline.

Besides the tracts mentioned above, rest of Bengal is composed of low levels. The soils of southern most coastal part of the province are impregnated with saline deposits. This region has mostly alluvial soils which vary in texture from sands to heavy clays. A peculiar feature of the alluvial region is the occurrence of 'bheels'. They are either old river beds or are formed by the gradual raising of river banks. The soils are dark-bluish and heavy textured. They however, do not always contain a high percentage of nitrogen.

## 4. CLIMATE \& RAINFALL

An important feature of the climatic conditions of the State is the periodic winds that blow across it. The seasonal winds are known as the monsoons. Two-thirds of the rain. fall takes place from middle of March to end of October. The climate is, briefly speaking, tropical, of high humidity and moderately high temperature, with alternate dry and wet seasons. During the other months, temperature is lower and humidity moderate. In the cold season months the average temperature is $64^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and during the hot season $83^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. The high rainfall in Darjeeling and Jalpaiguri is due to the proximity of the mountains. Cyclonic storms usually prevail over longer periods and affect larger areas. During very hot days the air often remains full of moisturè. Thunder storms are not rare happenings in the State. During hot seasons they occur every year and bring much coveted showers after long sultry days.

The season-wise normal rainfall for regions of the State is shown in Table 1.
TABLE 1.
Season-wise rainfall in inches for different divisions of West Bengal.

| Divisions | June <br> to <br> September | October <br> to <br> December | January <br> to <br> February | March <br> to <br> May | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Himalayan W.B. | 106.4 | 6.9 | 0.4 | 17.2 | 130.9 |
| W.B. Plain | 43.6 | 4.5 | 0.5 | 6.9 | 55.5 |
| State (simple average) | 75.0 | 5.7 | 0.45 | 12.0 |  |

## 5. IRRIGATION

The sources of irrigation in the State may be classified as government canals, Private canals, tanks, wells and other sources. Burdwan, Birbhum and Midnapore districts get ${ }^{\cdot}$ most of the benefit of irrigation from government canals. Area irrigated from private canals is, however, increasing. Generally such projects are undertaken with partial government aids and the labour or contributions of the cultivators. Midnapore, Jalpaiguri, 24 Parganas, Hoogly and Burdwan districts cover some $75 \%$ of area irrigated by private canals. Area irrigated from tanks has not been progressive, but has remained almost steady for past several years. Murshidabad, Burdwan, Birbhum, Bankura and Midnapore depend much on tank irrigation. Well irrigation is often practised more widely in Burdwan, Bankura, Midnapore and Jalpaiguri than in other districts.

TABLE 2.


## 6. AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION AND NORMAL CROPPING PAT'IERN

In consideration of area covered by different crops, Paddy is by far the most important crop of the State; Aman Paddy being the major type. Paddy covers nearly $73 \%$, Jute $4 \%$, Gram $2.5 \%$, Rape and Mustard $1.5 \%$, Pulses (excluding gram) $7.9 \%$ and Tea $1.5 \%$ of the total cropped area of the State. Potato is the popular tuber crop grown in the State.

The area and production figures of the important crops grown in the State are given below [1956-57 and 1958-59 i.e. figures excluding \& including transferred territories from Bihar].

TABLE 3.
Area and production of principal crops.
Crop

|  | $1956-57$ | $1958-59$. | $1956-57$ | $1958-59$. |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Rice | 10,060 | $10533.4^{\prime}$ | 4335 | 4057.3 |  |
| 2. Wheat | 209 | 87.0 | 27 | 24.0 |  |
| 3. Potato | 118 | 122.6 | 309 | 448.5 |  |
| 4. Pulses | 1426 | 1829.9 | 267 | 367.6 |  |
| 5. Jute | 720 | 875.3 | $1462 @$ | 2596.1 @ |  |

@ In thousand bales of 400 lbs . each.

## 7. AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND EXPERIMENTAL STATIONS

During the period 1948-53 experiments were conducted at sixteen experimental stations. Experiments on paddy were conducted at eleven stations. Farms at Kadamkhali, Paliamath and Srinagar were exclusively devoted to experimentation on Sugarcane. Experimentation on fruit trees was done at the Krishnagar Horticulture Research Station, and Jute and other fibre crops like Mesta and Roselle at Jute Agricultural Research Institute, Barrackpore. The lagest number of experiments were conducted at Chinsura Research Farm. Next in order, according to the number of experiments, comes the Agricultural Research Farm, Berhampore.

## 8. EXPERIMENTS :

Paddy is by far the most important crop in the State. More than $50 \%$ of the experiments conducted during the period under review were on paddy.

Jute is the next important crop in the State. But the number of experiments devoted to this crop is nearly $5 \%$ of the total. However the experiments conducted on all fibre crops viz. Jute, Roselle \& Mesta is nearly $10 \%$ of the total.

Sugarcane and potato are other important crops grown in the State, the number of experiments conducted on them-are $10 \%$ and $8 \%$ of the total respectively.

Among fruit crops, banana is the most popular, accounting for nearly $8 \%$ of the total number of experiments.

Very few experiments have been conducted on cereals like Jowar, Maize and Wheat and Vegetables.

Among oilseeds, Til and Groundnut are popular crops nearly, $5 \%$ of the total experiments were conducted on oilseeds.

TABLE 4
Distribution of experiments according to type and crop.

| Crop/Type | M | MV | C | CM | CV | D | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Paddy | 122 | 2 | 1 | ... | 3 | 4 | 132 |
| Wheat | 3 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 3 |
| Jowar | 1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1 |
| Maize | 1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1 |
| Pulses | ... | ... | 5 | ... | ... | 1 | 6 |
| Vegetables | 7 | ... | 1 | 1 | ... | 16 | 25 |
| Sugarcane | 28 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 28 |
| Jute | 1 | ... | 7 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 8 |
| Roselle | ... | ... | 3 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 3 |
| Mesta | ... | ... | 2 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 2 |
| Oilseeds | ... | ... | 11 | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 11 |
| Banana | 3 | ... | 13 | $\ldots$ | 4 | ... | 20 |
| Total | 166 | 2 | 43 | 1 | 7 | 21 | 240 |
| Experiments on cultivators* fields-Paddy M-19, MV-2=21; Jute M-4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table-4 gives the distribution of experiments according to type of treatments and crops. Out of total number of 265 experiments nearly $65 \%$ are manurial, $21 \%$ cultural and the remaining $14 \%$ comprise other types of experiments viz. manurial-cum-cultural, manurial-cum-varietal, culturalcum-varietal and on control of diseases and pests.
$80 \%$ of the experiments conducted on paddy are manurial type; all the experiments conducted on sugarcane belong to the manurial type.

The manures used are both organic and inorganic, separately and in combination. Generally Ammonium Sulphate is used as source of nitrogen. Organic manures like F.Y.M. Compost and Mustard Cake have also been widely used as Sources of nitrogen. The treatments commonly used are factorial combinations of levels of nitrogen and phosphate. Sometimes lime or potash is also used as a third factor. The levels of nitrogen and phosphate vary between 0 lb . to 60 lb . per acre. The amount of lime applied varied from 2 cwt . to 4 cwt . per acre.

The experiments mostly were laid out in randomised blocks. Factorial and spit plot designs account respectively for about $20 \%$ and $15 \%$ of the total number of experiments. In split plot design, the number of main-plots vary from 2 to 4 and sub-plots from 2 to 6 . The number of replications vary between 2 and 6 . The net plot size ranges between $1 / 270$ th acre to $1 / 40$ th of an acre.

STATEMENT SHOWING DETAILS OF EXPERMMENTAL STATIONS


Statement showing details of experimental statiòns

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |  | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | Experimental | Darjeeling, 4 | Hilly | 1957 | Potato | Depth $\mathbf{3}^{\prime}$ to $8^{\prime}$ | June | 21:07 | N.A. | Nil | Elevation ranges |
|  | Station. | miles from |  |  |  | Colour-Brown | July | 39.16 |  |  | from 7200 to |
|  | Bhanjang | Ghum Rly. |  |  |  | Strucure-Loamy | Aug. | 24.66 |  |  | 6800 feet from sea |
|  |  | Station. |  |  |  | Chem. Analysis | Sept. | 6.39 |  |  | level. Situated on |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | N.A. Mech. Ana- | Oct. | 1. 50 |  |  | the western back |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | lysis-N.A. | Nov. | - |  |  | of a hillock. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Dec. | - |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Jan. | 1.81 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Feb. | 0.95 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | March | 1.19 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | April | 12.24 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | May | 14.28 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 123.25 |  |  |  |



STATEMENT SHOWING DETAILS OF EXPERIMENTAL STATIONS



Statement showing details of experimental stations

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | State Agricultural <br> Farm <br> Chinsurah | Hoogly, <br> 1 mile from <br> Chinsurah <br> Rly. Stn. | Gangetic old alluvial flat low land | 1903 | Paddy | Soil-Clayey ; | June | 10.56 | N.A. | Paddy -56 | Low lying area. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Depth 0"-12". | July | 11.28 |  | Jute -7 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Colour-Blackish | Aug. | 11.64 |  | Roselle - 3 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Brown Structure Fine | Sept. | 8.40 |  | Mesta - 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Chem. Analysis | Oct. | 4.09 |  | -- |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | pH 6.80 | Nov. | 0.66 |  | Total -68 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{N}, 0.08 \%$ | Dec. | 0.19 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \quad 0.09 \%$ | Jan. | 0.38 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad 0.86 \%$ | Feb. | 1.20 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \quad 13.68 \%$ | March | 1.58 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Carbon 0.76\% | April | 2.46 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Sesqui 21.45\% | May | 5.85 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Oxide |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Mech. Analysis. (\%) | Total | 58.29 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Air dry |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | moisture 7.43 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Clay 55.75 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Silt $\quad 30.00$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Fine Sand 6.53 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Coarse 0.29 |  |  |  |  |  |



STATEMENT SHOWING DETAILS OF EXPERIMENTAL STATIONS

| 1 | $R$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | State Agri-cultural Farm Kalimpong | Darjeeling <br> 43 miles <br> from Silguri <br> Rly. Stn. | Eastern <br> Himalayan tract. | 1907 | Maize <br> Paddy vegetables. | Sandy, Clay loam. | June July | $\begin{aligned} & 19.95 \\ & 27.43 \end{aligned}$ | N.A | Nil. | Gently sloping with terraces |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | . Depth $1^{\prime}-4^{\prime}$ | Aug. | 19.50 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Colour Red | Sept. | 13.16 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Clay loam | Oct. | 2.17 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Chem. Analysis | Nov. | 0.31 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PH 6.00 app . | Dec. | 0.09 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | N 0.14\% | Jan. | 0.60 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | P 0.0065\% | Feb. | 0.23 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | K 0.0076\% | March | 1.20 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Mech. Analysis | April | 2.97 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | N.A. | May | 4.13 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 91.75 |  |  |  |
| 12 | Horticultural Research Station Krishangar | Nadia ; 2 <br> miles from <br> Krishna city <br> Rly Stn. | New <br> Alluvium | 1934 | Banana | Soil New Alluvium <br> Depth : Medium, <br> Colour : Light darlegrey. <br> Chem. Analysis\% Structure Granular <br> PH 6.1 to 6.8 (lb./ac.) <br> N 193.2-277.2 <br> P 16.0-131.0 <br> Mech. Analysis <br> N.A. | June | 9.09 | Well (worked by power) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Banana - } 19 \\ & \text { Tomato }-2 \\ & \text { Paddy - } 1 \end{aligned}$ | Flat and Plain |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | July | 12.20 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Aug. | 10.54 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sept. | 9.60 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Oct. | 4.86 |  | Total-22 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Nov. | 0.46 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Dec. | 0.02 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Jan. | 0.57 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Feb. | 1.25 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | March | 1.27 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | April | 2.09 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | May | 5.04 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 56.99 |  |  |  |

Statement showing details or experimental stationis


STATEMENT SHOWING DETAILS OF EXPERIMENTAL STATIONS

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15. | Agricultural Farm Midnapore | Midnapore ; 3 miles from Midnapore Rly. Station. | Red lateritic zone. | 1937 | Paddy <br> Potato | Red lateritic Depth-1" to $1 \frac{1}{2 \prime \prime}^{\prime \prime}$ | June | 11.88 | N.A. | Paddy -4 | Rocky-morrum |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Colour-Red Structure-Cruimie | July | 11.60 |  | Potato -4 | nominated-red- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Chem. Analysis | Aug. | 11.41 |  | --- | laterit c. High |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PH 6'1 slightly acidic | Sept. | 8.78 |  | Total -8 | land. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Lesson 2.75\% ignition | Oct. | 5.23 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1.95 \%$ | Nov. | 1.07 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} 3.99 \%$ | Dec. | 0.14 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CaO 0.22\% | Jan. | 0.33 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | MgO 0.20\% | Feb. | 1.12 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \quad 0.05 \%$ | March | 1.64 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathbf{8}} \mathrm{O} \quad 0.27 \%$ | April | 1.75 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | M 0.025\% | May | 5.32 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Mech. Analysis |  | S. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | N.A. | Total | 60.27 |  |  |  |

(1)

Plassey,
Chandanpur Farm,
Plassey
Kada makhali Farm.

| N.A. | - | - | S. Cane-4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | S. Cane-8 |
| - | - | - | S. Cane-8 |


| 17 | Agri. Farm, Srinagar | 24-Paraganas | Sandy loam | N.A. | - | Sandy loam soil analysis N.A. | N.A. | Nil. | Sugarcane-2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

STATEMENT SHOWING DETAILS OF EXPERIMENTAL STATIONS


Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- State Agri Farm, Bankura.

Ref:- W.B. 48(1)
Type: 'M'.

Object :- To study the effeet of different times of application of manures.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Aman paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, Bankura. (iii) 30.6.48/12.8.48 (Medium). (iv) (a) 3 ploughings and 2 ladderings. (b) Transplanting. (c) - (d) $9^{\circ} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ apart at a depth of $3^{\prime \prime}-4^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2-3. (v, Nil. (vi) Anjan. (vii) unırrigated. (viii) Weeding and hacing once. (ix) $40.91^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 18.12.48.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) \& (2)
(1) 3 times of application of manure :
$\mathrm{T}_{1}=$ At puddling just before transplanting on $11.8 .4^{2}$.
$\mathrm{T}_{2}=$ At the time of weeding \& hoeing 12.9 .48 .
$\mathrm{T}_{3}=$ At the time of Thorn formation (flowering).
(2) 2 manures:-
$\mathbf{M}_{1}=$ Mustard cake at $40 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{ac}$.
$\mathbf{M}_{2}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ of 40 lb . $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii, (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $18.75^{\prime} \times 14.33^{\prime}$. (b) $18^{\prime} \times 13.5^{\prime}$. (v) Distance bet. plots $2^{\prime}$ and bet. blocks $2^{\prime}$; $1^{\prime}$ border around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain \& straw yield. (iv) (a) 1947 to 1949. (b) Yes. (c) N.A (v) (a) Sriniketan. (b) N.A. (vi)\& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $5223 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $474 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only main effe't of $T$ is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 5267 | 5927 | 4894 | 5363 |
| $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 5259 | 5470 | 4522 | 5084 |
| Mean | 5263 | 5698 | 4708 | 5223 |

S.E. of body of table

$$
=193.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
$$

S.E. of marginal mean of $M$
$=111.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of marginal mean of $T$

$$
=136.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
$$

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Ref :- W.B. 49(1).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Bankura.
Object :- To study the effect of time of application of manures (residual effect).

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Aman paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, Bankura. 17.6.49/8.8.49. (iv) (a) $3-4$ ploughings \& 2 to 3 ladderings. (b) Transplanted. (c)-(d) $9^{\prime \prime}$ between rows and $9^{\prime \prime}$ within rows. (e) 2-3. (v) Nil. (vi) Anjan 245. (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding \& 1 hoeing. (ix) $25.09^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 28.11.49.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations (1) \& (2)
(1) 3 times of application of manure:
$\mathrm{T}_{1}=\mathrm{At}$ the time of puddling just before transplanting.
$\mathrm{T}_{2}=$ At the time of weeding and hoeing operation.
$\mathrm{T}_{3}=\mathrm{At}$ the time of thorn formation (flowering).
(2) 2 manures :
$\mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Mustard cake at 40 lb ./ac. of N .
$\mathbf{M}_{2}=A / S$ at 40 lb ./ac. of N .

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) $3 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $18.75^{\prime} \times 14.33^{\prime}$. (b) $18^{\prime} \times 135^{\prime}$. (v) Distance between plots and blocks is $2^{\prime} .1^{\prime}$ Border row around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1947 to 1949. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Sriniketan. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $3180 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $297.0 \mathrm{Jb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ | T3 | Mean. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 3147 | 3163 | 3408 | 3239 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 3058 | 3124 | 3185 | 3122 |
| Mean. | 3102 | 3143 | 3296 | 3180 |


| S.E. of body of table | $=121.3 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $M$ | $=70.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $T$ | $=85.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Paddy.<br>Site :- State Agri. Farm, Bankura.

Ref :- W.B. 49(2).
Type : ‘M'.
Object :- To study the residual effect of time of application of manure.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Aman paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) ANil. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, Bankura. (iii) 8.8.49. (iv) (a) 3-4 ploughings and 2-3 ladderings. (b) Transplanted. (c) - (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2-3.
(v) Nil. (vi) Anjan 246. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding, (ix) 25.09". (x) 28.11.49.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations (1) \& (2) :
(1) 3 times of application of manure :
$\mathrm{T}_{1}=$ At the time of puddling.
$\mathrm{T}_{2}=$ At the time of weeding and hoeing:
$T_{3}=$ At the time of thorn formation ie. about a fortnight before the emergence of inflorescence.
(2) 2 manures:-
$\mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Mustard cake at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
$\mathrm{M}_{2}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$, of N .
3. DESIGN ;
(i) $3^{\prime} \times 2$ fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $18.75^{\prime} \times 14.25^{\prime}$. (b) $18^{\prime} \times 13.50^{\prime}$. (v) Distance between plots $2^{\prime}$ and blocks $3^{\prime}$. $1^{\prime}$ guard row around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL':
(i) N.A. (ii) Negligible. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1946 to 1949. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v)(a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1546 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $144.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) No effect is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in !b./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | T | T3 | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 1530 | 1537 | 1656 | 1574 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 1486 | 1519 | 1549 | 1518 |
| Mean | 1508 | 1528 | 1602 | 1546 |

S E. of marginal mean of $\mathrm{M} . \quad=34.0 \mathrm{lb}-/ \mathrm{ac}$ :
S.E. of marginal mean of T. $=41.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of table. $\quad=59.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \cdot$
Crop :- Paddy.
Ref:- W.B. 49(5).
Site :~ State Agri. Farm, Bankura.
Type : ' M '.

Object :- To study the residual effect of different dose of oilcakes on the yield of paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Aman paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, Bankura. (iii) Last week of May to 1st week of June/July to 1st week of August. (iv) (a) 3 ploughings and 3 ladderings. (b) Transplanted- (c) - (d) $9^{n} \times 9^{n}$ (apart). (e) 2-3. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhashmanik (ch. 2, medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One weeding only after application of oilcakes. (ix) 25.09". (x) December.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) \& (2).
(1) 3 sources of $N: S_{1}=$ Mustard cake, $S_{2}=$ Coconut cake and $S_{3}=$ G.N.C.
(2) 5 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=2 \mathrm{~J}, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 5$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 15 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 80$ th ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL ;
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of straw and grain. (iv) (a) 1942 to 1945.4 years expt. and thereafter residual effect. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chinsurah, Sriniketan and Suri. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2111 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $231.3 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of sources of N is highly significant. Main effect of Doses of N significant while their interaction is not signifisant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.


Crop :- Paddy (Aman).<br>Site :m State Agri. Farm, Bankura.

Ref:- W.B. 52(42).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$ '.
Object :-To evaluate the efficacy of different methods of applying A/S.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) No (b) Aman paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Bankura. (iii). 15th July to 15 th August. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanted. (c) - (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ (e) 3. (v) No. (vi) Novaram. (late). (vii) Unịrigated. (viii) 2 weeding : 1 st weeding done 3 weeks after transplantation; 2 nd weeding done $6-7$ weeks after transplantation. (ix) $39.74^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15 th Dec. to 15 th January.
2. TREATMENTS :

All possible combinations of (1) \& (2) + a Control (no $N$ ).
(1) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$. $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 2 methods of application: $\mathbf{M}_{1}=$ Layering and $\mathbf{M}_{2}=$ Top dressing.

N applied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$. It was used 4 weeks after transplan tation.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 5 (b)
b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv)
(a) $27^{\prime}-9^{\prime \prime} \times 18^{\prime}$
(b) $27^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime}$
(v) $9^{\prime \prime}$ border on all sides.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Bad. (ii) Heavy incidence of yellow disease. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1955 . (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $301.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $77.35 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only main effect of application is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

$$
\text { Control }=309.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}
$$

|  | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 356.3 ' | 280.6 | 318.4 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 344.8 | , 216.4 | $280.6{ }^{\prime}$ |
| Mean. | 350.5 | 248.5 | 299.5: |


| S.E. of tody of table | $=$ | $38.68 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| S.E. of marginal mean | $=$ | $27.16 / \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

- Crop :- Paddy (Aman).

Site :- State Agri. Farm, Bankura.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ref }:- \text { W.B. } 53(37) \text {. } \\
& \text { Type := 'M'. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Object:- To evaluate the efficacy of different methods of application of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Lateritic soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Bankura. (iii) 15 th July to 15 th August. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanted. (c) ——. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 3. (v) No. (vi) Novaram (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings; 1 st weeding after 3 weeks of transplantation 2nd weeding after 6-7 weeks of transplantation. (ix) $46.20^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15 th Dec. to 1st week of January.
2. TREATMENTS :

All possible combinations (1) \& (2) +a Control (no N).
(1) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 methods of application : $\mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Layering and $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ Top drossing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $27^{\prime}-9^{\prime \prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $27^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}-3^{\prime \prime}$. (v) $9^{a}$ border on all sides. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL:

(i) Satisfactory. (ii) No. (iii) Yield of grain, (iv) (a) 1952 to 1955 . (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No.
(b) No. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 2249 lb ./ac.
(ii) $216.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Control $v s$. fertilizers, main effect of methods of application are highly significant. N effect and intercation $\mathrm{N} \times$ method of application are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

$$
\text { Control }=1853 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
$$

|  | $\mathbf{M}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ 2613 2174 <br> $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{1}}$ 2402 2204 | 2393 <br> 2303 |  |  |
| Mean | 2507 | 2189 | 2348. |

$\begin{array}{llr}\text { S.E. of body of table } & =108.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { S.E. of marginal mean } & =76.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .\end{array}$

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State. Agri. Farm, Bankura.
Ref: W.B. 52(41).
Type :- 'M'.
Object :-To evaluate the efficacy of different methods of applying A/S.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Lateritic soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Bankura. (iii) 1 15th July to 15 th August. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanted. (c) ——. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 3. (v) No. (vi) Bhasamanik. (late) (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings; 1st weeding done 3 weeks after transplantation 2nd weeding done 5-7 weeks after transplantation. (ix) 39.74". (x) 15th Dzc. to 15th January. (approx) .

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All possible combinations of (1) \& (2) +a Control (no N)
(1) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=6 \mathrm{j} \mathrm{b}$. $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 2 methods of application: $\mathbf{M}_{1}=$ Layering and $\mathbf{M}_{2}=$ Top dressing.

N applied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S} 4$ weeks after transplantation.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 5 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4. (iv) (a) $27^{\prime}-9^{\prime \prime} \times 18^{\prime}$
(b) $27^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}-3^{\prime \prime}$ (v) $9^{\prime \prime}$ border on all sides.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Bad (ii) Heavy incidence of yellow disease. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1955 . (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $575.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $170.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Control $=991.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | Mean |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 701.1 | 590.0 | 645.5 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 531.6 | 561.2 | 546.4 |
| Mean | 616.3 | 575.6 | 595.9 |

S.E. of body of table
$=85.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$S$ E. of marginal mean ( N or M ) $=60.2 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Crop :-Paddy (Aman).
Site :-Stāte Agri. Farm, Bankura.

Ref :-W.B. 53(36).
Type: © ${ }^{\prime}$ '.

Object :-To evaluate the efficacy of different methods of applying A/S.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Bankura. (iii) 15 th July to 15 th August (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanted. (c)-(d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 3. (v) No. (vi) Bhasamanik. (late) (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings; 1 st weeding done 3 weeks after transplantation; 2nd weeding done $6-7$ weeks after transplantation. (ix) $46.20^{\circ}$. (x) 15 th Dec. to 15 th January).
2. TREATMENTS :

All possible combinations of (1) and (2) + a Control (no N).
(1) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 methods of application: $\mathrm{M}_{1}=$ layering and $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ Top dressing. A/S applied 4 weeks after transplantation.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $27^{\prime}-9^{\prime \prime} \times 18^{\prime} .^{\prime \prime}$ (b) $27^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}-3^{\prime \prime}$. (v) $9^{\prime \prime}$ border on all sides.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) No. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1955 . (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A.
(vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $2983 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $316.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) 'Control $v s$. others' effect is highly significant. ' $M$ ' effect is highly significant while others are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

$$
\text { Control }=2496 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

|  |  | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{I}}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ |  | 3197 | 2754 | 2975 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ |  | 3496 | 2975 | 3235 |
| Mean |  | 3546* | 2864 | 3105 |

S.E. of the body of table $=158.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of marginal mean $(\mathrm{N}$ or M$)=111.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :-Paddy (Aman).<br>Site : Agri. Farm, Belurmath.

Ref:-W.B. 52(44).<br>Type:-'M'.

Object :-To assess the comparitive merits of bulky organic manures along with A/S. "

1. B SAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Fallow. (c) No. (ii) (a) New alluvium. (b) Refer soil analysis, Belurmath. (iii) 15.752 . (iv) (a) 4-5 ploughings and laddering after the preparation of land during the month of May and June. (b) Transplanting. (c)-(d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2-4. (v) Nil. (vi) Patna-23 (Ch. 7, Medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings were done. (ix) $41.56^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 10.12.52.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. Control.
4. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $40 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{ac}$. (as top dressing)
5. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $40 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{ac}$. (applied during puddling)
6. T.C. at $40 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{ac}$. (during puddling)
7. T.C. at $20 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{ac} .+\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $20 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{ac}$. (T.C. during puddling ; $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ as top dressing).

Manures were broadcast at the time of puddling.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (v) 1' border around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) No. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) Nil. (b) Nil. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $2318 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $238.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Control vs. fertilizers is highly significant but there is no significant variation among fertilizers in general.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1852 |
| 2. | 2339 |
| 3. | 2472 |
| 4. | 2428 |
| 5. | 2500 |
| S.E./mean | $=119.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Paddy (Aus).
Site :-State Agri. Farm, Berhampore.

Ref :-W.B. 49(12).
Type: - 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of continuous application of A/S, B.M. and Lime on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Block 1: Sugarcane ; Block 2 : Jowar (fodder) in Kharif and Potato in Rabi Block 3 and 4 : Maize (fodder). (c) Block 1: Cowdung at $38 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac} .+$ G.N.C. at $3.6 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac} .+$ B.M. at $1.9 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac} .+\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{P}$ at $3.3 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$ and nil in Rabi; Block 2 : B.M. at $2.4 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $1.5 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and in Rabi cowdung at $53 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. Block 3 and 4 : G.NC. at 3 md ./ac. $+\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at 1.5 md ./ac. and in Rabi cowdung at $53 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) Fine sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) 31.5.49. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings and ladderings. (b) Seed broadcast. (c) 1 md./ac. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Dharial. (CH 27, medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2-3 weedings is common practice. (ix) 42.99". (x) 13 to 28.9.49.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :-
3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
Sub-plot treatments:-
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=90 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of Lime : $L_{0}=0, L_{1}=4$ and $L_{2}=8$ cwt./ac.
B.M. was applied at the time of preparation of land, $A / S$ after six weeks of sowing (14.7.49). Lime was applied in the 1st year of experimentation (15.5.49). and shall be applied after every 4 years

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) Split plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block and 12 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $23.5^{\prime} \times 20.5^{\prime}$ blocks ( 1 and 2) ; $23.5^{\prime} \times 20.0^{\prime}$ for blocks ( 3 and 4 ). (b) $21.5 \times 18.5$. blocks ( 1 and 2 ) ; $21.5 \times 18.0$ blocks ( 3 and 4). (v) Distanee between plots $2^{\prime}$ and between blocks $3^{\prime}$. 1' border alround as guard row. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. Plots with higher dose of N lodged. (ii) Nil. (iii) Tillering and height of tillers; grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1949-continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chinsurah and Suri, expt. Started in 1948--49 on Aman paddy and continued. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :

| (i) | $1618 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (ii) | (a) | $641.0 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
|  | (b) | $431.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

(iii) N effect is highly significant. Lime effect is significant while other effects are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of yield in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ | Mean | $L_{0}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 1121 | 1138 | 1343 | 1201 | 1236 | 1332 | 1034 |
| $\mathrm{J}_{1}$ | 1596 | 1683 | 1644 | 1641 | 1845 | 1518 | 1560 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 1824 | 1758 | 1845 | 1809 | 1957 | 1885 | 1568 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 1836 | 1879 | 1751 | 1822 | - 1822 | 1977 | 1658 |
| Mean | 1594 | 1615 | 1646 | 1618 | 1722 | 1678 | 1455 |
| $L_{0}$ | 1734 | 1777 | 1655 |  |  |  |  |
| $L_{1}$ | 1615 | 1765 | 1654 |  |  |  |  |
| $L_{2}$ | 1434 | 1302 | 1628 |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of N | $=71.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of L | $=62.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{L}$ table | $=124.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| fference of two |  |
| 1. $\mathbf{P}$ marginal means | $=130.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |
| 2. N means at the same level of $\mathbf{P}$ | $=71.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. P means at the same level of N | $=201.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. L means at the same level of $\mathbf{P}$ | $=152.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S. P means at the same level of $\mathbf{L}$ | $=180.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Aus).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore.

Ref : W.B. 50(13)
Type : 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of continuous application of A/S, B.M. and Lime on the yield of paddy.

## f. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Fine sandy loam (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) $10,11.6 .50^{\circ}$ (iv) (a) 4 ploughings and laddering. (b) Seeds broadcast. (c) $1 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) N.A. (e)一 (v) Nil. (vi) Dharial. (CH 27, (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Several weedings to check the infestation of weeds. (ix) $44.61^{\prime \prime}$ (x) 1st week of Oct. 1950.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments:-
3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Sub-plot treatments:-
All combinations of (1) \& (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=90 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of Lime : $\mathrm{L}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~L}_{1}=4$ and $\mathrm{L}_{2}=8 \mathrm{cwt}$./ac.
B.M. was applied at the time of preparation of land on 7.6.50. A/S broadcast on 20.7.50.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Split plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/replication and 12 sub-plots/main plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) Rep. (1 \& 2) $23.5^{\prime} \times 20.5^{\prime}$; Rep. (3\&4) : $23.5^{\prime} \times 22.0^{\prime}$ (b) $21.5^{\prime} \times 18.5^{\prime}, 21.5^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime} .0$ (v) Distance between plots $2^{\prime}$ and blocks $3^{\prime}$; $1^{\prime}$ border alround as guard row. (vi) Yes.
4. GE ERAL:
(i) Poor: (ii) Heavily infested by mother grass (Cyprusrotundus) at early stage of growth and shyama grass at later stage. Could not be controlled. Slight attack of helminthosporium. (iii) Tillering and height of tillers. Grain and straw yield (iv) (a) 1949 -continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah and Suri (continued since 1948 on Aman). (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Experimen's conducted during $1951 \& 1952$ failed due to severe ànd continuous drought and adverse conditions (disease) respectively.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1149 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $293.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $143.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only N effect is highly significant.
(4) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | Mean | $L_{0}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{L}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 827 | 846 | 803 | 825 | 818 | 802 | 855 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1043 | 1052 | 1084 | 1060 | 1029 | 1077 | 1074 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 1388 | 1333 | 1392 | 1371 | 1369 | 1409 | 1335 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 1342 | 1342 | 1343 | 1342 | 1371 | 1320 | 1334 |
| Mean. | 1150 | 1143 | 1155 | 1149 | 1147 | 1152 | 1149 |
| $L_{0}$ | 1134 | 1136 | 1170 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | 1167 | 1193 | 1096 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ | 1148 | 1101 | 1198 |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $P$ | $=42.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ | $=23.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of marginal mean of L | $=20.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{L}$ table | $=41.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

S.E. of difference of two

1. N means at the same levels of $\mathrm{P}=58.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $\mathbf{P}$ means at the same level of $\mathrm{N}=78.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $L$ means at the same level of $P \quad=50.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $P$ means at the same level of $L \quad=72.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Paddy (Aus).
Ref : W.B. 53(1)
Site : State Agri. Farm, Berhampur.
Type : ' $M$ '
Object :-To study the continuous application of A/S, B.M. and Lime on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Lentil or gram without manure-Paddy (b) Aus paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Fine sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (ii.) 15 th May to 15 th June. (iv) (a) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Dharial (coarse, late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 weedings. (ix) $47.17^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15 th Sept. to 15 th October.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :-
3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{0}}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20 \& \mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Sub-plot treatments :-
All combinations of (1) \&(2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=90 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 levels of lime: $L_{0}=0, L_{1}=4$ and $L_{2}=8 \mathrm{cwt}$,/ac.
B.M. applied at the time of general preparation of land and A/S, 4 weeks after transplantation. Lime ploughed in 6 weeks before transplantation (once in four years).

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) Split plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/replication; 12 sub-plots/main plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) For blocks (1 \& 2) $23.5^{\prime} \times 20.5^{\prime}$; for blocks (3 \& 4) $23.5^{\prime} \times 20.0^{\prime}$. (b) For blocks (1 \& 2) $21.5^{\prime} \times 18.5^{\prime}$ for blocks ( $3 \& 4$ ) : $21.5^{\prime} \times 18.0^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around each sub.plot. (vi) Yes.

## GENERAL :

(i) Not favourable. Increase of height and number of tillers of paddy plants was continuous with the application of A/S ; B.M. and lime did not show any vegetative growth of the plants. Crop was heavily attacked with helmin-thosporium disease. The plots were also very heavily infested with several types of weeds specially by Mutha and Shyama grass. Crop practically failed in control plots. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) $1949-$ continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $\quad 586.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $119: 7 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $233.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only N effect is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

S.E. of marginal mean of $P$
S.E. of marginal mean of N
S.E. of marginal mean of $L$
S.E. of the body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{L}$ table
S.E. of difference of two

1. $\mathbf{N}$ means at the same level of $\mathbf{P}=95.42 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $\mathbf{P}$ means at the same level of $\mathbf{N}=86.18 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $L$ means at the same level of $\mathbf{P}=82.64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. P means at the same level of $\mathrm{L}=71.77 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop: Paddy (Aus).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore.

Ref :- W.B. 49(13)
Type:m' M '

Object :-To study the effect of continuous application of A/S, B.M. and F.Y.M. on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-fallow. (b) Sugarcane, (c) Cowdung at $38 \mathrm{md} . / a c .+$ G.N.C. at $3.6 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}-+$ B.M. at 1.9 $\mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac} .+\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$. at $3.3 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. in Kharif; no manure in rabi. (ii) (a) Fire sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) 1.6.49. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings and laddering. (b) Seeds broadcast. (c) md./ac. (d) \& (e)-(v) Nil. (vi) Dharial. (CH 27, (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) $2-3$ weedings is common practice. (ix) $42.99^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 13, 28.9.49.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plst treatments :-

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{No}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=90$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$.

## Sub-plot treatments:-

2 levels of F.Y.M. : $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=100 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
B M. and F.Y.M. were applied at the time of general preparation of the land.
A/S was applied after 4 weeks of sowing.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) Split plot. (ii) (a) 15 main-plots/replication and 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $37^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (b) $35^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$ (v) Distance between plots $2^{\prime}$ and blocks $3^{\prime} ; 1^{\prime}$ borde around as guard row. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) :Tillering and height of tillers. Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1949-50continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chinsurah and Suri, (started in 1948-49 on Aman paddy and continued). (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1155 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $417.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $282 \cdot 2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only N effect is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{Pa}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 777 | 766 | 663 | 735 | 754 | 716 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 972 | 1452 | 1023 | 1151 | 1196 | 1105 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 1348 | 1028 | 1291 | 1222 | 1180 | 1264 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 1394 | 1463 | 1348 | 1402 | 1425 | 1379 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | 1520 | 1154 | 1120 | 1265 | 1204 | 1326 |
| Mean | 1202 | 1173 | 1090 | 1155 | 1152 | 1158 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | 1161 | 1148 | : 148 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 1243 | 1193 | 1033 |  |  |  |

S.E. of mariginal mean of $N$
S.E. of marginal mean of $P$
S.E. of marginal mean of F.Y.M.
S.E. of mean in the b $\quad \mathrm{dy}$ of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ table
S.E. of the difference of two

1. $\mathbf{F}$ means at the same level of $\mathbf{N}$
2. N means at the same level of $\mathrm{F} \quad=112.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $\mathbf{F}$ means at the same level of $\mathbf{P} \quad=115.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $\mathbf{P}$ means at the same level of $\mathbf{F}$
$=85.1 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
$=66.1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=36.9 \mathrm{lb}$. ac.
$=146.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=89.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
$=145.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Paddy (Aus).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore.

Ref:- 50(14)/49(13)
Type : 'M'

Object :-To study the effect of continuous application of A/S, B.M. and F.Y.M. on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Fine sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) 8,96.50. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings and laddering. (b) Broadcast (c) $1 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) -(v) Nil. (vi) Dharial (CH 27, medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Several weedings were given to check heavy infestation of weeds. (ix) $44.61^{\circ}$. (x) 1st week of October, 1950.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :-
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=90$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Sub-plot treatments :-
2 levels of F.Y.M : $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=100 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
B.M. and F.Y.M. were applied at the time of general preparation of land

A/S was broadcast:
3. DESIGN:
(i) Split plot. (ii) (a) 15 main-plots/replication and 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $37^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (b) $35^{\prime} \times 14$ (v) Distance between plots $2^{\prime}$ and between blocks $3^{\prime} ; 1^{\prime}$ border alround as guard row. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Poor. (ii) Heavily infested by Mothagross (Cypersus rotunuds) at the earlier stage of growth and shyama grass at later stage. Could not be controlled. Slight attack of helminthosporium. No control measure undertaken. (iii) Tillering and height of tillers. Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) Yes. 1949-50. conti ued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah and Suri. (Started from 1948 and continued on Aman paddy). (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $943 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $140.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $157.3 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only N effect is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | P ${ }_{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | Mean | $F_{0}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 691 | 646 | 689 | 675 | 683 | 668 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 858 | : 28 | 830 | 839 | 846 | 831 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 1061 | 1073 | 1070 | 1068 | 1069 | 1067 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 1058 | 1083 | 1118 | 1086 | 1123 | 1050 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | 972 | 1085 | 1084 | 1047 | 1005 | 1090 |
| Mean | 928 | 943 | 958 | 943 | 945 | 941 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | 918 | 942 | 975 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 937 | 944 | 942 |  |  |  |


| S.E. of the marginal mean of N | $=28.68 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :---: | :---: |
| S.E. of the marginal mean of $P$ | $=22.23 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of the marginal mean of $F$ | $=20.31 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of the mean in the body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ table | $=49.68 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of the difference of two |  |
| 1. N means at the same level of F | $=64.22 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 2. F means at the same level of N | $=60.88 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. P means at the same level of $\mathbf{P}$ | $=49.78 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. P means at the same level of F | $=47.16 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Paddy (Aus). Site :-State Agri. Farm, Berhampore.

Ref : W.B. 51 (16)/50 (14)/49(13)
Type: 'M'

Object:-To find out (i) whether continuous application of A./S. in the same paddy land year after year has any deleterious effect on crop yield and on soil condition and (ii) whether such effect can be counteracted by supplementing A/S with B. M. and F.Y.M.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) Aus paddy. (c) Manures of this year were used in the last year. (ii) (a) Fine sandy loam, (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) 15 th May to 15 th June. (iv) (a) $4-5$ ploughings $\&$ and laddering after the preparation of land during the month of May and June. (b) Broadcast. (c) 3 ) srs./ac. i) \& (e)-(v) Nil. (vi) Dharial (eourse) late. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 weedings done. (ix) $32.31^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15 th Sept to 15 th Oct.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :-
All combinations of (1) \& (2)
(1) 5 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=90$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

## Sub-plot treatments :-

2 levels of F. Y. M. : $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=100 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$N$ as $A / S$ and $P_{2} 0_{5}$ as B. M.
B.M. and F.Y.M. were applied at the time of general preparation of land. A/S 4 weeks after sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split plot design. (ii) (a) 15 'main-plots/replication; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $37^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (b) $35^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around each sub plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Crops grew very poorly due to weather condition (ii) Plants were attacked with helminthosporium disease. The plots were also heavily infested with Motha grass which was weeded out. (iii) Height of the plants \& counting the number of tilers were done periodically. (iv) (a) 1949--continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No (b) N.A. 'vi) Crop badly affected due to severe and continuous drought (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $1185 \quad \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{Jac}$.
(ii) (a) $77.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $93.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of N and F.Y.M. are highly significant. Other effects are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{ac}$.

S.E. of marginal mean of N
S.E. of marginal mean of $P$
S.E. of marginal mean of $F$
S.E. of bocy of $N \times P$ table
S.E. of difference of two

1. F means at the same level of $\mathrm{N}=37.97$,
2. N means at the same levely of $\mathbf{F}=35.02 \%$
3. F means at the same level of $\mathbf{P}=29.41 \mathrm{~m}$
4. $\mathbf{P}$ means at the same level of $F \quad=27.12$

Object:-To find out (i) whether continuous application of $A / S$ in the same paddy land year after year has any deleterious effect on crop yield and soil condition and (ii) whether such $\mathrm{an}_{\mathrm{j}}^{-}$effect can be counteracted by supplementing A/S with B.M. and F.Y.M.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Lentil or gram without giving any manure-Paddy. (b) Aus paddy. (c) Manures of this year were used in the last year. (iii) (a) Fine sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore (iii) 15th May to 15 th June. (iv) (a), (b) N.A. (c) 30 srs ./ac. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Dharial (coarse) (late.) (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 weedings done (ix) $52.75^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15 th Sept. to 15 th Oct:

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments:-
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=90$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Sub-plot treatments;-
2 levels of F.Y.M. : $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=100 \mathrm{md}$./ac.
N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M.
B.M. and F.Y.M. were applied at the time of general preparation of land; A/S 4 weeks after transplantation.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split plot design. (ii) (a) 15 main-plots/replication; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4.(iv) (a)
$37^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (b) $35^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around each plot. (vi) Yes.

GENERAL :
(i) Yield rates were abnormally low. Plants receiving doses higher than $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N were lodged. Due to drought at the sowing time thelgermination was not uniform as such plants which came out were very sickly. (ii) Infestation with weeds was very severe. The plants could not compete with weeds which could not be checked in spite of several weedings. (iii) No. (iv) (a) 1949 -continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $707.7 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $31.85 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $31.85 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and F are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | $F_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 628.7 | 569.0 | 623.1 | 606.2 | 581.8 | 632.0 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 680.5 | 650.9 | 704.4 | 678.6 | 637.2 | 720.0 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 732.7 | 711.9 | 765.3 | 736.6 | 709.6 | 763.6 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 808.1 | 841.8 | 803.1 | 817.7 | 789.2 | 846.2 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | 711.0 | 705.2 | 679.7 | 698.6 | 682.2 | 715.1 |
| Mean | 712.2 | 695.8 | 715.1 | 707.7 | 680.0 | 735.4 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | 687.9 | 674.0 | 678.1 |  | . | - |
| $F_{1}$ | 736.5 | 717.6 | 752.1 |  | . |  |

S.E. of the marginal mean of N
S.E. of the marginal mean of $P$
S.E. of the marginal mean of $\mathbf{F}$
S.E. of the mean in body of $\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{P}$ table
S.E. of difference of two :

1. F means at the same level of N
2. N means at the same level of $F$
3. F mens $=13.00 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
4. P means at the same level of $F \quad=10.07 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =6.50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =5.03 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =4.17 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =11.27 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =13.00 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =13.00 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =10.07 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =10.07 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
\end{aligned}
$$

Crop : Paddy (Aus).
Site:- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore.

Ref:- W.B. 53(2)/52(30)/
51(16)/50(14)/49(13).
Type:- ' $M$ '.

Objec 1:--To find out (i) whether continuous application of A/S in same paddy land year after year has any deleterious effect on crop yield and on soil condition (ii) whether such effect can be counteracted by supplementing A/S with B.M. and F.Y.M. and (iii) to find a suitable combination of manures for rice growing in different tracts of West Bengal.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Lentil or gram without giving any manure-Paddy. (b) Aus-Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Fine sandy loam.
(b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) 15 th May to 15 th June. (iv) (a), (b) N.A. (c) 30 srs./ac.
(d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Dharial (coarse) late. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 weedings. (ix) 47.17". (x) 15 th Sept. to 15 th October.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :-
All combinations of (1) \& (2)
5 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=90$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
Sub-plot treatmerts :-
2 levels of F.Y.M. : $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=100 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
N as A/S and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M.
B.M. and F.Y.M. were applied at the time of general preparation of land ; A/S 4 weeks after transplantation.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Split plot. (ii) (a) 15 main-plots/replication; 2 sub-plots/main-plot (b) N.A. (iii) 4 (iv) (a) $37^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$.
(b) $35^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around the sub-plots. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Not favourable. Increase of height and number of tillers of the paddy plants was continuous with the application of A/S. Plants receiving highest doses of $120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. N lodged. B.M.and F.Y.M. did not show any vegetative gorwth of the plants. (ii) Crop was very heavily attacked with helminthosporium disease. The plots were also very heavily infested with several types of weeds specially by Mutha and Shyama grasses. Crop practicaly failed in control plots. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 1949 -continued. (b) Yes. (c) NA. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $\quad 778.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $463.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $242.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{3}$ | Mean | F. | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | 265.0 | 394.6 | 274.0 | 311.2 | 274.3 | 348.0 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 762.8 | 651.7 | 385.1 | 599.9 | 561.8 | 638.0 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 999.8 | 668.8 | 1154.5 | 543.8 | 925.8 | 955.8 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 1175.2 | 1114.2 | 897.0 | 1062.1 | 981.1 | 1143.2 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | 1017.1 | 1077.4 | 845.9 | 980.1 | 929.9 | 1030.3 |
| Mean | 844.0 | 781.2 | 711.3 | 778.8 | 734.6 | 823.1 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | 817.4 | 710.1 | 676.4 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 870.6 | 852.4 | 746.2 |  |  |  |

S.E. of the marginal mean of $\mathbf{N}$
S.E. of the marginal mean of $P$
$=94.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of the marginal mean of $F$
$=73.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of the mean in the body of the table
S.E. of the difference of two

1. $F$ means at the same level of $N$
$31.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=163.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $N$ means at the same level of $F$ -
$=98.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $F$ means at the same level of $P$
$4 \quad P$ means at the same level of $F$
$=150.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=54.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=117.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref:- W.B. 52(24).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To find out the effect of G.M. on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No (b) Aman paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 22.7 .52 (iv) (a) Plouging 4 times. (b) N.A. (c) 12 srs./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 3 to 4 . (v) Nil. (vi) Patnai (Med). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding 2 times and spading one time. (ix) $42.54^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 17.12 .52.
2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control
2. Dhaincha at 12 srs./ac.
3. Dhaincha at 16 srs /ac.
4. Dhaincha at 20 srs /ac.
5. Sunnhemp at $16 \mathrm{srs} / \mathrm{ac}$.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii)
(ii) (a) 5 .
(b) N. $\dot{A}$.
(iii) 4 (iv)
(a) $27^{\prime} \times 31^{\prime}$
(b) $25^{\prime} \times 29^{\prime}$ (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around the plot.
(vi) Yes.

GENERAL : :
(i) Fair (no lodging). (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1951 -continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.

## RESULTS :

(i) $1417 \cdot \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $221.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1243 |
| 2. | 1498 |
| 3. | 1468 |
| 4. | 1560 |
| 5. | 1313 |
| S.E./mean | $=110.7 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. |


| Crop : Paddy (Aman). | Ref :- W.B. 53(15)/52(24). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan. | Type : ' 'M'. |

Object :--To find out the effect of G.M. on the yield of Paddy.

BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Aman Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 15th June to 1st week of July 15 th July, to 1st week of August. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanted. (c) (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Patnai (Med). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $54.41^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15 th Dec. to 1st week of January.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control.
2. Dhaincha at $12 \mathrm{srs} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. Dhaincha at $16 \mathrm{srs} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. Dhaincha at 20 srs./ac.
5. Sunnhemp at 16 srs./ac.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $27^{\prime} \times 31^{\prime}$. (b) $25^{\prime} \times 29^{\prime}=1 / 60.08$ th lb ./ac. (v) $1^{\prime}$ broder around the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1951 -continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $3536 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $541.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 3503 |
| 2. | 3762 |
| 3. | 3016 |
| 4. | 3747 |
| S. | 3654 |
| S.E./mean | $=270.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref:- W.B. 52(20)
Type :- ' M '.

Object :- To study whether there is any deficiency of trace elements in the soils of Burdwan .

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No (b) Aman Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 21.7.52.
(iv) (a) 4 ploughings. (b) Transplanted. (c) - (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) $3-4$. (v) $100 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung.
(vi) Patani. (Med). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Spading once and weeding once. (ix) 42.54*. (x) 15.12.52.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

A 1 possible combinations of (1) \& (2).
(1) 2 doses of $A / S+\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \quad \mathrm{M}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{M}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N .
(-) 2 doses of trace element mixture : viz. $\mathrm{E}_{0}=3$ and $\mathrm{E}_{1}=$ Trace element mixture.
Source of $N$ was $A / S$, of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ was Super and that of trace element was Zn . Sul ; Mn. Sul. + Copper Sulphate. A/S applied on 21.8 .52 ; Trace element mixture top-dressed after $1 \frac{1}{2}$ month of preparation of land.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (Fact.) (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $47.5^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $45.5^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good (no lodging). (ii) Attack of root-rot disease. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) 1952-continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1837 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $260.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only the effect of M is significant.
(!v) Av. yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.


Crop :- Paddy (Aman).<br>Ref :- 53(16)/52(20)<br>Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.<br>Type :- ' M '.

Object :- To study whether there is any defficiency of trace elements in the soil of Burdwan.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) Aman Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 15th June to 1st week of July/15th July to 1st week of August. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanted. (c)- (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2-3. (v) Nil. (vi) Patnai (Med). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $58.41^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15 th Dec. to 1 st weak of January.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All possible combinations of (1) \& (2)
(1) 2 doses of $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : $\quad \mathrm{M}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{M}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N .
(2) 2 trace element mixture doses: $\mathrm{E}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{E}_{1}=$ Trace element mixture.

Source of N was $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$, of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ was Super, of trace element mixture was Zn . $\mathrm{Sul}+\mathrm{Mn}$. Sul. + Copper sulphate. A/S and Super applied during general preparation of land and trace elements top dressed after $1 \frac{1}{2}$ months.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (Fact.) (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $47.5^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $45.5^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ border around the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Favourable. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1952-continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $3797 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
-
(ii) $614.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) No effect is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| , $\mathrm{E}_{0}$ | 3650 | 3455 | 3552 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ | 3785 | 4296 | 4041 |
| Mean | 3718 | 3875 | 3797 |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { S.E. of the body of the table. } & =274.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { S.E. of any marginal mean. } & =194.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .\end{array}$

Crop:- Paddy (Aman).<br>Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.<br>\[ \begin{aligned} \& Ref :- W B. 51(2) .<br>\& Type :- 'M'. \end{aligned} \]

Object :-To assess the comparative crop yielding property of bulky organic manure with that of A/S.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Old alluvial loam of light brownish colour. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) $21 / 23.7 .50$. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanted in lines. (c)- (d) $9^{\prime \prime}$ on each side. (e) 3. (v) Local practice (NA.) (vi• Patani 3-2 (Ch 7, Med;). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and intercultural operations. (ix) $29.6^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 5/10.12.51.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) \& (2) +a Control (no manure).
(1) 5 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{T} . \mathrm{C}$., Village Compost, Water Byacinth and Sludge.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

All manures added singly at the time of puddling to the individual plots.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R B.D. (ii) (a) 11. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $48^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $46^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) No attack of pests or disease. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953 . (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2728 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $281.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only "control vs. other treatments" is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

Control $=1786 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | Source |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | A/S | T.C. | Vill. Comp. | Wat.Bya. | Sludge | Mean |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 2790 | 2839 | 2905 | 2839 | 2773 | 2829 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 2781 | 2864 | 2831 | 2880 | 2716 | 2814 |
| Mean | 2785 | 2851 | 2868 | 2859 | 2744 |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of N | $=56.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of source | $=89.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of the body of the table | $=125.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Paddy (Aman).<br>Site :-State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref :-W.B. 52 (43)/51(2).
Type:-'M'.

Object :-To assess the comparative crop yielding property of bulky organic manure with that of A/S.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Old alluvial loam of light brownish colour. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 21, 23.7.52. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanted in lines. (c)-(d) $9^{\prime \prime}$ on each side. (e) 3. (v) Local practice (not known). (vi) Patani 23. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and intercultural operations. (ix) $25.1^{\prime \prime}$. (x) $5 / 10.12 .52$.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a control (no manure)
(1) 5 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{T} . \mathrm{C}$, Village compost, Water Byacinth and Sludge.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac

All manures added singly at the time of puddling to the individual plots.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 11. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 60$ th ac. (v) 1 ' border around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) No attack of pest \& disease. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 1929 lb ./ac.
(ii) $376.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant. Only the "control vs. other treatments" is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

Contro1 $=1317 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { S.E. of marginal mean of } \mathrm{N} & =75.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { S.E. of marginal mean of source } & =119.3 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { S.E. of the body of the table } & =168.7 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}\end{array}$

Crop :-Paddy (Aman).
Site :-State Agri. Farm; Burdwan.

Ref :-W.B. 53(38)/52(43)/51(2).
Type :-'M'.

Object.:-To assess the comparative crop yielding property of bulky organic manure with that of $A / S$.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) New alluvial loam of light brownish colour. (b) Refer soil änalysis, Burdwan. (iii) $15.7 .52 \& 17.7 .53$. ( 4 week old seedlings transplanted). (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanted in lines. (c)-(d) $9^{\prime \prime}$ on each side. (e) 3. (v) Local practice (not known). (vi) Patnai 23. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and interculture operations. (ix) $35.9^{\prime \prime}$. (x) $5.12 .53 \& 10.12 .53$.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) +a control (no manure)
(1) 5 sources of N: A/S, T.C., Village compost, Water Byacinth and Sludge.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

All manures added singly at the time of puddling to the individual plots.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 11. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 60$ th of an acre. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) No. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $3389 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $245.2 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only "control $\nu s$ others" is highly significant. No other effect is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

$$
\text { Control }=2347 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
$$

|  | A/S | T.C. | Vill. Comp. Wat.Byc. | Sludge | Mean |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 3672 | 3619 | 3538 | 3507 | 3479 | 3563 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 3438 | 3538 | 3385 | 3379 | 3382 | 3424 |
| Mean | 3550 | 3578 | 3461 | 3443 | 3430 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of N | $=49.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of source | $=77.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of the body of the table | $=109.7$ | $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |

## Crop :- Paddy (Aman). <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref:- W.B. 52(21)
Type:- ' M '

Object :-To find out the effect of $A / S$ with and without lime on the yield of Aman Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) As under treatments. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 18/19.7.52. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings (b) Transplanted. (c) - (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (c) 3-4. (v) $100 \mathrm{mds} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung. (vi) Nagra (Medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding two times and spading once. (ix) 42.54". (x) 5-12-52; 7.12.52.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of Lime : $\mathrm{L}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~L}_{1}=4$ and $\mathrm{L}_{2}=8 \mathrm{cwt}$./ac.

Lime used 6 weeks before transplantation, it is used every fourth year.
Date of application of lime : 11.7.52.
Date of application of A/S : 23.8.52.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (Factorial). (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $62^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (b) $60^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory ; lodging. (ii) Root rot disease. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1952-continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A, (v) (a) Chinsurah Farm. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2219 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $293 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) No effect is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | Mean. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ | 1955 | 2131 | 2318 | 2138 |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{1}$ | 2188 | 2307 | 2152 | 2216 |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{2}$ | 2406 | 2277 | 2230 | 2304 |
| Mean. | 2186 | 2238 | 2233 | 2219 |

S.E. of the marginal mean

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =69.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =119.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
\end{aligned}
$$

Crop:- Paddy (Aman).
It itsite:-State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref:- W.B. 53(9)/52(21)
Type:- 'M'.

Object :-To find out the effect of $A / S$ with and without lime on the yield of Aman Paddy.


(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam." (b) ${ }^{+}$Réfer 'shol analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 15th June to 1st week of July/15th July to lst week of August. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting., (c) - (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2-3. (v) Nil. (vi) Patnai. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $54.41^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15 th December tô' Ist ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ wreek of January.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
in \%.9人 mest
(2) 3 levels of Lime : $\mathrm{L}_{0}=0 . \mathrm{L}_{1}=4$ and $\mathrm{L}_{2}=8 \mathrm{cwt}$./ac,
.5

Lime used before 4 weeks before transplanation, It is used every fourth year.,
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (Fact). (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $62^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (b) $60^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :

| wiol | $\therefore$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $18 \%$ | $\therefore$ |

(i) Favourable. (ii) Stem borer reported. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1952 -continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a), (b) N.A (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :


S.E. of marginal means $\quad=126.7 \mathrm{ib}^{\circ} / \mathrm{ac}$.


$$
1
$$

Crop:-Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan. $\cdots$

Ref: W.B. 52(22).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the comparative effects of Super and Rock phosphate on Aman Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 23/24-7-52. (iv) (a) No. of ploughings-4 (b) Transplanting. (c) $\therefore$ (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime} .^{\circ}$ (e) ${ }^{\circ} 3-4$ (v) 100 mds./ac. of cowdung. (vi) Nagra (Medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding 2 times and spading one time. (ix) $42.54^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 9.12 .52 ; 11.12.52.

2. Control.
3. Super $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
4. " 60
5. Rock phosphate 30 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
6. " " 60 "

Applied on surface after " weeks of transplantation.
6. Super $30 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (at puddling) + Super $30 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} / \mathrm{ac}$. (on surface after 4 weeks).:
7. Rock Phos. $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (at puddling) + Rock Phos $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (on surface after 4 weeks).
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 7. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $62^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (b) $60^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good; no lodging. (ii) Root rot disease, other details N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) No. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1873 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $236.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1773 |
| 2. | 1831 |
| 3. | 1929 |
| 4. | 1898 |
| 5. | 1888 |
| 6. | 1929 |
| 7. | 1861 |
| S.E./mean | $=96.45 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Paddy (Aman).
Site :mStat Agri. Farm, Burdwan. Type :•'M'.
Object :-To study the effect of the placement of A/S on Aman Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan.
(iii) 21.7.52. (iv) (a) No. of ploughings-4. (b) Transplanted. (c) $-\ldots$ (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ (c) 3-4.
(v) 100 mds./ac. of cowdung. (vi) Patnai (Medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding once and spading once. (ix) 42.54". (x) 14.12.52.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2).
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 methods of placing $A / S: M_{1}=$ on Surface ; and $M_{2}=$ Thrust into soil.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ applied 4 weeks after transplantation.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (Fact.). (ii) (a) 8
(b) N.A.
(iii) 5 .
(a) $47.5^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$
(b) $45.5^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$ (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory ; no lodging. (ii) Root rot disease, other details N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1952continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chinsura Farm. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $2324 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $251.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) No effect is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | Mean. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 2339 | 2320 | 2313 | 2345 | 2329 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 2407 | 2278 | 2271 | 2320 | 2319 |
| Mean. | 2373 | 2299 | 2292 | 2333 | 2324 |

S.E. of the body of the table S.E. of the marginal mean of N.
$=112.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. S.E. of the marginal mean of M
$=79.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=56.31 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Object :-To study the effect of placement of A/S on Aman Paddy:

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay lodm. (b) Réfer soil analysis, Burdwañ: (iii) 15th June to 1st week of July/15th July to 1st week of August. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanted. (c) -. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2-3. (v) Nil. (vi) Patnai. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $54.41^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15 th December to 1st week of January.
2. TREATMENTS :

All possible combinations of (1) and (2).
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 2 methods of placing $A / S: M_{1}=$ on Surface and $M_{2}=$ Thrust into soil.
iN as A/S applied four weeks after transplantation.
3 DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (Fact.). (ii) (a) 8 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (ivi) (a) $47.5^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime} . \mathrm{B}^{\prime}(\mathrm{b}) 45.5^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around the plot. (vi) Yes.
GENERAL :
(i) Favourable; lodging reported. (ii) Stemboref attack. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1952-continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chinsura farm. (b) N:A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. R.ĖSULTS :
(i) $3431 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $449.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) No effect is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - $\mathbf{M}_{1}$ | 3181 | 3359 | 3590 | 3553 | 3421 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | . 3396 | 3548 | 3831 | 2990 | 3441 |
| Mean | 3288 | 3454 | 3710 | 3271' | 3431 |

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { S.E. of marginal mean of } N & =142.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
\text { S.E. of marginal mean of } M & =100.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of the cody of the table } & =200.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :-Paddy (Aman). } & \text { Ref :-W.B. } 50 \text { (16). } \\
\text { Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan. } \\
\text { Object :-To study the efficiéicy of different manures applied on acidic so il for the prodicticn of Facdy. }
\end{array}
$$

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Fallow-Aman paddy. (b) Falloẅ. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) "Refer soil analysis, Burdwan.
(iii) Middle of June/lst week of August, 1950. (iv) (a) $4-5$ ploughings and laddering. (b) Transplanting. (c) -.. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) $2-3$. (v) A basal dose of F.Y.M. at 5 ton./ac• to each plot. (vi) Nagra (CEI. 5, Medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) $2-3$ weedings is common practice. (ix) N.A. (x) 3rd wéek of - December, 1950.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control. $\quad$ 7. A/S at 11 lb ./ac of $\mathrm{N}+$ Super at 60 lb ./ac.
2. Amm. Mag Phos. at $210 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. Super at $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
4. Rock Phosphate at $60 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} / \mathrm{ac}$.
5. Mag. Sul. at $31.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of MgO .
6. $A / \mathrm{S}$ at 11 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+$ Supet 48 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
7. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $11 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ of $\mathrm{N}+$ Super at $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+\mathrm{Mag}$. Sul. at $31.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of MgO .
8. A/S at $11 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+$ Rock Phosphate at $60 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
9. A/S at 11 lb ./ac. of N
10. $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ at $11 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N

A/S and C/N applied 4 weeks after transplantation by broadcasting and the rest were applied at the time of preparation of land.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 11. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $18^{\prime} \times 47.5^{\prime}$. (b) $16^{\prime} \times 45.5^{\prime}$. (v) Distance between plots $2^{\prime}$ and blocks $3^{\prime}$; $1^{\prime}$ border around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Negligible. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1951. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1804 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $416.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1505 |
| 2. | 1820 |
| 3. | 1858 |
| 4. | 1661 |
| 5. | 1679 |
| 6. | 1953 |
| 7. | 1851 |
| 8. | 2098 |
| 9. | 1932 |
| 10. | 1781 |
| 11. | 1710 |
| S.E./mean | $=186.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop .- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref:~W.B. 51(3)/50(16).
Type:- ' M '.

Object :- To study the efficiency of different treatments on the yields of Aman Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) Same expt. was in these plots last year. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 15th July to 1st week of August. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanted. (c)(d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) $\mathbf{2}^{2}$. (v) A basal dose of F.Y.M. at 5 ton/ac. in all plots. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigation. (viii) Intercultural operations were done three times. (ix) $29.6^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15th December to ist week of January.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control. 7. A/S at $11 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+$ Super at 60 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
2. Amm. Mag. Phos. at 210 lb ./ac.
3. Super at 60 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
4. Rock Phosphate at 60 lb . ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. 5.Mag. Sul. at 31.5 lb . of $\mathrm{MgO} / \mathrm{ac}$.
5. A/S at 11 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+$ Super at

48 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
8. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at 11 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+$ Super at 60 b ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ + Mag. Sul. at 31.5 Jb . of $\mathrm{MgO} / \mathrm{ac}$.
9. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ al 11 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+$ Rock Phos. at 60 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
10. A/S at 11 lb ./ac. of N .
11. $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ at 11 lb ./ac. of N .

A/S \& C/N were applied 4 weeks after transplantation by broadcasting and the rest were applied during the general preparation of land.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 11. (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $18^{\prime} \times 47^{\prime} .5^{\prime}$. (b) $16^{\prime} \times 45.5^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around each plot.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Very good. No lodging reported. (ii) Nil. (iii) ${ }_{\text {s }}$ Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1951. (b) Yes. (c) N.A.
(v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $2984 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $238.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments $\mathrm{d} \circ$ not differ significantly.


Object :- To stúdy j thereffect $t_{\text {of }}$ opplying Lime, $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{C}$ and their different Combinations on



1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Fallow-Paddy. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) Middle

(e) 2-3. (v) A basal dose of F.Y.M. at 5 ton/ac. to each plot. (vi) Nagra (CH 5. Medium.) (vii) Uńirrigated
(viii) 2-3 weedings in common practice. (ix) N.A. (x) 3rd week of December.
2. TREATMENTS

3. RESULTS
(i) $1912 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $367.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly s!!gnificantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.
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S.E./mean $\quad=150.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

## Crop :-Paddy (Aman).

Ref :-W.B. 51(4)/50(15).
Site :-State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.
Type:- ${ }^{\prime}$ '.
Object :-To study the efficiency of different treatments on the yield of Aman Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) As under treatments. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 15 th July to 1 st week of July. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanted. (c)-(d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Nagra (Medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Interculture operations were done three times. (ix) $29.6^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15 th December to 1st week of January.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control. 10. Treat. 2+Treat. 4.
2. Lime at $200 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. applied 6 weeks before transplanting.
3. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $20 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. Treat. $2+$ Treat. 5 .
5. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $40 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{ac}$.
6. Treat. $2+$ Treat. 6.
7. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{C}$ at $20 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{ac}$.
8. Treat. $2+$ Treat. 7.
9. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{C}$ at $40 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{ac}$.
10. Treat. $2+$ Treat. 8.
11. $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ at $20 \mathrm{lb} \cdot \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{ac}$.
1). A/S at $20 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{ac}$. applied just before flowering.
12. A/S at $40 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{ac}$. applied just before flowering.
13. $C / N$ at $40 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{ac}$.
14. Treat. $2+$ Treat. 15.
15. Treat. $2+$ Treat. 3.
16. Treat. $2+$ Treat. 16.

For treatments 3 to 14, N applied as broadcast 4 weeks after transplanting.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (Fact.) (ii) (a) 18 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $62^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (b) $60^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around each plot. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Satisfactory. No lodging. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1951. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2350 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $96.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |  | Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2037 |  | 10. | 2545 |
| 2. | 2237 |  | 11. | 2368 |
| 3. | 2269 |  | 12. | 2436 |
| 4. | 2479 |  | 13. | 2265 |
| 5. | 2300 |  | 14. | 2340 |
| 6. | 2405 |  | 15. | 2289 |
| 7. | 2219 |  | 16. | 2451 |
| 8. | 2292 |  | 17. | 2422 |
| 9. | 2381 |  | 18. | 2561 |
|  | S.E./mean | 39.5 lb./ac. |  |  |

Crop :-Paddy (Aman).
Site --State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref:-W.B. 53(14).
Type : $\wedge^{‘} \mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.

Objec:-To find out the effect of Super on the yield of Aman Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 15 th June to 1st week of July/15th July to 1st week of August. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c)-(d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2-3. (v) Dhaincha seeds sown at the rate of of 20 seers/ac. in all the plots. (vi) Patnai (Medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $54.41^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15 th Dec. to 1 st week of January.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. No fertilizer.
2. Super at $30 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. Super at $30 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} / \mathrm{ac}$. + Sodium Molybdate at 40 oz ./ac.
4. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $62^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (b) $60^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$ (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around the plot. (vi) Yes.
5. GENERAL :
(i) Favourable. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1953 - continued:(b) N.A: (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $3166 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $665.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in Ib ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 3041 |
| 2. | 3361 |
| 3. | .3097 |
| S.E./mean | $=332.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}:$ |

Crop :-Paddy (Aman).<br>Site :-State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref :-W.B. 53(21).
Type : ' $M$ '

Obje $t$ :-To find out the optimum requirement of $A / S$ and Super on Aman Paddy under different soil and climatic conditions of W. Bengal.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i)(a) No. (b) Aman Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii)(a) Clay loam..(b) N.A. (iii) 15 th June to 1st week of July/ 15 th July to 1 st week of August. (iv)(a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) - (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 3 seedlings per hole. (v) N.A. (vi) Nagra (Medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $54.41^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15 th Dec. to 1st week of January.
2. TREATMENTS :

All possible combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \quad \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40, \mathrm{P}_{3}=60$ and $\mathrm{P}_{4}=80 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 5 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=45$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super ploughed in before transplanting and N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ was given as a top dressing 4 weeks after transplantation
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (Fact.). (ii) (a) 25 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $38^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$. (b) $36^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around the plot. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ increased the vegetative growth. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1953 to 1955. (b) No. (v) Mayanaguri. Cooch-Behar. Chinsurah. Malda. Haringhata. Midnapore and Cultivator's fields. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2568 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $176.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) N levels differ significantly. Other effects are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | $P_{3}$ | $P_{4}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | 2447 | 2620 | 2293 | 2566 | 2437 | 2473 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | 2566 | 2715 | 2587 | 2549 | 2709 | 2625 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | 2591 | 2595 | 2654 | 2565 | 2651 | 2611 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{3}$ | 2624 | 2634 | 2472 | 2609 | 2530 | $257:$ |
| $\mathbf{N}_{4}$ | 2715 | 2451 | 2674 | 2480 | 2475 | 2559 |
| Mean | 2589 | 2603 | 2536 | 2554 | 2560 | 2568 |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =79.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { S.E. of body of the table } & =35.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .\end{array}$

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site:- State Agri. Farm, Canning.
Ref :- W.B. 49(11).
Type: 'M'.
Object : To study the effect of A/S and B.M. on the yield of Paddy in saline soil.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i)(a) Aman paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Saline soil (b) $\mathrm{N}_{2} \%=0.097 ; \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \%=0.123$; $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O} \%=0.932$; $\mathrm{pH}=7.1$. (iii) August 1949. (iv) (a) The field was ploughed $3-4$ times and puddling. (b) Transplanted. (c) - (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2-3. (v) Nil. (vi) Rupsail (Medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2-3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) December 1949.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) \& (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=10, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Source of $N$ is $A / S$ and that of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ is B.M.
B.M. was applied at the time of general preparation of land and A/S broadcast 4 weeks after transplantation.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $35^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around plot as guard row. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good no lodging). (ii) Negligible. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1951. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2143 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $426.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.l
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

S.E. of the marginal mean of $N \quad=134.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of the marginal mean of $P \quad=95.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of the body of table.
$=190.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Ref. W.B. 50(7)/49(1I) - $\because$

Object : To study the effect of A/S and B.M. on Paddy in saline soil.

$$
\therefore 3+\pi+3 x^{2}
$$

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No (b) Aman paddy. (c) Under treatments. (ii) (a) Saline soil. (b) $\mathrm{N}_{2} \%=0.097 \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \%=0.123$; $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O} \%=0.932 ; \mathrm{pH}=7.1$ (iii) $15 / 16-8-52$. (iv) (a) Three ploughings. (b) Transplanted. (c) -(d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 3. (v) Nil. (vil) Rupsail (early). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix)' N.A. (x) 26,27.8.50.

## 2. 'TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) \& (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=10, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Source of N was $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ was B.M.
Date of applying B.M. :287.50. A/S 13.9.50.
3. DESIGN: $\because \quad \vdots \%$ 品
(i) $4 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $35^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
0.1 0.
. 59.4
(i) No lodging. (ii) No. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1951. (b) Yes. (c) ${ }^{\text {( }}$ N: (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.

## RESULTS :

(i) $2126 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| \% |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| \% \% \% \% |  |
| $\therefore$ - $\times$ |  |

(ii) $197.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Effect of $N$ is highly significant. Interaction between $N$ and $P$ is highly significant while $P$ effect is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1596 | 2019 | 2223 | 2843 | 2170 |
|  | 1765 | 2011 | 2351 | 2198 | * 2081 |
| Mean | 1680 | 2015 | 2287 | 2521 | . 2126 |

S.E. of marginal mean of $\mathrm{N}^{-} \quad=62.54 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
$\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{E}$. of marginal mean of $\mathrm{P} \quad=44.44 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of the body of the table $=88.87 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop: Paddy (Aman).
Site :~ State Agri. Farm, Canning.

Ref :- W.B: $51(6) / 50(7) / \mathbf{4 9 ( 1 1 ) .}$
Type :-' $M$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ 'S and B.M. on Paddy in saline soil.
CONDITIONS :

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) As under treatments. (ii) (a) Saline soil. ' (b) $\mathrm{N} \%=0.097 ; \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \%$ $=0.123 ; \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O} \%=0.932 ; \mathrm{pH}=7.1$. (iii) 15 , 16.9.51. (iv) (a) 3 ploughings \& 1 puddiling. (b) Transplanting. (c) $-\ldots$. (d) $9^{*} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 3. (v) Nil. (vi) Rupsail (Medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings ( $5,6.10,51$ ). (ix) N.A. (x) 3,4.12.51.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combination of (1) \& (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=10, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Source of N was $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and that of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ was B.M.
B.M. thrust in at the time of general preparation of land and $A / S$ broadcast 3 weeks after transplantation.
3. DESIGN
(i) $4 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around each plat. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) No lodging. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1949 to $\overline{1} 1951$. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $993 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $104.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only N effect is highly significant.
(iv) Ar. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $N_{0}$ | $N_{1}$ | $N_{2}$ | $N_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P_{0}$ | 667 942 1122 1181 <br> 704 935 1195 1195 | 978 <br> Mean | 635 | 939 | 1159 |


| S.E. of marginal mean of N | $=32.92 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $\mathbf{P}$ | $=23.04 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of the body of the table | $=46.91 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Paddy (Aman). | Ref :- W.B. 48(2). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To find out effect of N and Lime alone and in combination on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Aman paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chicsurah. (iii) 6, 7-8-48. (iv) (a) The field was ploughed 3-4 times before transplanting. (b) Transplanting. (c $c_{1}$ (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{*}$. (e) 2-3. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (CH 3, Medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2-3 weedings. ( 5 weeks \& 9 weeks after transplantation). (ix) $48.58^{\prime \prime}$ approx. (May to Dec.). (x) 22/23.11.48.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20$, and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of Lime : $L_{0}=0, L_{1}=4$ and $L_{2}=8 \mathrm{cwt}$./ac.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ broadcast on 15.9 .48 and Lime applied once in every four years about 6 week before transplantation. This year Lime was applied on 29.6.48.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) Distance between plots $1.5^{\prime}$ bet. blocks $2^{\prime}$. $1^{\prime}$ guard row around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) Yes; 1945 -continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2411 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $413.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Effect of N alone is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of gràin in lb./ac.

S.E. of the marginal mean of $L$ or $N$
$=119.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of the body of table - $\quad=206.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).<br>Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsưrah.

Ref :- W.B. 49(2).
Type :- 'M'.
Object :-To find out effect of N and Lime alone and in combination on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Aman paddy-Fallow: (b) Fallow. (c) Nil: (ii) (a) Clayey. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 15-17.7.49. (iv) (a) The field was ploughed 3-4 times before transplanting. (b) Transplanting (c) -. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ : (e) 2-3. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (CH 3, Medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) $2-3$ weedings. (ix) $69.56^{\prime \prime}$. approx. (May to Dec.). (x) 18-21.12.49.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) \& (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0 ; \mathrm{N}_{1}=20$ and $\dot{N}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of Lime : $L_{0}=0, L_{1}=4$ and $L_{2}=8 \mathrm{cwt}$./ac.

A/S was applied 4 weeks after transplantation (21.8.49) and Lime was applied once every 4 years at least 6. weeks before transplantation.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) Distance between plots $1.5^{\prime}$ and between blocks $2^{\prime} ; 1^{\prime}$ guard row kept around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and 'straw yield No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2309 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $216.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Effect of N alone is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $L_{0}$ | 1748 | 2397 | 2552 | 2232 |
| $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | - 1851 | 2397 | 2602. | 2283 |
| $L_{2}$ | 2036 | 2407 | 2798 | 2414 |
| Mean. | 1878 | 2400 | 2650 | 2:09 |

$\begin{array}{llc}\text { S.E. of the marginal mean of } \mathrm{L} \text { or } \mathrm{N} & = & 62.42 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { S.E. of the body of the table } & = & 108.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .\end{array}$

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Ref :- W.B. 50(1).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.
Type :- 'M'.
Object :-To find out the effect of N . and Lime. alone and in combination on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No (b) Aman paddy. (c) Same expt. was in these plots. (ii) (a) Clayey in texture. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 15 th July to 1st week of August. (iv) (a) N,A. (b) Transplanting. (c) - (d) $9^{*} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (Medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) First weeding and one stirring 5 weeks after transplantation and second weeding 9 weeks after transplantation (before flowering). (ix) $51.67^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15th Dec. to Ist week of January.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 levels of Lime. : $\mathrm{L}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~L}_{1}=4$ and $\mathrm{L}_{2}=8 \mathrm{cwt}$./ac.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ was broadcast four weeks after transplantation; Lime applied once in 4 years 6 months before transplantation.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around each plot (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory ; No lodging. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1945 to 1954. (b) Yes. (c) N A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2376 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 305.3 lb ./ac.
(iii) Effect of N alone is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 1790 | 2396 | 2684 | 2290 |
| $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 2051 | 2494 | 2618 | 2388 |
| $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 2247 | 2219 | 2888 | 2451 |
| Mean | 2029 | 2370 | 2730 | 2376 |

S.E. of any marginal mean $=88.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of the body of table $=107.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :m W.B. 51 (11).
Type :~ ' M '.

Object -To find out the effect of N and Lime alone and in combination on the yietd of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) Same expt. was in these plots. (ii) (a) Clayey in texture. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) Early Sept. 1951. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) - (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 . (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (Medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) First weeding and one stirring 5 weeks after transplantation and second weeding 9 weeks after transplantation (before flowering). (x) $32.97^{\prime \prime}$. ( x ) Last week of December, 1950.

## 2. TREATMENTS :



(1) 3 levels of $N: N_{0}=0 . N_{1}=20$ and $N_{2}=40 \mathrm{ib} /$ ac.
(2) 3 levels of $L i m e: L_{0}=0, L_{1}=4$ and $L_{2}=8 \mathrm{cwt}$./ac.

A/S broadcast 4 weeks after transplantation; Lime applied once in 4 years 6 weeks before transplantation.
. i 1.11je?
3. DESIGN :

$$
\therefore a t i g c t \mathrm{l}
$$

$\therefore$ (v): sple
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$, (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around each plot. (vi) Yes.

$$
\text { - at.itiafoline vi } \because
$$

4. GENERAL :
(i) Poor. Weather condition was unfavourable due to drought. Rainfall was not timely. (ii) Slight attack of helminthosporium. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1945 to 1954 . (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1254 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $153.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of Lime and interaction. are $N \times L$ highly significant. Main effect of $N$ is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}$.



Crop :- Paddy (Aman).<br>Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.<br>Ref: © W.B. 52(19)<br>Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To find out the effect of N and Lime alone and in combination on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) As under treatments...(ii). (a) Clayey in texturé: (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 21.7.52. (iv) (a) Pre-tillage :- 1 plough and 1 cross plough; Preparatiön of land :1 plough and 1 cross plough; 1 plough, at the the time of puddling. (b) Transplanting. (c) - (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (Medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) First weeding one stirring; 5 weeks after transplantation and second weeding 9 weeks after transplantation (before flowering). (ix) - $40.23^{\circ}$ : ( x ) 9.1.53 ${ }^{\circ}$ 20.1.53."

## TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) $\quad$ is/nitha; A

(2) 3 levels of Lime $: L_{0}=0, L_{1}=4$ and $L_{2}=8 \mathrm{cwt} / \mathrm{ac}$. $\quad \mathrm{M}$

N as A/S broadcast-4 weeks after transplantation ; Lime applied once in 4 years 6 weeks before transplantatation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { :rrvent . }
\end{aligned}
$$

3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around the piot, (iv)Yes.'
-斿
4. GENERAL :
(i) No lodging. (ii) The crop was seriously affected by stemborer. Slight attack of yellowing disease.
(iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1945 to 1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Burdwan farm. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1393 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $338.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Neither main effects nor interaction is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $L_{0}$ | 1215 | 1256 | 1524 | 1332 |
| $L_{1}$ | 1322 | 1338 | 1457 | 1372 |
| $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ | 1317 | 1601 | 1503 | 1474 |
| Mean | 1285 | 1398 | 1495 | 1393 |

S.E. of the marginal mean (Nor L) $=97.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of the body of the table $\quad=169.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Ref :- W.B. 53(10).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.
Type:- ' $M$ '.

Object :-To find out the effect of N and Lime, alone and in combination on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 15 th June to 1 st week of July/15thJuly to 1st week of August. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) - (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasmanik (Medium). (vii) Irrigated. (vii) 1st weeding done 3 to 6 weeks after transplantation and second weeding 9 weeks after transplantation. (ix) $45.19^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15 th December to 1 st week of January.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $N: N_{0}=0, N_{2}=20$ and $N_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 levels of Lime : $L_{0}=0, L_{1}=4$ and $L_{2}=8 \mathrm{cwt}$./ac.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$, broadcast 4 weeks after transplantation, Lime applied once in :4 years 6 weeks before transplantation.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\circ} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1945 to 1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Burdwan. (b) N A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2708 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $201.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $N$ is highly significant. Main effect of $L$ and interaction $N \times L$ are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| 7 | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{L}_{0}$ | 2710 | 2716 | 2989 | 2805 |
| $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | 2409 | 2604 | 3040 | 2684 |
| $L_{2}$ | 2381 | 2708 | 2820 | 2636 |
| Mean | 2500. | $\stackrel{2676}{2}$ | 2950 | 2708 |

S.E. of marginal mean $\quad=58.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$
S.E. of body of the table $=100.8 \mathrm{lb}$. ac.

```
Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site : State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.
```

Ref: W.B. 48(1).
Type: ' $M$ '.
Object: To find out the effect of $N$ in the form of A/S and F.Y.M alone and in combination on theyisl 1 of paddy.
i. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Aman paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow: (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah.
(iii) 6.8 .48 (iv) (a) The field was ploughed $3-4$ times before transplantation. (b) Transplanting. (c) - (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2-3. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (CH 3, Medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) $2-3$ weedings. (ix) $48.58^{\prime \prime}$ approx. May to Dec. (x) $7,8.12 .48^{\prime}$.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

Main-plot treatments :-
2 levels of F.Y.M. : $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=100 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Sub-plot treatments:-
5 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=60, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=90$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=120 \mathrm{db} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
N as A/S was broadcast 4 weeks after transplantation and F.Y.M. was applied during general preparation of land.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Split plot. (ii) (a) 2 main-plots/block; 5 sub-plots/main-plot (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (ivi) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) Distance between plots $1.5^{\prime}$ and bet. blocks $2^{\prime} ; 1^{\prime}$ guard row around each .plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL;
(i) Normal ; plots with heavy doses of N lodged. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1945 to 1954. (b) yes. (c) N:A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N:A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
. RESULTS :
(i) $2555 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $378.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $395.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N is highly significant. Main effect of F.Y.M. and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{F}$ are not significant.
(iv). Av, yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{F}_{0}$ | 2268 | 3237 | 2808 | 2578 | 2492 | 2677 |
| $\mathbf{F}_{1}$ | 2509 | 3173 | 2854 | 2041 | 1592 | 2434 |
| Mean | 2388 | 3205 | 2831 | 2310 | 2042 | 2555 |
| S.E. of difference of two |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## S.E. of difference of two

1. main-plot treatment means

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =119.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =197.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =270.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =277.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
\end{aligned}
$$

sub-plot treatment means
main-plot treatment means at the same level of sub plot treatment

Crop: Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agrı. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref : W.B. 49(1).
Type : 'M'.

Object : To find out the effect of N in the form of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and F.Y.M. alone and in combination on the yield of paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Aman paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 15 to 17.7.49/21.8.49. (iv) (a) The field was ploughed 3-4 times before transplantation. (b) Trans planting (c)-(d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2-3. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (CH-3, Medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2-3 weedings. (ix) $69.56^{\prime \prime}$ approx. (May to Dec.). (x) 18 to 21.12.49.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :-
2 levels of F.Y.M. : $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=100 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

## Sub-plot treatments:-

5 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=90$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
F.Y.M. was applied at the time of general preparation of land (4.7.49) and N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ after 4 weeks of transplantation (21.8.49).
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split plot. (ii) (a) 2 main-plots/block, 5 sub-plots/main-plot (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$.
(b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) Distance between plots $1.5^{\prime}$ and bet. blocks $1.5^{\prime}: 1^{\prime}$ guard row around each plot.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1945 to 1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2310 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $153.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $292.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $\mathbf{N}$ is highly significant. Main effect of F.Y.M. is not significant, while interaction $N \times F$. is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $N_{0}$ | $N_{1}$ | $N_{2}$ | $N_{3}$ | $N_{4}$ | Mean |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | $\vdots$ | 1923 | 2561 | 2623 | 2468 | 2211 | 2357 |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{1}$ | 2458 | 2726 | 2262 | 2057 | 1810 | 2263 |  |
| Mean | 2190 | 2644 | 2442 | 2262 | 2010 | 2310 |  |

S.E of difference of two

| 1. main-plot treatment means | $=48.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. sub-plot treatment means | $=146.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |
| 3. sub-plot treatment means for the same level of main-plot treatment | $=206.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. main-plot treatment means for the same level of sub-plot treatment | $=191.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop:- Paddy (Aman).

Site:- State Agri. Farm Chinsurah.
Ref:- W.B. 50(5).
Type: ' $M$ '.
Object :-To find the effect of $N$ in the form of A/S and F.Y.M. alone and in combination on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) As under treatments. (ii) (a) Clayey in texture. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 12.8 .50 . (iv) (a) 4-5 ploughings and laddering after prcparation of land during May and June. (b) Transplanting. (c)- (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (Medium). (vii) Rainfed. Irrigation was given as and when necessary. (viii) First weeding and one stirring 5 weeks after transplantation and second weeding 9 weeks after transplantation (before flowering). (ix) $51.67^{\prime \prime}$. (x) $17 \& 18-12-50 .^{\circ}$

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments:-
2 levels of F.Y.M. : $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=100 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Sub-plot treatments :
5 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0 ; \mathrm{N}_{1}=30 \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60, \quad \mathrm{~N}_{3}=90$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ was applied by broadcasting 4 weeks after transplantation. F.Y.M. was applied during general preparation of land. Date of application of F.Y.M. 28.6.50 and A/S 16.9.50.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split plot. (ii) (a) 2 main-plots/block, 5 sub plots/main plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around the sub-plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. Lodging took place in the plots where higher doses of N were applied. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1945 to 1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2286 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) - $112.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $174.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{F}$ are highly significant. Main effect of F.Y.M. is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | 1813 | 2227 | 2278 | 2530 | 2551 | 2280 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2493 | 2776 | 1954 | 2131 | 2107 | 2298 |
| Mean | 2153 | 2502 | 2116 | 2330 | 2329 | 2286 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. main-plot treatment means | $=35.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. sub-plot treatment means | $=87.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |
| 3. sub-plot treatment means at the same level of main-plot treatment | $=123.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. main-plot treatment means at the same level of sub-plot treatment | $=115.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).<br>Site:- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :- W.B. 51(10).
Type :- ' $M$ '.
Object :- To find out the effect of $N$ in the form of $A / S$ and F.Y.M. alone and in combination on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) As under treatments. (ii) (a) Clayey in texture. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) Early Sept. 1951 (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c)- (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (Medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) First weeding and stirring done 5 weeks after transplantation and second weeding done 9 weeks after transplantation. (ix) 32.97". (x) Last week of December, 1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot ireatments :-
2 levels of F.Y.M. : $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=100 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$.
Sub-plot trnatments:-
5 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{No}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=90$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
N as A/S was applied by broadcasting 4 weeks after transplantation F.Y.M. was applied during general preparation of land.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split plot. (ii) (a) 2 main-plots/block; 5 sub-plots/main-plot. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around the sub plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Not satisfactoy. Lodging took place in those plots where higher dose of N was applied. Weather was unfavourable due to drought. (ii) Attack of helminthosporium. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1945 to 1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $1261 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $284.8 \mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $154.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) N effect and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{F}$ are highly significant. F.Y.M. effect is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $N_{0}$ | $N_{1}$ | $N_{2}$ | $N_{3}$ | $N_{4}$ | Mean |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| $F_{0}$ | 806 | 956 | 1337 | 1607 | 1527 | 1247 |
| $F_{1}$ | 1330 | 1072 | 1244 | 1376 | 1352 | 1275 |
| Mean | 1068 | 1014 | 1290 | 1491 | 1439 | 1261 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. main-plot treatment means
$=89.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. sub-plot treatment means
3. sub-plot treatment means for the same main plot treatment
$=77.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. main-plot treatment means for the same sub-plot treatment
$=108.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=133.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop : PPaddy (Aman).
Site :-State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :-W.B. 52 (18).
Type: "'M'.

Object:-To find out the effect of N in the form of A/S and F.Y.M. alone and in combination on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) As under treatments. (ii)(a) Clayey in texture. (b) Refer soil analysis Chinsura. (iii) 22.7.52. (iv) (a) Pre-tillage-1 plough and 1 cross plough ; Preparation of land-1 plough and 1 cross plough ; At the time of puddling-1 plough. (b) Transplanting. (c)- (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik!(Medium). (vii) Irrigated (tank). (viii) First weeding and one stirring 5 weeks after transplantation and second weeding 9 weeks after transplantation (before flowering). $\begin{array}{lll}\text { (ix) } 40.23^{\circ} & \text { (x) 9.1.53 and 20.1.53. }\end{array}$

## 2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot";treatments:-

2 levels of F.Y.M.: $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=100 \mathrm{md}$.fac.
Sub-plot treatments -
5 levels $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=90$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
N*as A/S was applied by broadcasting 4 weeks after transplatation. F:Y.M. was applied during general preparation of land.
Dates of manuring : $-\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ on 20.8.52 and F.Y.M. on 8.7.52.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split plot. (ii) (a) 2 main-plots/block. 5 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime}$ $\times 17^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around the sub-plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Not good; plants receiving doses higher than 60 lb ./ac. of N lodged during the flowering stage. (ii) Slight attack of yellowing disease. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1945-1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $\quad 1233 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $\quad 67.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $\quad 195.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only main effect of N is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of graln in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| F.Y.M. | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | 1165 | 1440 | 1295 | 1237 | 1029 | 1233 |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{1}$ | 1234 | 1587 | 1451 | 1093 | 802 | 1233 |
| Mean | 1199 | 1513 | 1373 | 1165 | 915 | 1233 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. main-plot treatment means $\quad=15.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. sub-plot treatment means $\quad=69.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. sub-plot treatment means at the same level of main--plot treament $=138.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. main-plot treatment means at the same level of sub-plot treatment $=125.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

## Crop :-Paddy (Aman). <br> Site :-State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :W.B. $53(13)$.
Type :-'M'.

Object :- To find out the effect of N in the form of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and F.Y.M. alone and in combination on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsulah. (iii) 15th June to Ist week of July/1ith July to 1st week of August. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transpeanting. (c)-. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (Medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1st weeding done 5 weeks to 6 weeks after transplantion and second weeding done 9 weeks after transplantation. (ix) $45.19^{\prime \prime}$ ( $x$ ) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :-
2 levels of F.Y.M. : $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=100 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Sub-plot treatments:-
5 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=90$ and $\mathrm{N}_{1}=120 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ was applied by broadcasting 4 weeks after transplantation. F.Y.M. was applied during general preparation of land.

## 3. DESIGN:

(i) Split plot. (ii) (a) 2 main-plots/block ; 5 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime}$ $\times 17^{\prime}$.(v) $1^{\prime}$ border around the sub-plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) 1945 to 1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULRS :

(i) $2660 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $379.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $342.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Levels of N differ significantly. Qudratic effect of N is highly significant. Interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{F}$ is not significant and levels of $F$ do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{F}_{0}$ | 2590 | 3021 | 2897 | 2835 | 2377 | 2744 |
| $\mathbf{F}_{1}$ | 2869 | 3024 | 2697 | 2422 | 1875 | 2578 |
| Mean | 2730 | 3023 | 2797 | 2628 | 2126 | 2660 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. main-plot treatment means $\quad=84.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. sub-plot treatment means $\quad=121.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. sub-plot treatment means at the same level of main-plot teratment $=242.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. main-plot treatment means at the same level of sub-plot treatment $=247.7 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

| Crop :-Paddy (Aman). | Ref :-W.B. 48 (9). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :-State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. | Type : ''M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of continuous application of B.M. on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Aman paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis. (iii) 3.8.48. (iv) (a) Ploughing once with improved plough for furrowing and 2-3 times with country plough.
(b) Transplanting. (c)-. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{n}$. (e) 2-3. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (CH-3, medium. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) $2-3$ weedings is usual practice. (ix) $48.58^{n}$ approx. (x) 18/19.11.48.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. 0 lb ./ac. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
2. 20 " "
3. 40 " "
4. $63 "$ "
B.M. was mixed with sqil and broadcast at the time of general preparation of land.
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 10 . (iv) (a) $64^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $61^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) Distance between plots $2^{\prime}$ and blocks $3^{\prime} ; 1.5^{\prime}$ border around each plot. (vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Negligible. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1944 to 1954 . (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
7. RESULTS:
(i) $2429 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .:$
(ii) $199.4 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly'.
(iv) Ay. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatments | Av. yield |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1. | 2250 |
| 2. | 2364 |
| 3. | 2436 |
| 4. | 2668 |
| S.E./mean | $=63.1 \quad$ lb./ac. |


| Crop :-Paddy (Aman). | Ref :-W.B. 49(7). |
| :---: | :---: |
| Site :-State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. | Type :- ${ }^{\prime}$ ' ${ }^{\text {/ }}$ |

Object :-To find out effect of continuous application of B.M. on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Aman paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallaw. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsnrah. (iii) 28.7.49. (iv) (a) The field was ploughed 3-4 times before transplanting. (b) Transplanting. (c) -(d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2-3. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik CH-3. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2-3 weedings. (ix) $69.56^{\prime \prime}$ approx. (May to Dec.) (x) 11/12.12.49.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. $0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
4. 20 " "
5. 40 ,"
6. 60 " "
B.M. applied on 24.7.49
7. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 10. (iv) (a) $64^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $61^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) Distance bet. plots $1.5^{\prime}$ and bet. blocks $2^{\prime}$; $1.5^{\prime}$ guard row around each plot. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1944 to 1955 . (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) IN.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
9. RESULTS :
(i) $2472 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $218.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2108 |
| 2. | 2388 |
| 3. | 2595 |
| 4. | 2796 |
| S.E./mean | $=69.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Paddy (Aman).<br>Site :-State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :wW.B. 50(2).
Type:-‘'

Object :-To find out the effect of B.M. on the yield of Aman paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) As under treatments (ii) (a) Clayey in texture. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 15 th July to 1 st week of August. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) -(d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 . (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (Medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) First weeding and one stirring done 5 weeks after transplantation and second weeding done 9 weeks after transplantation (before flowering). (ix) $51.67^{\circ}$. (x) 15th December to 1st week of January.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. $0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
2. 20 ,, .
3. 40 " ".
4. 60 " $\quad$ :
B.M. applied during the general preparation of land.
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 10 . (iv) (a) $64^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $61^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $1 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ border around each. (vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. No lodging. Weather condition was unfavourable at the time of flowering. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1944 to 1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $2900 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $194.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2422 |
| 2. | 2809 |
| 3. | 3044 |
| 4. | 3324 |
| S.E./mean | $=61.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Paddy (Aman).
Site :-State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :-W.B. 51(13).
Type : ‘'M'.

Object :-To find out the effect of B.M. on the yield of Aman paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) As under treatments (ii) (a) Clayey in texture. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) Early Sept. 1950. (iv) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c)-(d) $9^{*} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (c) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (Medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) First weeding and one stirring done 5 weeks after transplantation and second weeding done 9 weeks after transplantation. (ix) 32.97". (x) Last week of Dec. 1950.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. $0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
4. 20 " "
5. $40 » "$
6. 60 " "
B.M. applied during general preparation of land.
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 10 . (iv) (a) $64^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $61^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $1 \frac{1^{\prime}}{\prime}$ border around each plot. (vi) Yes.

## GENERAL :

(i) Due to drought; sowing and transplantation were done late. Rainfall was not timely Growth of the crop was not satisfactory. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1944 to 1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $934 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $127.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}_{\text {. }}$,
(iii), Treatments differ significantly.
(iv.) Avs yield of grain in 1 lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1. | $697^{7}$ |
| 2. | 875 |
| 3. | 1041 |
| 4. | 1123 |
| S.E./mean | $=40.3 \mathrm{lb} . / a c$. |

Crop : PRaddy: (Aman).<br>Site :-State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref:-W.B. 52(17).
Type:- 'M'.

Object:-To find out the effect of B.M. on the yield of Paddy.

## BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) Manures of this year were used in last year. (ii) (a) Clayey in texture. (b) Refer soil analysis. Chinsurah. (iii) 24.7.52. (iv) (a) Pre-tillage-1 plough and 1 cross plough: At the time of preparation of land :- 1 plough and 1 cross plough. At the time of puddling :-1: plough. (b) Transplanted. (c)-(d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (medium). (vii) Irrigated.: (viii) First weeding and one stirring 5 weeks after transplantation and second weeding done 9 weekst after transplantation (before flowering).(ix) $40.23^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 10.12.52-12.12.52.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. $0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
2. 20 " "
3. 40 " $"$
4. 60 " "
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : S B M. applied on 29.6 .52 broadcast. B.M. applied during general preparation of land.
5. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 10 . (iv) (a) $64^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $61^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $1 \frac{1^{\prime}}{\prime}$ border around each plot.
(vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL:
(i) Good. No lodging. (ii) Slight attack of yellowing disease. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1944 to 1955. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) No. (vi) and (vii). Nil!.
7. RESULTS :
(i) $1959 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ :
(ii) 167.9 lb /ac.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1840 |
| 2. | 1887 |
| 3. | 2035 |
| 4. | 20746 |
| S.E./mean | $=53.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
    Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Ref :- W.B. 53(12)
: Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.
```

```
Ref :- W.B. 53(12)
Type :- ' \(M\) '.
```

Object :-To find out the effect of B.M. on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. - BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 15th June to 1st week of July/15th July to 1st week of August. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) - (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (c) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 st weeding done 5 weeks to 6 weeks after transplantation and second weeding done 9 weeks after transplantation. (ix) $45.19^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15 th Dec. to Ist week of January.
2. TREATMENTS:

1. 0 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
2. 20 " "
3. $40 " \geqslant$
4. $60 \quad "$
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. ""pplied during general preparation of land.
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 10 . (iv) $64^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $61^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $11^{\prime}$ border around the plot. (vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1944 to 1954 . (b) Yes. ¿(c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) No. (v) and (vii) Nil.
7. RESULTS :
(i) $3325 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
-(ii) $182.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significanly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 3121 |
| 2. | 3169 |
| 3. | 3418 |
| 4. | 3591 |
| S.E /mean | $=57.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Paddy (Aman). | Ref :- W.B. 48(12) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of continuous application of B.M. on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Aman paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 29.7.48. (iv) (a) $3-4$ ploughings and laddering. (b) Transplanting. (c) - (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (c) 2-3. (v) Nil. (vi) Badkalamkati (Bankura 1, early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2-3 weedings is common practice. (ix) 44.28". (x) 16,17-11-48.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. $0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
4. 20 " "
5. 40 " "
6. $00 \quad "$
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as $\mathrm{B} . \overline{\mathrm{M}}$. mixed with soil and broadcast on 25.7 .48 at the time of general preparation of land.
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 10. (iv) (a) $64^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $61^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) Distance between plots $2^{\prime}$ and between blocks $3^{\prime} ; 1.5^{\prime}$ border around each plot. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Negligible. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1944 to 1953. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) No. (vi) Nil. (vii) The plot wise d ata
9. RESULTS :
(i) $1690 \mathrm{lb} \cdot / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) N.A.
(iii) N.A.
(iv) Âv. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1499 |
| 2. | 1763 |
| 3. | 1742 |
| 4. | 1755 |
| S.E./mean | $=$ N.A. |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref:- W.B. 49(8)
Type :- 'M'.

Object-To find out the response to B.M: on the yield of Paddy.

## BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Aman paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay soil. (b) Refer soil analysis Chinsurab. (iii) 26.6.49. (iv) (a) The field was ploughed $3-4$ times before transplantation. (b) Transplanting. (c) (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2-3. (v) Nil. (vi) Badkalamkati 65 (Bankura-1, early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2-3 weedings. (jx) 69.56". (May to Dec.). (x) 30.11.49.
2. TREATMENTS :

1. 0 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
2. 20 " "
3. 40 "
4. 60 ",
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. applied on 24.7.49.
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 10. (iv) (a) $64^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$ (b) $61^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) Distance between plots $1.5^{\prime}$ and between blocks $2^{\prime} ; 1.5^{\prime}$ guard row around á plot. (vi). Yes.
6. GENERAL :
(i) Normal: (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1944 to 1953. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a), No. (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

7. RESULTS :
(i) $1411 \quad \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 235.8 lb ./ac.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{ac}$.

```
Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site:- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.
\[
\text { Ref :- W.B. } 50(3)
\]
Type :- 'M'.
```

Object :-To find out the effect of B.M. on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) As under treatments. (ii) (a) Clayey in texture. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 15 th July to 1 st week of August. (iv) (a) $4-5$ ploughings \& laddering after the preparation of land during May \& June. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) $2-3$. (v) Nil. (vi) Badkalamkati (early). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) First weeding and one stirring done 5 weeks after transplantation and second weeding done 9 weeks after transplantation (before flowering). (ix) 51.67 ". (x) 15th December to 1st week of January. 9

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. 0 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
2. 20 " "
3. 40 "
4. 60 " "
$\mathbf{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. "applied during the preparation of land. Residual effect studied.
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 10.
(iv) (a) $64^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$.
(b) $61^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $1 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ border around each plot. (vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL :
(i) Moderate. No lodging, weather condition was unfavourable at the time of flowering. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain (iv) (a) 1944 to 1953. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chinsurah farm. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
7. RESULTS :
(i) $1719 \mathrm{lb} .{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $134.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1494 |
| 2. | 1648 |
| 3. | 1754 |
| 4. | 1981 |
| S.E./mean | $=42.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).<br>Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref - - W.B. 51(12).

Object :-To find out the effect of B.M. on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) As under treatments. (ii) (a) Clayey in texture. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) Early Sept. 1950. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) —. (d) $9^{*} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (c) 2 . (v) Nil. (vi) Badkalamkati (early). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) First weeding \& stirring done 5 weeks after transplantation and second weeding done 9 weeks after transplantation. (ix) 32.97". (x) Last week of December, 1950.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. 0 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
2. 20 "
3. 40 " "
4. 60 " "
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. applied during preparation of land.
5. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 10 . (iv) (a) $64^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $61^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime} \cdot\left(\right.$ (v) $1 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ border around each plot. (vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL :
(i) Due to drought, sowing and transplantation were done late. As a result the growth of the crorp wase not 'satisfactory. (ii) Slight attack of helmińthósporium disease. '(iii) Yield of "graiin. (iv) (a) 1944 to 1953. (b) Yes. (c) N:A. .(v) (a) Chinsúrah farm. (b) N:A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
7. RESULTS :
(i) $1503 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $292.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1447 |  |  |  |
| 2, | 1466 | - |  |  |
| 3. | 1577 |  |  |  |
| 4. | 1522 | . | , |  |
| S.E./mean | $=92.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).<br>Site :m State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Rèf:-W.B. 52(16).<br>Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To find out the effect of B.M. on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) As under treatments. (ii) (a) Clayey in texture. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 15.7.52. (iv) (a) Pre-tillage:- 1 plough and 1 cross plough. At the time of preparation of land : -1 plough and 1 cross plough. At the time of puddling : -1 plough. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times \ni^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Badkalamkati (Bankura 1, early). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) First weeding and one stirring 5 weeks after transplantation and second weeding done 9 weeks after transplantation (before flowering). (ix) $40.23^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 5.11.52 \& 6.11.52.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. 0 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
2. 20 ",
3. 40 " "
4. 60 " "

No manure applied in this year. Residual effect of the treatments applied last year.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A.
(iii) 10. (iv)
(a) ${ }^{\prime} 64^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$.
(b) $61^{\prime} \times 12^{\circ}$
(iv) $1 \frac{1}{2}$ ' border around the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. No lodging. (ii) Slight attack of yellowing disease. (iii) Yield of gráin. (iv) (a) ${ }^{1} 1944$ tò 1953. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chinsurah. (b) N.A. (vi):\& (vii) Nil:
5. RESULTS:
(i) 819.4 lb ./ac.
(ii) $101.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 804.8 |
| 2. | 825.4 |
| 3. | 832.8 |
| 4. | 814.7 |
| S.E./mean | $=32.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :- Paddy (Aman). } & \text { Ref :- W.B. 53(11). } \\
\text { Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. } & \text { Type :- 'M'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :-To find out the effect of B.M. on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clayey (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 15 th June to 1st week of July/15th July to 1st week of August. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Badkalamkati. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $45.19^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15 th Dec. to 1st week of January.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. $0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
4. 20
5. 43 "
6. 60 ",
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B. M. applied during general preparation of land.
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 10 . (iv) (a) $64^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $61^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\frac{1}{2}^{\prime}}$ border around the plot. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1944 to 1953 . (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chinsurah
farm. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
9. RESULTS :
(i) $2362 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $171.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2344 |
| 2. | 2363 |
| 3. | 2421 |
| 4. | 2319 |
| S.E./mean | $=54.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :- W.B. 48(5).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.
Type : ' $M$ '.

Object :- To study the effect of continuous application of A/S, B.M. and Lime on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITTONS :

(i) (a) Fallow-Paddy. (b) Aman paddy. (Jhingasail, Raghusail \& Nagra varieties). (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 7-10.9.48. (iv) (a) \& (b) The field was ploughed 3-4 times before transplantation. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Jhingasail. (CH-27, medium), vii) Unirrigated (viii) 2-3 weedings. (ix) 48.58" Approx. (May to Dec.) (x) 17.12.48. to 1.1.49.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Treatments in one direction :
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=90$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 levelg of Lime : $L_{0}=0, L_{1}=4$ and $L_{2}=8 \mathrm{cwt} / \mathrm{ac}$.

Treatments in orthogonal direction :
3 levels $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
N applied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S} ; \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as B.M. 4 weeks after transplantation. Lime applied once in 4 years.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) Strip plot. (ii) (a) 15 strips in one direction and 3 in orthogonal direction. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $19^{\prime} \times 34^{\prime}$. (b) $17^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border alround the plot as guard row. Distance between plots $1.5^{\prime}$ \& blocks $2^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Plants grew rapidly after 2 weeks of transplanting and tillering started rapidly. Lodging took place in plots with the higher doses of N . (ii) Rice case worm (Nymplunla depuctalis) was observed 6 weeks after transplantation. Rope soaked in Kerosine oil drawn over affected plots and kerosine oil poured in some plots. Rice himson-affected plots treated with gammaxene. : Helminthosporium-Slight attack. (iii) Tillering and height of plants observed every fortnight ( 10 seedling/plot selected at random); Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1948 -continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Suri (1st year \& continued). (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) ' $1943 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $387.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $245.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(c) $181.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Levels of N differ highly significantly. Other main effects $\&$ interaction are not significant.
'(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}$.

S.E. of the marginal mean of N
S.E. of the marginal mean of $L$.
S.E. of body of ( $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{L}$ ) table
S.E. of difference of two

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 1. P means at the same level of } \mathrm{N} & =65.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { 2. } \mathrm{N} \text { means at the same level of } \mathrm{P}: & =89.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
\text { 3. } P \text { means at the same level of } \mathrm{L} & =52.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { 4. } \text { L means at the same level of } \mathrm{P} & =69.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =52.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =40.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =91.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =65.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =89.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =52.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =69.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
\end{aligned}
$$

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site : State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah

Ref :- : W.B. 49(5)/48(5).
, Tyye:- ' M '.

Object :- To study the effect of continuous application of A/S, B.M. \& Lime on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Paddy-fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clayey loam. (b) Refer" soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 19 to 25.8.49. (iv) (a) \& (b) The field was ploughed 3-4 times before transplantation. (c) 5-7 srs/ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Jhingasail (CH 27. Medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2-3 weedings is general practice. (ix) $69.56^{\prime \prime}$ approx (May to Dec.) (x) 2 to 16.1.50.

## 2. TREATMENTS

Treatments in one direction :
All combinations of (1) \& (2)
(1) 5 levels of N viz, $\mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=90$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of Lime viz. $L_{0}=0, L_{1}=4$ and $L_{2}=8 \mathrm{cwt} / \mathrm{ac}$.

Treatments in orthogonal direction :
3 levels of $P$ viz. $\quad P_{0}=0, P_{1}=20$ and $P_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / a c$.
N applied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S} ; \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as B.M. 4 weeks after transplantation.
Lime applied once in 4 years.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Strip plot. (ii) (a) 15 strips along one direction and 3 in an orthogonal direction (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$ (v) Distance between plots $1.5^{\prime} \&$ between blocks $2^{\prime}$; $1^{\prime}$ border around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. Plots receiving heavy doses of N lodged at a later stage. (ii) Nil. (iii) Tillering and height of tillers. Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1943-continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Suri \& Berhampore started in 1948-49 \& 1949-50 respectively \& continued. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1826 \quad \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $196.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $190.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(c) $150.1 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ differ highly significantly. Interaction ( $\mathrm{L} \times \mathrm{P}$ ) is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | Mean | $L_{0}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | $L_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 1710 | 1849 | 2064 | 1874 | 1878 | 1845 | 1900 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1936 | 1972 | 2127 | 2012 | 2003 | 2066 | 1967 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 1821 | 1824 | 1790 | 1812 | 1861 | 1783 | 1792 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 1765 | 1803 | 1751 | 1773 | 1755 | 1805 | 1758 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | 1610 | 1696 | 1669 | 1658 | 1682 | 1654 | 1639 |
| Mean | 1768 | 1829 | 1885 | 1826 |  |  |  |
| $L_{0}$ | 1810 | 1795 | 1902 | 1836 |  |  |  |
| $L_{1}$ | 1759 | 1882 | 1851 | 1831 |  |  |  |
| $L_{2}$ | 1736 | 1810 | 1886 | 1811 |  |  |  |

S.E of the marginal mean of N
S.E. of the marginal mean of $L$
S.E. of body of ( $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{L}$ ) table
S.E. of difference of two

1. P means at the same level of N
2. $\mathbf{N}$ means at the same level of $\mathbf{P}$
3. $P$ means at the same level of $L$
4. L means at the same level of $\mathbf{P}$
$=26.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=20.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=46.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

$$
=53.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
$$

$$
=55.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

$$
=42.5 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}
$$

$$
=43.1 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}
$$

Crop: Paddy (Aman)<br>Ref :- W.B. 50 (9)/49(5)/48(5)<br>Site : State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah<br>Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object :- To study the effect of continuous application of A/S, B.M. and Lime on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Paddy followed by Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii)' (a) 'Clayey loam in texture (alluvium). (b) Refer sóil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 31st July to 4th August; 1950. (iv) (a) \& (b) The field was ploughed 3-4 times before transplantation. (c) - (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2-3. (v) Nil. (vi) Jhingasail (CH 27, Medium) (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) $2-3$ weedings is common practice. (ix) $52.47^{\prime \prime}$ approx. (May to Dec.) ( x ) 2 nd to 15 th Jan. 1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

## Treatments in one direction :

All combinations of (1) \& (2)
(1) 5 levels of N viz. $\mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=90$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of Lime viż. $L_{0}=0, L_{1}=4$ and $L_{2}=8 \mathrm{cwt} / \mathrm{ac}$.

Treatments in orthogonal direction :
3 levels of $\mathbf{P}$ viz. $\quad \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{2}}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. 21/22.6. 0 applied at the time of preparation of land and N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ after 4 weeks of transplantation (4.6.50), Lime applied once in 4 years and was applied before preparation of land in the first year.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Strip plot. (ii) (a) 15 strips in one direction and 3 orthogonal to it. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $19^{\prime} \times 34^{\prime}$.
(b) $17^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$.(v) $1^{\prime}$. border alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good (in the beginning) ; heavy shower at the late stage of cultivation spoiled the expt and all plots lodged in water for 15 days. Only reliable data for straw could be obtained. (ii) Nil. (iii) Tillering \& height of tillers. Grain \& straw yield. (iv) (a) 1948-continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) State Agri. Farm Suri (from 1948 onward) \& Berhampore (form 1949 onward). (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 4375 ib./ac.
(ii) (a) $180.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $259.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(c) $172.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N is highly significant. Others are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of straw in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 3734 | 3992 | 4003 | 3910 | 3916 | 3960 | 3853 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 4135 | 4277. | 4020 | 4144 | 4091 | 4217 | 4123 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 4388 | 4471 | 4380 | 4413 . | 4302 | 4431 | 4507 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 4555 | 4631 | 4820 | 4669 . | 4675 | 4564 | 4769 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{4}$ | 4618 . | 4705 | 4900 | 4741 | 4818 | 4748 | 4657 |
| Mean | 4286 | 4415 | 4425 | 4375 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ | 4324 | . 4425 | 4331. | 4360 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | 4305 | 4358 | . 4489 | 4384 | ' |  |  |
| $L_{2}$ | 4229 | 4462 | 4454 | 4382 |  |  |  |

1. S.E. of the marginal mean of $\mathbf{N}$
2. S.E. of marginal mean of $L$
3. S.E. of body of $(\mathbf{N} \times \mathrm{L})$ table
S.E. of difference of two
4. $P$ means at the same level of $N$
5. N means at the same level of $P$
6. P means at the same level of $L$
7. L means at the same level of $\mathbf{P}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =24.5 \mathrm{lb}: / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =19.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =42.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =64.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =58.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =53.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =45: 2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
\end{aligned}
$$

Crop :- Paddy (Aman)
Site :- State. Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. Type : 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of continuous application of A/S, B.M. \& Lime on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No (b) Aman paddy (c) As under treatments (ii) (a) Clayey in texture (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) Early Sept. (iv) (a) Pre-tillage-1 plough \& 1 cross plough. Preparation of land-1 plough and 1 cross plough. 1 plough at the time of puddling (b) Nil. (c) $15 \mathrm{srs} / \mathrm{ac}$ (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ (e) 2 (v) Nil. (vi) Jhingasail. (Medium) (vii) Irrigated (vii) First weeding \& stirring applied 5 weeks after transplantation and second weeding applied 9 weeks after transplantation (before flowerering) (ix) $32.97^{\prime \prime}$ (x) Last week of December.

2r TREATMENTS:
Treatments in one direction :-
All combinations of (1) \& (2)
(1) 5 levels of N viz. $\mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=90$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of Lime viz, $L_{0}=0, L_{1}=4$ and $L_{2}=8$ cwt./ac.

Treatments in orthogonal direction :-

$$
3 \text { levels of } P \text { viz. } P_{0}=0, P_{1}=20 \text { and } P_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
$$

N applied as A!S ; $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as B.M. 6 weeks after transplantation.
Lime applied once in 4 years.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Strip plot (ii) (a) 15 strips in one direction and 3 strips orthogonal to it. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 (iv)
(a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$ (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$ (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around the sub plots (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Due to drought, sowing \& transplantation were done late. As a result the crop grew very poorly
(ii) Plants were attacked with helminthosporium (iii) Height of the plants, count of the number of tillers and yield of grain (iv) (a) 1948 - continued (b) Yes (c) N.A. (v) (a) No (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1341 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $133.72 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $192.17 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(c) $125.71 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of $\mathbf{P}$ and N are highly significant. Other main effects \& interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathbf{L}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{L}_{1}$ | $L_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 1172 | 1308 | 1302 | 1261 | 1261 | 1264 | 1257 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1412 | 1492 | 1486 | 1463 | 1500 | 1458 | 1432 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 1379 | 1443 | 1489 | 1437 | 1468 | 1407 | 1437 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 1239 | 1320 | 1364 | 1308 | 1311 | 1298 | 1314 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | 1151 | 1308 | 1245 | 1235 | 1200 | 1298 | 1206 |
| Mean | 1271 | 1374 | 1377 | 1341 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ | 1294 | 1385 | 1365 | 1348 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | 1261 | 1398 | 1376 | 1345 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ | 1257 | 1340 | 1390 | 1329 |  |  |  |

1. S.E. of marginal mean of $\mathrm{N}=18.2 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
2. S.E. of marginal mean of $L=14.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. S.E of body of $(\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{L})$ table $=31.5 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. S.E. of difference of two
4. $P$ means at the same level of $N=47.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
5. $N$ means at the same level of $P=42.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
6. P means at the same level of $L=39.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
7. $L$ means at the same level of $P=33.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop: - Paddy (Aman)
Ref:~W.B. 52(27)/51(9)/50(9)/49(5)/48(5)

## Site :-State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. Type : ' $M$ '.

Object :- To study the effect of continuous application of A/S, B.M. and Lime on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No (b) Aman paddy (c) As under treatments (ii) (a) Clayey in texture (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. . (iii) $9.8 .52-15.8 .52$ (iv) (a) \& (b) N.A. (c) 15 srs/ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ (e) 2 (v) Nil (vi) Jhingasail (medium) (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings done; First weeding and one stirring applied 5 weeks after transplantation and second weeding applied 9 weeks after transplantation. Pre-tillage -1 plough and 1 cross plough. Preparation-1 plough and 1 cross plough. 1-plough at the time of puddling (ix) 40.23" (x) 17.12.52-5.1.53

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Treatments in one direction :-
All combinations of (1) \& (2)
(1) 5 levels of N viz. $\mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=90$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of Lime viz. $\mathrm{L}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~L}_{1}=4$ and $\mathrm{L}_{2}=8$ cwt./ac.

Treatments in orthogonal direction :-
3 levels of P viz ; $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
N applied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S} ; \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as B.M. 4 weeks after transplantation.
Lime applied once in 4 years.
3. LJESIGN :
(i) Strip plot (ii) (a) 15 strips in one direction; 3 in orthogonal direction. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$ (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$ (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around plot (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Plants in plots receiving doses higher than $60 \mathrm{lb} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{ac}$. lodged during the fiowering stage. (ii) Severe inçidence of yellowing disease damaged the crop heavily. N.A. (iii) Yield of grain (iv) (a) 1948continued (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i). 1063 lb ./ac.
(ii) (a) $169.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $294.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(c) N.A.
(iii) Only N effect is highly significant but yield rate decreases with higher dose of N .
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | $L_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 1105 | 1169 | 1125 | 1133 | 1152 | 1140 | 1108 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1448 | 1410 | 1388 | 1415 | 1398 | 1415 | 1433 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 1129 | 983 | 1040 | 1051. | 1038 | 1058 | 1057 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 905 | 876 | 890 | 890 | 847 | 823 | 1000 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{4}$ | 881 | 770 | 824 | 825 | 811 | 827 | 838 |
| Mean | 1094 | 1042 | 1053 | 1063 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ | 1075 | 1034 | 1039 | 1049 |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{L}_{1}$ | 1067 | 1056 | 1035 | 1053 | , |  |  |
| $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ | 1140 | 1037 | 1085 | 1087 |  |  |  |

1. S.E. of marginal mean of N
2. S,E. of marginal mean of $L$
3. S.E. of marginal mean of $P$
$=23.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=17.9 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
$=31.0 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Crop :-Paddy (Aman).
Site :-State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.
Object :-To study the effect of continuous application of A/S, B.M. and Lime on the yield of Taddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) Same manure as in this experiment used (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) N.A. (iv)(a) and (b) N.A. (c) 12 to $15 \mathrm{srs} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ (e) 2 (v) Nil (vi) Jhingasail (vii) Irrigated (tank) (viii) 2 weedings-first weeding applied 5 weeks after transplantation and secend weeding applied 9 weeks after transplantation. (ix) $45.19^{\prime \prime}(x)$ N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

Treatments in one direction :
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of N viz. $\mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=90$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. .
(2) 3 levels of Lime viz. $L_{0}=0, L_{1}=4$ and $L_{2}=8 \mathrm{cwt}$./ac.

Treatments in orthogonal direction :
3 levels of $P$ viz; $P_{0}=0, P_{1}=20$ and $P_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
N applied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S} ; \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as B.M. 4 weeks after transplantation. Lime applied once in 5 years.
Manures applied as broadcast.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Strip plot (ii) (a) 15 strips in one direction; 3 in orthogonal direction. (iii) 6 (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$ (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around the plot (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Favourable. Height and number of'tillers of the paddy plants were increased by the application of A/S. Plants in plots receiving A/S lodged. Lime and B.M. did not show any vegetative growth of plants. (ii) No. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1948 -continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1662 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $314.44 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $\quad 335.65 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(c) $\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{A}$.
(c) N.A.
(iii) Only main effect of $A / S$ is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | Mean | $L_{0}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | $L_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 1860 | 1918 | 1797 | 1858 | 1821 | 1939 | 1814 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1956 | 1826 | 1924 | 1902 | 1806 | 2080 | 1820 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 1619 | 1562 | 1595 | 1592 | 1588 | 1529 | 1659 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 1522 | 1518 | 1534 | 1525 | 1557 | 1521 | 1496 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | 1391 | 1433 | 1482 | 1435 | 1431 | 1554 | 1321 |
| Mean | 1670 | 1651 | 1666 | 1662 |  |  |  |
| $L_{0}$ | 1680 | 1633 | 1607 | 1640 |  |  |  |
| $L_{1}$ | 1739 | 1697 | 1739 | 1725 |  |  |  |
| $L_{2}$ | 1590 | 1624 | 1653 | 1622 |  |  |  |

1. S.E. of the marginal mean of $\mathrm{N} \quad=42.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. S.E. of the marginal mean of $L \quad=35.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. S.E. of the body of $(N \times L)$ table $\quad=33.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :-Paddy '(Aman).
Site :-State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :-W:B. 48 (4).
Type :-' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$

Object:-To study the effect of continuous application of A/S, B.M. and F.Y.M. on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Aman paddy (variety Jhingasail. Ranghusail and Nagra). (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay soil.
(b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah: (iii) 23-26.8.48. (iv) (a) \& (b) The land was ploughed 3-4 times before / transplanting. (c) - (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ (e) 2 . (v) Nil. (vi) Jhingasail. (CH 27, Medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) - $2-3$ weedings is common practice. (ix) $48.58^{\prime \prime}$ approx. (May to Dec.). (x) 17.12.48 to 1.1.49.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main plot treatmens:-
All combintions of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of N viz. $\mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=90$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 3 leve's of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ viz. $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Sub-plot treatments :-
2 levels of F.Y.M. viz. $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=100 \mathrm{md}$./ac.
N applied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M.
B.M. (20.8.48) and F.Y.M. (18.8.48) were applied at the time of general preparation of land and A/S 4 weeks after transplantation ( 30.9 .48 and 2.10 .48 ).

## 3. DESIGN:

(i) Split plot. (ii)(a) 15 main-plots/replication. 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 (iv) (a) $19^{\prime} \times 34^{\prime}$. (b) $17^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around as guard row. Distance between plots $1.5^{\prime}$ and between blocks $2^{\prime}$ (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Plants grew rapidly after 2 weeks of transplanting and tillering started rapidly. With doses upto 60 lb./ac. N , the growth was remarkable but lodging took place in plots with higher doses of N . - (ii) (a) Rice case worm (Nymphulu depuctalis) was observed 6 weeks after transplanting. Rope soaked in kerosine was drawn over affected plots and kerosine oil poured in affected plots. (b) Rice hispa-affected plots treated with gammaene. (c) Slight attack of helminthosporium. Tillering and height of plants observed every fortnight (1 seedling/plot selected at random). (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1948.49 continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Suri (1st year and continued). (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2080 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $273.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $213.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
(iii) None of the main effects or interaction is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

S.E. of marginal means of $\mathbf{P} \quad=34.72 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of marginal means of $\mathrm{N} \quad=45.92 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of $(\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P})$ table $\quad=78.40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
S.E. of difference of two

1. F means at the same level of $N=70.56 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $N$ means at the same level of $F=81.76 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. F means at the same level of $\mathbf{P}=54.88 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $P$ means at the same level of $F=62.72$. $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

## Crop :mPaddy (Aman).

## Ref:-W.B. 49 (4)/48 (4). <br> Type:-'M'

Object :-To study the effect of continuous application of A/S, B.M. and F.Y.M. on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clayey loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah (iii) 11 to 17.8.49. (iv) (a) and (b) The field was ploughed $3-4$ times before transplanting. (c) $5-7$ srs./ac. (d) $9^{*} \times 9^{*}$ (e) 2 (v) Nil (vi) Jhingasail (CH-27 medium) (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2-3 weedings is general practice. (ix) $69.56^{\circ}$ approx (May to Dec.) (x) 2 to 16.1.50.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :-
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of N viz. $\mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=90$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ viz. $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$, and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Sub-plot treatments:-
2 levels of F.Y.M. viz. $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$, and $\mathrm{F}_{2}=100 \mathrm{md}$./ac.
N applied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M.
B.M. (5.8.49) and F.Y.M. (12/13.8.47) was applied at the time of general preparation of land and A/S was applied after 4 weeks of transplantation.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split plot. (ii) (a) 15 main-plots/replication and 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 (iv) (a) $19^{\prime} \times 34^{\prime}$. (b) $17^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$. (v) Distance between plots $1.5^{\prime}$ and between blocks $2^{\prime} ; 1^{\prime}$ border around plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. Plots receiving beavy doses of N lodged at a later stage. (ii) Nil. (iii) Tillering and height of tillers ; grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1948-49 continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) Suri and Berhampore (started in 1948-49 and 1949-50 respectively and continued). (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1783 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $193.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $219.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of $N$ and $P$ are highly significant. Main effect of $F$ and interactions NP and NF are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb.jac.

|  | $P_{8}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | Mean | $F_{1}$ | $F_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 1635 | 1851 | 2012 | 1833 | 1689 | 1977 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 2023 | 1851 | 2047 | 1974 | 1869 | 1990 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 17 c 3 | 1803 | 1841 | 1802 | 1772 | 1833 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 1662 | 1690 | 1752 | 1701 | 1693 | 1709 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | 1501 | 1628 | 1680 | 1603 | 1621 | 1586 |
| Mean | 1717 | 1765 | 1866 | 1783 | 1749 | 1819 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 1692 | 1695 | 8859 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ | 1748 | 1835 | 1874 |  |  |  |

S.E. of marginal mean of $N$
S.E. of marginal mean of $P$
S.E. of body of ( $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ ) table
S.E. of difference of two

1. F means at the same level of N
2. $N$ means at the same level of $F$
3. F means at the same level $P$
4. P means at the same level of $F$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =32.43 \quad \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =24.64 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =56.00 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =72.80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =69.44 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =57.12 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =53.76 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{aligned}
$$

```
Crop :-Paddy (Aman).
Site :-State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. " Type : 'M'.
```

Object :-To study the effect of continuous application of A/S, B.M. and F.Y.M. on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAF CONDITIONS :

(i). (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clayey loam in texture. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 6 to 10.8. 50. (iv) (a) and (b) The field was ploughed 3 to 4 times before transplantation. (c) and (d) N.A. (e) 2-3. (v) Nil. (vi) Jhingasail (CH-27, medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings is the general practice.'(ix) $52.47^{\prime \prime}$ approx (May to Dec.) (x) 2. to 15.1.51.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main plot treatments :-
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of N viz. $\mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{4}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=90$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=1201 \mathrm{l}$ b. ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ viz. $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$, and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{Jb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

## Sub-plot treaments :-

2 levels of F.Y.M. viz. $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$, and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
N applied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M.
B.M. and F.Y.M. ( $25 / 26.6 .50$ ) were applied at the time of general preparation of land and $A / S$ was applied after 4 weeks of transplantation (8.9.50).
3. DESIGN:
(i) Split plot. (ii) (a) 15 main plots/replication; 2 sub-plots/main plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $19 \times 34^{\prime}$.
(b) $17^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good in the beginning. Heavy shower at a later stage of cultivation. Loss in each plot directly proportional to dose of N . (ii) Nil. (iii) Tillering and height of tillers. Grain and straw yield (grain yield was later omitted). (iv) (a) 1948-49-continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) State Agri. Farm, Suri (1948 onward) and Berhampore (1949 onward.) (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $3596 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $349.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $296.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{F}$ are significant. Others are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of straw in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

S.E. of marginal mean of $\mathrm{N}=58.24 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of marginal mean of $P=44.80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of the body of $(N \times P)$ table $\quad=100.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of the difference of two

1. F means at the same level of $\mathrm{N}=98.56 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. N means at the same level of $\mathrm{F}=107.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $\mathbf{F}$ means at the same level of $\mathbf{P}=76.16 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $\mathbf{P}$ means at the same level of $F=84.00 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:-Paddy (Aman).
Site :-State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. Type :-'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of continuous application of A/S, B.M. and F.Y.M. on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) As under treatments. (ii) (a) Clayey in texture. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 17.8 .52 ; 29.8.52. (iv) (a) Pre-tillage-1 plough and 1 cross plough; preparation of land-1 plough and 1 cross plough. (b) Transplanted. (c) $15 \mathrm{sr} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 . (v) Nil. (vi) Jhingasail. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1st weeding and 1 stirring applied 5 weeks to 6 weeks after transplatation and second weeding applied 9 weeks after transplantation (before flowering). (ix) 40.23*. (x) 7.1.53-13.1.53.
2. TREATMENTS:

Main plot treatments :-
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of N viz. $\mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=90$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ viz. $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Sub-plot treatments :-
2 levels of F.Y.M. viz. $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
N applied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M.
B.M. and F.Y.M. were applied at the time of general preparation of land and A/S applied 4 years after transplantation.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Split plot. (ii)(a) 15 main-plots/replication and 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv)(a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) 1' border around each sub-plot. (vi) Yes.

GENERAL :
(i) Plants in plots receiving doses higher than 60 lb ./ac. of N lodged during the flowering stage. (ii) Severe incidence of yellowing disease which damaged the crop heavily. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1948-continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $915.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $237.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $206.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N is highly significant. Other main effects and interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 884 | 882 | 885 | 884 | 890 | 876 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1153 | 1145 | 1083 | 1127 | 1085 | 1169 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 910 | 986 | 959 | 952 | 973 | 931 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 750 | 848 | 765 | 788 | 787 | 788 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | 887 | 832 | 749 | 823 | 775 | 869 |
| Mean | 917 | 939 | 888 | 914 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | 872 | 926 | 908 | 902 |  |  |
| F1 | 961 | 952 | 869 | 927 |  |  |

S.E. of marginal mean of $\mathrm{N}=40.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of marginal mean of $\mathbf{P} \quad=30.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of $(\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P})$ table $=48.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of difference of two

1. $\mathbf{F}$ means at the same level of $\mathrm{N}=68.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $N$ means at the same level of $F=74.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $F$ means at the same level of $P=53.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $P$ means at the same level of $F=57.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

- Crop :m.Paddy (Aman).

Ref :- W.B. 53(5)/52(28)/50(10)/49(4)/48(4)
Site:- State Agri Farm, Chinsurah.
Type:- ' M '.

Object :--To study the effect of continuous application of A/S, B.M. and F.Y.M. on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 15 th June to 1st week of July. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) 12 to 15 sr./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Jhingasail. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Ist weeding applied 5 weeks to 6 weeks after transplantation and second weeding applied 9 weeks after transplantation. (ix) $45.19^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15 th Dec. to 1st week of January.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments :-

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of N viz. $\mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=90$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=120 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ viz. $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Sub-plot treatments :-
2 levels of F.Y.M, viz. $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=100 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
N applied as A/S. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as B.M.
B.M. and F.Y.M. were applied at the time of general preparation of land and A/S 4 weeks after transplantation.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split plot. (ii) (a) 15 main-plots/replication; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $34^{\prime \prime} \times 19^{\prime}$.
(b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around the sub-plot. .(vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Favourable. Height and number of tillers of paddy plants increased by the application of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$. Plants in plots receiving A/S lodged ; F.Y.M and B.M. did not show any vegetative growth of plants. (ii) No. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1948-(crop failed due to drought in 1951) continued. (b) Yes. (c) (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS

(i) $1798 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $266.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $249.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N is highly significant. Others are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 1955 | 1933 | 1933 | 1940 | 2016 | 1864 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1980 | 2020 | 2076 | 2025 | 2006 | 2045 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 1941 | 1713 | 1866 | 1840 | 1900 | 1780 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 1619 | 1685 | 1757 ${ }^{\text { }}$ | 1687 | 1724 | 1650 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | 1517 | 1537 | 1444 | 1499 | 1526 | 1473 |
| Mean | 1802 | 1777 | 1815 | 1798 | 1834 | 1773 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | 1826 | 1842 | 1835 | 1834 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 1779 | 1713 | 1796 | 1763 |  |  |

S.E. marginal mean of $F$
S.E. of marginal mean of N
S.E. of marginal mean of $P$
S.E. of body of ( $\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{P}$ ) table
S.E. of difference of two :

1. $F$ means at the same level of $N$
2. $N$ means at the same level of $F$
3. F means at the same level of $P$
4. P means at the same level of $F$

$$
=26.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

$$
=44.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

$$
=34.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}
$$

$$
=77.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}
$$

$$
=86.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

$$
=83.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
$$

$$
=66.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

$$
=64.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}
$$

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Ref :- W.B. 48(3).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.
Type :- ' M '.
Object :-To study the response of Paddy to the application of P along with manures like F.Y.M., T.C. and Artificial F.Y.M.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Aman paddy-fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 30.8.48. (iv) (a) and (b) The field was ploughed $3-4$ times before transplantation. (c) $5-7 \mathrm{sr}$./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2-3. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (CH-3 medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2-3 weedings. (ix) $48.58^{*}$ approx (May to December). (x) 1.1.49.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 sources of organic matter viz. T.C., F.Y.M. and Artificial F.Y.M.
(2) 2 levels of N viz. $\mathrm{N}_{1}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=50 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 levels of B.M. viz. $B_{0}=0$ and $B_{1}=3 \mathrm{md} . / a c$.
+a Control (no manure)

+ Extra-treatment : B.M. at 3 md./ac. only.

3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 14 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$ (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) Distance between plots $1.5^{\prime}$ and between blocks $2^{\prime} ; 1^{\prime}$ guard row around the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) $1947-1950$. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2919 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $202.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) 'Control vs. N ' and 'B.M. vs. organic manure' effects are highly significant. Organic manures differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Control }=2640 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& \text { B.M. alone }=2674 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& \text { S.E./mean }=101.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
\end{aligned}
$$

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T.C. | 2724 | 3064 | 2894 | 2847 | 2941 |
| F.Y.M. | 2991 | 3169 | 3080 | 2928 | 3233 |
| Art. F.Y.M. | 2938 | 3054 | 2996 | 2826 | 3168 |
| Mean | 2884 | 3096 | 2990 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ | 2762 | 2971 | 2867 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | 3007 | 3220 | 3114 |  |  |

1. S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ or $B$
2. S.E. of marginal mean of source
3. S.E. of body of $N \times B$ table $=41.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. $=50.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. S.E. of body of source $\times(\mathrm{N})$ or $(\mathrm{B})$ table $=71.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Ref :-W.B. 49(3)/48(3)
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.
Type: © $\mathbf{M}$ '.
Object $\hat{\text { © }}$ To study the effect of $\mathbf{P}$ in increasing the efficiency of organic manures like F.Y.M., T.C. and Artificial F.Y.M. for producing more Paddy yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Aman paddy-Falow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 30.7.49. (iv) (a) and (b) The field was ploughed 3-4 times before transplantation. (c) 5.7 srs./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2-3. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasmanik (CH-3, medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2-3 weedings. (ix) $69.56^{\prime \prime}$ approx (May to Dec.). (x), 8,9.12.43.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 sources of organic matter viz. T.C., F.Y.M. and Artificial F.Y.M.
(2) 2 levels of N viz. $\mathrm{N}_{1}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 levels of B.M. viz. $\mathrm{B}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{B}_{1}=3 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

+ a Control (no manure)
+ Extra-treatment : B.M. at $3 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. only.

3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 14. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) Distance between plots $1.5^{\prime}$ and between blocks $3.0^{\prime}$; $1^{\prime}$ guard row around a plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1947 to 1951. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2201 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $265.6 \mathrm{bb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) 'Control vs N ' and interaction ' $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{B}$ ' are highly significant. Effects of B.M. and organic manures are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Control | $=1327$ | $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B.M. alone | $=1975$ | $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. $/$ mean | $=132.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |


|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{B}_{9}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T.C. | 2205 | 2365 | 2285 | 2263 | 2309 |
| F.Y.M. | 2386 | 2494 | 2440 | 2371 | 2510 |
| Art. F.Y.M. | 2118 | 2185 | 2152 | 2134 | 2170 |
| Mean | 2236 | 2348 | 2292 |  | . |
| $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ | - 2129 | 2382 | 2255 | : $\cdot$ | , |
| $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | 2344 | 2314 | 2329 |  |  |

1. S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ or $B \quad=54.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. S.E. of marginal mean of source $\quad=66.4 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. S.E. of body of $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{N}$ table $\quad=76.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. S.E. of source $\times(\mathrm{N})$ or $(\mathrm{B})$ table $\quad=93.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref:- W.B. 50(4)/49(3)/48(3).
Type:- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effest of $P$ in increasing the effisiency of organic manures like F.Y.M.,T.C. Artificial F.Y.M. for producing more Paddy yield.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No (b) Aman paddy. (c) As under treatments: (ii) (a) Clayey in texture. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 15th July to ist week of August. (iv) (a) $4-5$ ploughings and laddering after the preparation of the land during May and June. (b) Transplanting. (c) - . (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 . (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamank (medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) First weeding and one stirring done 5 weeks after transplantation and second weeding done 9 weeks after transplantation (before flowering) (ix) $51.67^{\prime \prime}(x) 15 t h$ December to Ist week of January.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 sources of organic matter viz. T.C., F.Y.M., and Artificial F.Y.M.
(2) 2 levels of N viz. $\mathrm{N}_{1}=43$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 levels of B.M. viz. $\mathrm{B}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{B}_{1}=3 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

+ a Control (no manure) + Extra treatment: B.M. at 3 md./ac. only

3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 14 (b) N.A. (iii) 4 (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$ (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around each plot (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. Lodging took place in the plots where higher dose of N was applied. (ii) Slight attack of insects \& pests. Normal control measures adopted. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1947 to 1951 (b) Yes (c) N.A. (v) (a) No (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1848 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $139.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Levels of N and B.M. differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in Ib./ac.

| Control | $=1378$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| B.M. alone | $=1684$ |
| $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| S.E./mean | $=69.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


|  | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean | $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T.C. | 1879 | 2032 | 1955 | 1911 | 2000 |
| F.Y.M. | 1911 | 1946 | 1929 | 1854 | 2004 |
| Art. F.Y.M. | 1831 | 1805 | 1818 | 1777 | 1859 |
| Mean | 1874 | 1928 | 1901 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 1809 | 1886 | 1847 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 1939 | 1970 | 1954 |  |  |

1. S.E. of marginal mean of $\mathbf{N}$ or $\mathbf{B}=28.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. S.E. of marginal mean of source $\quad=34.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{B}$ table $=40.2 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
4. S.E. of body of source $\times(\mathrm{N})$ or (B) table $=49.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :m Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of $P$ in increasing the efficiency of organic manures like F.Y.M., T.C. Artificial F.Y.M. for producing more Paddy yield.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No (b) Aman paddy. (c) As under treatments. (ii) (a) Clayey in texture. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) Early Sept. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (Medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) First Weeding \& one stirring done 5 weeks after transplantation and second weeding done 9 weeks after transplantation (before flowering) (ix) N.A. (x) Last week of December.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 sources of organic mater viz. T.C., F.Y.M. and Artifical F.Y.M.
(2) 2 levels of N viz. $\mathrm{N}_{1}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 levels of B.M. viz. $B_{0}=0$ and $B_{1}=3 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

+ a Control (no manure) + Extra treatment : B.M. at $3 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. only.
All manures were applied during general preparation of land.

3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 14
(b) N.A. (iii) 4
(iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$.
(b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border aröund each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. Weather condition was unfavourable. Rainfall was not timely. (ii) Nil (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1947 to 1951 (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1878 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $250.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) 'Control Vs N' and effects of N and B.M. are highly significant.' Other effects and interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Control | $=1115 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| B.M. alone | $=1555 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E./mean | $=125.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |



1. S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ or $B$
$=51.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}^{*} \cdots \quad \therefore \quad \therefore \mathrm{~A}$
2. S.E. of marginal mean of source $\quad \therefore=62.51 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{B}$ table $=72.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. S.E. of body of source $\times(\mathrm{N})$ or $(\mathrm{B})$ table $=88.5 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref:- W.B. 48(6)
Type: ' M '.

Object :- To determine the best time of application of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{N}$ on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Aman paddy-fallow. (b) Fallcw. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 12.8.48.
(iv) (a) \& (b) The field was ploughed 3-4 times before transplanting. (c) $5-7 \mathrm{srs} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2-3.
(v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik ( $\mathrm{CH}-3$, medium) (vii) Unirrigated. fi(viii) $2-3$ weedings. (ix) $48.58^{\prime \prime}$ approx (May to Dec.) (x) 17/18.12.48.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) \& (2)
(1) 4 levels of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 times of application of $A / N: T_{1}=$ Full dose at puddling (10.8.48), $T_{2}=$ Full dose 4 weeks after transplantation (14.9.48) and $\mathrm{T}_{3}=\frac{1}{2}$ dose at puddling $+\frac{1}{2}$ dose 4 weeks after transplantation.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$ (v) Distance between plots $1.5^{\prime}$ and between blocks $2^{\prime} ; 1^{\prime}$ around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1947 to 1951. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1468 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $272.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only N effect is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

$$
\text { Control mean } \quad=1140 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | 1496 | 1584 | 1790 | 1623 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{2}$ | 1430 | 1543 | 1779 | 1584 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{3}$ | 1234 | 1687 | 1655 | 1525 |
| Mean |  |  |  |  |
| 1387 | 1605 | 1741 |  |  |

S.E. of marginal mean $=78.5 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
S.E. of body of table $=136.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).<br>Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

## Ref:- W.B. 49(6)/48(6)

Type: ' $M$ '.

Object :- To find out the best time of application of $\mathbf{A} / \mathbf{N}$ on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Aman paddy-fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 3.8.49 (iv) (a) \& (b) The field was ploughed 3-4 times before transplantation. (c) $5-7 \mathrm{srs} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2-3. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (CH-3, medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2-3 weedings. (ix) $69.56^{\prime \prime}$ approx (May to Dec). (x) 33/14.12.49.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) \& (2)
(1) 4 levels of $N$ as $A / N: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=20, N_{2}=40$ and $N_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 times of application of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{N}: \mathrm{T}_{1}=$ Full dose at puddling (1.8.49); $\mathrm{T}_{2}=$ Full dose 4 weeks after transplantation and $T_{3}=\frac{1}{2}$ dose at puddling $+\frac{1}{2}$ doze after 4 weeks of transplantation.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times I 7^{\prime}$. (v) Distance between plots $1.5^{\prime}$ and between blocks $2^{\prime} ; 1^{\prime}$ guard row around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1947 to 1951. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v)(a) No. (b) (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2222 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $156.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of levels of N is highly significant while the effect of T and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{T}$ arc not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | Control |  | $=1872 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | 2242 | 2407 | 2500 | 2383 |
| T2 | 2098 | 2448 | 2396 | 2314 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ | 2272 | 2262 | 2427 | 2320 |
| Mean | 2204 | 2372 | 2441 |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ or $T$ | $=49.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table | $=85.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Object :- To find out the best time of application of $A / N$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) As under treatments. (ii) (a) Clayey in texture. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 15 th July to 1 st week of August. (iv) (a) $4-5$ ploughings \& laddering after the preparation of land during May \& June. (b) Transplanting. (c)- (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasämanik (medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) First weeding and one stirring done 5 weeks after transplantation and second weeding done 9 weeks after transplantation (before flowering) (ix) $51.67^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15 th December to 1 st week of January.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) \& (2)
(1) 4 levels of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \quad \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, \quad \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 Times of application of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{N}: \mathrm{T}_{1}=$ Full dose at puddling, $\mathrm{T}_{2}=$ Full dose 4 weeks after transplantation and $\mathrm{T}_{3}=\frac{1}{2}$ dose at puddling $+\frac{1}{2}$ dose 4 weeks after transplantation.
3. DESIGN ;
(i) $4 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$.(b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime \prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border àround each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory; lodging took place in some plots where higher dose of N was given. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield grain. (iv) (a) 1947 to 1951 . (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) - (vi) \& (vii) NiI.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1950 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $202.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only levels of N differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

$$
\text { Control }=1537 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | 1917 | 2160 | 2181 | 2086 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{2}$ | 1797 | 2181 | 2395 | 2124 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{3}$ | 1926 | 2080 | 2151 | 2052 |
| Mean | 1 | 1830 | 2140 | 2242 |
|  | 1 |  |  | 1950 |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of marginal mean of } \mathrm{N} \text { or } \mathrm{T} & =58.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of body of table } & =101.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

Crop :~ Paddy (Aman)
Site :-State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

## Ref :-W.B. 51(14)/50(6)/49(6)/48(6)

Type:- 'M'.

Object :- To find out the best time of application of $A / N$.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No (b) Aman paddy. (c) As under treatments. (ii) (a) Clayey in texture. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) Early Sept. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting (c)-(d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasmanik (medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) First weeding \& one stirring 5 weeks after transplantation and second weeding done 9 weeks after transplantation (before flowering) (ix) $32.97^{\prime \prime}$ ( $x$ ) Last week of December.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) \& (2)
(1) 4 levels of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 times of application of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{N}$ :
$\mathrm{T}_{1}=$ Full dose at puddling, $\mathrm{T}_{2}=$ Full dose 4 weeks after transplantation and $\mathrm{T}_{3}=\frac{1}{2}$ dose at puddling $+\frac{1}{2}$ dose 4 weeks after transplantation.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) $4 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12 (b) N.A. (iii) 4 (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$ (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) satisfactory; no lodging. Weather condition was unfavourable due to drought and the rain fall was not timely. (ii) No. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1947 to 1951. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $691.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $125.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of time of application of N and of levels of N are highly significant while their interaction is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{~b} \cdot / \mathrm{ac}$.

$$
\text { Control }=483 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
$$


S.E. of body of table $\quad=62.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of marginal mean of N or $\mathrm{T}=36.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

## Crop:- Paddy (Aman) <br> Site:- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :- W.B. 48(10)
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.
Object :- To study the residual effect of N applied in the form of organic manures on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Aman paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil.s(ii) (a) Clay. (b).Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 1st week of August. (iv) (a) 2-3 ploughings and laddering at the time of transplanting (b) Transplanting (c)(d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ (e) $2-3$ (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (CH-3 Med;) (vii) Unirrigated (viii) 2-3 weedings is common practice (ix) $44.28^{\prime \prime}$ (x) 1 st week of December, 1948. (Exact dates-N.A.)

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) \& (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$, and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 sources of $\mathrm{N}:$ Mustard Cake (M.C.), Castor Cake (C.C.) and G.N.C.

No manure applied during this year. 2 nd year of residual effect.
3. DESIGN -
(i) $4 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12 (b) N.A. (iii) 6 (iv) (a) $44^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$ (b) $42^{\prime} \times 13^{\prime}$ (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around each plot. (vi) Yes. -
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain \& straw yield (iv) (a) 1942 to 1950. Residual effects from 1947 onwards (b) Yes (c) N.A. (v) (a) No (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $2329 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $138.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Levels of N and Source of N differ highly significantly. Interaction is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.
$: \mathrm{N}_{0}=2200 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.


$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of marginal mean of source or level } & =32.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
\text { S.E. of the body of table } & =56.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

Crop : PPaddy (Aman).
Site :-State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :-W.B. 49(15)/48(10).
Type:-'M'.

Object :-To study the residual effect of N applied in the form of organic manures on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Aman paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 1st week of August 49. (iv) (a) 1st ploughing after harvest of previous crop. Another after 1st rainfall (MayJune) 2-3 pıoughings and laddering at the time of transplanting. (b) Transplanting. (c) - (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2-3. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamaink (CH-3, Med.) (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2-3 weedings is common practice. (ix) 69.55". (x) 1st week of Dec. 1949.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $N: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=20, N_{2}=40$ and $N_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 Sources oi N : Mustard Cake (M.C.), Castor Cake (C.C.) and G.N.C.

No manure applied during the year. 3rd year of residual effect.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $44^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $42^{\prime} \times 13^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border alround each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1942 to 1950 . Residual effects from 1947 onwards. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2838 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $193.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) No effoct is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 278 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M.C. | C.C. | G.N.C. | Mean |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 3040 | 2527 | 2701 | 2756 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 2869 | 3012 | 2860 | 2914 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 2897 | 2912 | 2892 | 2900 |
| Mean | 2935 | 2817 | 2818 |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of Source or leve! $\quad=45.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of body of table | $=79.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |

Crop:-Paddy (Aman).<br>Site :-State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

## Ref : $\mathrm{WW} . \mathrm{B} .50(17) / 49(15) / 48 /(10)$.

Type :-'M'.
Object :-To study the residual effect of N applied in the form of organic manures on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Aman paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 1st week of August 1950. (iv) (a) 2-3 ploughings and laddering at the time of transplanting. (b) Transplanting. (c) - (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) $2-3$. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (CH-3 Med.) (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2-3 weedings is common practice. (ix) $52.47^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Ist week of December, 1950 (Exact dates N.A.)

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 sources of N : Mustard Cake (M.C.), Castor Cake (C.C.) and G.N.C.

4th year of residual effect.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $44^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime} .^{\prime \prime}$ (b) $42^{\prime} \times 13^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime \prime}$ border around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1942 to 1950. Residual effect from 1947 onwards. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2556 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $239.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) No effect is significant.
(iv) Av. yie d of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 2504 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\because i$ | M.C. | C.C. | G.N.C. | Mean |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 2714 | 2647 | 2428 | 2596 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 2551 | 2688 | 2434 | 2558 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 2560 | 2580 | 2.558 | 2566 |
| Mean | 2608 | 2638 | 2473 |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of Source or level |  |  | $=56.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| S.E. of body of table |  |  | $=97.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

Crop: Paddy (Aman).<br>Site : . State Agri. Farm. Chinsurah.

Ref:- W.B. 52(15).
Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object :-To find out the suitable method of application of $A / S$ for increasing the yield of Aman paddy.
i. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No (b) Aman Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clayey in texture. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 9.7 .52 (iv) (a) Pretillage- 1 plough and 1 cross plough. Preparation of land- 1 plough and 1 cross plough. At the time of puddling-1 plough. (b) Transplanted. (c) ——. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 (v) 100 md. cowdung/ac. (vi) Bhasamanik (Medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) First weeding and stirring 5 weeks after transplantation and second weeding done 9 weeks after transplantation (before flowering). (ix) 40.23" (x) 13.11.52-3.12.52.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{1}=20, N_{2}=40, N_{3}=60$ and $N_{4}=80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 2 methods of application of $A / S: M_{1}=A / S$ broadcast on surface and $M_{2}=$ Thrust into soil. A/S was applied 4 weeks after transplantation.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) No lodging. (ii) Slight attack of yellow disease. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1952-continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v). (a) Burdwan Farm. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2321 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $400.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Levels of N differ significantly. Other main effect and interaction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{M}_{1}$ | 2570 | 2428 | 2061 | 1851 | 2227 |
| $\mathbf{M}_{2}$ | 2625 | 2687 | 2227 | 2122 | 2415 |
| Mean | 2597 | 2557 | 2144 | 1986 | 2321 |

$$
\begin{array}{lrr}
\text { S.E. of the marginal mean }(\mathrm{N}) & = & 115.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of the marginal mean }(\mathrm{M}) & = & 81.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of body of table } & =163.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

Crop:- Paddy (Aman).<br>Site :- State Agri. Farm. Chinsurah.<br>Ref:- W.B. $53(8) / 52(15)$. Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To find out the suitable method of application of $A / S$ for increasing the yield of Aman Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 15 th June to 1st week of July/15th July to 1st week of August. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting (c) - (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\circ}$ (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik. (vii) Irrigated (viii) N.A. (ix) 45.19*. (x) 15th December to 1st week of January.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) \& (2)
(1) 4 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{1}=20, N_{2}=40, N_{3}=60$ and $N_{4}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 methods of application : $\mathrm{M}_{1}=\mathrm{On}$ the surface and $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ Thrust in the soil.

A/S applied 4 weeks after transplantation.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6 (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii; N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1952-continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Burdwan Farm. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $2577 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $201.6 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Levels of N differ highly significantly. Methods of application differ significantly. Interaction is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{M}_{1}$ | 2649 | 2750 | 2597 | 2254 | 2562 |
| $\mathbf{M}_{2}$ | 2642 | 2849 | 2645 | 2233 | 2592 |
| Mean | 2645 | 2799 | 2621 | 2243 | 2577 |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $\mathbf{N}$ | $=58.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $\mathbf{M}$ | $=41.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |
| S.E. of body of table | $=82.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |

Crop :-Paddy (Aman).
Ref :-W.B. 53 (18).
Site :-State Agri. Farm, Chinsurâh.
Type: : ${ }^{\prime} \mathbf{M}^{\prime}$
Object :-To find out the optimum requirement of $A / S$ and Super, on Aman paddy under different soilclimatic conditions of West Bengal.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) Aman Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 15th June to 1 st week of July 15 th July to 1 st week of August. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) - . (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 3. (v) N.A. (vi) Patnai (Med.). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 45.19". (x) 15th .Dec. to 1st week of Jan.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40, \mathrm{P}_{3}=60$ and $\mathrm{P}_{4}=80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 5 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=45$ and. $\mathrm{N}_{4}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as super.
Super was ploughed in before transplanting and $A / S$ was given as a top dressing 4 weeks after transplan tation.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $5 \times 5$ Fact in. R.B.D. (ii) (a) 25 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5 (iv) (a) $38^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$. (b) $36^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$ (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Super increased the vegetative growth of plants. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1953 to 1955. (b) Different sites. (c)'N.A. (v) (a) The experiment was conducted in seven State farms and in seven cultivators fields. The State farms were: Maynaguri, Cooch behar, Chinsurah, 'Malda, Burdwan, Haringhata and Midnapore. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :

| (i) | $2428 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (ii) | $383.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

(iii) Levels of $\mathbf{N}$ and levels of $P$ do not differ significantly. Interaction is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield ôf grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | 2158 | 2418 | 2607 | 2442 | 2554 | 2436 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | 2390 | 2680 | 2363 | 2233 | 2421 | 2417 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | 2682 | 2408 | 2397 | 2747 | 2336 | 2514 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{3}$ | 2479 | 2531 | 2498 | 2721 | 2376 | 2521 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{4}$ | 2484 | 2086 | 2374 | 2242 | 2061 | 2250 |
|  | 2439 | 2425 | 2448 | 2477 | 2350 | 2428 |


S.E. of body of table $\quad=171.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Wisatis

Crop :-Paddy (Aman).
Site :-State Agri. Farm, Cooch Behar.
Ref :-W.B. 53 (22).
Type-4'

Object:-To find out the optimum requirement be A/S and Super on Aman-Paddy under different soilclimatic condit!ons of West Bengal.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Aman Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Silty and fine sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis Cooch-Behar. (iii) 15 th June to 1 st week of July/15th July to 1 st week of August. (iv) (à) N.A. (b)
 $95.77^{\prime \prime}$ (x) 15th December to 1st week of January.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of $P_{2} O_{5}: P_{0}=0, P_{1}=20, P_{2}=40, P_{3}=60$ and $P_{4}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 5 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=45$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
Super was ploughed in before transplanting and $A / S$ was given as top dressing 4 weeks after transplantation.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $5 \times 5$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 25 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $38^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$. (b) $36^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i N.A. (ii) Plants were attacked by stem borer. (iii) Grain yield (iv) (a) 1953 to 1955 . (b) Yes. (c) N.A.
(v) (a) Maynaguri, Chinsurah, Malda; Burdwan, Haringhata and Midnapore and Cultivators' fields.
(b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Ni!.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $1956 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $262.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects and interaction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 2116 | 1976 | 2064 | 2051 | 1936 | 2029 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1772 | 1879 | 1887 | 1906 | 2037 | 1896 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 1937 | 1848 | 1938 | 1750 | 1999 | 1894 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 1906 | 1866 | 2108 | 1942 | 2000 | 1964 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | 1889 | 2035 | 1990 | 1988 | 2088 | 1998 |
| Mean | 1924 | 1921 | 1997 | 1927 | 2012 | 1956 |
| S.E. of marginal mean |  | $\begin{array}{rl} =52.5 & \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\ =117.4 & \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |

Crop :-Paddy (Aman).<br>Site :-State Agri. Farm, Haringhata.

Ref:-W.B. 53 (20)
bject :-To find out the optimum requirement of $A / S$ and Super on Aman paddy under different soil climatic conditions of West Bengal.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Haringhata. (iii) 15th June to 1st week of July/15th July to 1 st week of August. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanted. (c)-. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\circ}$. (e) 3. (v) N A. (vi) Bhasamanik. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $127.70^{\prime \prime}$ (x) 15 th December to 1 st week of January.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{8}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40, \mathrm{P}_{3}=60$ and $\mathrm{P}_{4}=80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 5 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=45$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
Super was ploughed in tefore transplantirg and $A / S$ xas given as a top dressing 4 weeks after transplantation.
3. DESIGN:
(1) $5 \times 5$ Fact, in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 25 (b) N.A. (iii) 5 (iv) (a) $38^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$. (b) $35.25^{\prime} \times 18.75^{\prime}$. (v) Yes (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) A/S increased the vegetative growth. (ii) No (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1953 to 1955. (b) No (c) N.A. (v) (a) Maynaguri, Cooch-Behar ; Chinsurah; Malda; Burdwan ; Midnapore and on Cultivators' fields. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2585 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $301.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Levels of $\mathbf{N}$ differ highly significantly. ${ }^{\text {L }}$ Levels of $\mathbf{P}$ do not differ significantly. Interaction is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb.ac.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | $P_{3}$ | $\cdot P_{4}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | 2363 | 2561 | 2287 | 2395 | 2344 | 2390 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 2621 | 2697 | 2393 | 2479 | 2655 | 2569 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | 2478 | 2799 | 6625 | 2814 | 2812 | 2706 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{3}$ | 2609 | 2642 | 2763 | 2762 | 2683 | 2692 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{4}$ | 2618 | 2499 | 2573 | 2611 | 2550 | 2570 |
| Mean | 2538 | 2640 | 2528 | 2612 | 2609 | 2585 |

S E. of marginal mean $\quad=60.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of table $\quad=134.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

## Crop :rPaddy (Aman). <br> Site :mState Hort. Farm, Krishnagar.

Ref: m W.B. 53 (39).
Type: ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{M}^{\prime}$
Object:-To compare crop yielding property of bulky organic manures with A/S.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) New Alluvium. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kıishnagar (iii) 27.8.53. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanted. (c)-. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 3 (v) Nil. (vi) Dódkhani (Fine). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Two weedings and interculture operations done. (ix) 26.79" (x) 5.1.54.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control
2. A/S. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
3. T.C. $40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
4. T.C. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S} .20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .

All manures added singly at the time of puddling to the individual plots.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4 (b) N.A. (iii) 4 (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 60$ th ac. (v) $I^{\prime}$ border around each plot.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair (no lodging reported), (ii) Nil (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) and (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS ;
(i) $1552 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $274.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Control vs: fertilizers is highly significant. The fertilizer do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1109 |
| 2. | 1699 |
| 3. | 1726 |
| 4. | 1672 |
| S.E./mean | $=122.6 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. |

Crop :-Paddy (Aus).
Site : State Agri. Farm, Malda.

Ref :-W.B. 48(13).
Type:-'M'.

Object :-To find out a suitable combination of $A / S$ and Super and to see whether Super is best utilized by spreading on the surface of soil or digging into the soil and also to see whether fertilizers applied to Aus paddy can increase the yield of following wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Aus paddy-Wheat. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clayey loam (b) Refer soil analysis, Malda. (iii) 27.5.48. (iv) (a) 4-5 ploughings and laddering. (b) Broadcast. (c) $1 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) - (v) Nil. (vi) Dharial. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) $2-3$ hand weedings. (ix) $54.12^{\prime \prime}$. (x) $13-14$ and 19.9.48.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :-
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \quad \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Sub-plot treatments :-
2 methods of application of Super : $\mathbf{M}_{1}=$ Spread on and $\mathbf{M}_{2}=$ Dug in trenches.
N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
A/S was broadcast on 2.4.48. In half the area, Super was spread on surface and in other half it was pleced in furrows, laddered and ploughed.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split plot. (ii) (a) 9 main-plots/replication and 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 21.5^{\circ}$ (b) $28^{\prime} \times 19.5^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1950. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $1065 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii)(a) $480.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $169.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Levels of N differ highly significantly. Other effects and interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | 662 | 764 | 952 | 793 | 790 | 796 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | 1354 | 1098 | 1205 | 1219 | 1200 | 1239 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | 1080 | 1299 | 1168 | 1182 | 1151 | 1214 |
| Mean | 1032 | 1054 | 1108 | 1065 | 1047 | 1083 |
| $\mathbf{M}_{1}$ | 1021 | 1033 | 1086 | 1047 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{M}_{2}$ | 1043 | 1075 | 1131 | 1083 |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

1. means in the body of $(\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P})$ table $=240.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $N$ or $P$ means $\quad=138.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $M$ means
$=39.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $\mathbf{M}$ means at the same level of $\mathbf{N}$ or $\mathbf{P}=69.9 \mathrm{Jb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
5. $N$ or $P$ reeans at the same level of $M=147.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :-Paddy (Kharif).
Site :-State Agri. Farm, Malda.

Ref :-W.B. 49(16).
Type : ' ${ }^{\prime} \mathbf{M}$ '.

Object :-To find out a suitable combination of A/S and Super and to see whether Super is best utilised by spreading on the surface of soil and also, to see whether fertilisers applied to Aus paddy can increase the yield of following wheat.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Aus paddy-Wheat. (b) Wheat. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clayey loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Malda. (iii) 17.5.49. (iv) (a) 4-5 ploughings and laddering. (b) Broadcast. (c) 1 md ./ac. (d) and (e)- (v) Nil. (vi) Dharial (late). (vii). Unirrigated. (viii) 2-3 hand weedings in July and August. (ix) 47.58". (x) 24/28.8:49.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments :-

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

## Sub-plot treatments :-

2 methods of application of Super : $\mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Spread on and $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ Dug in trenches.
N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
A/S was broadcast on 4.4.49. In half the area Super was spread on surface and in other half it was placed in furrows, laddered and then ploughed on 22.4.49.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split plot. (ii) (a) 9 main-plots/replication and 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4, (iv) (a) Sub-plot : $30^{\prime} \times 21.5^{\prime}$; Main plot : $190^{\prime} \times 68.5^{\prime}$. (b) Sub-plot $: 28^{\prime} \times 19.5^{\prime}$; Main plot : N.A. (v) Distance between piots: $2^{\prime} ; 1^{\prime}$ border around each plot. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1950 . (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b). N.A. (vi) and (vii) N.A.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $\quad 1473 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $250.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
(b) $\quad 236.3 \mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Levels of N differ highly significantly. Other effects and interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.


| S.E. of difference of two |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. means in the body of $\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{P}$ table | $=125.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 2. marginal means of N-orP. | $72.43 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. marginal means of $\mathrm{M}^{\text {- }}$ | $55.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. $M$ means at the same level of ${ }^{N}$ or $P$ | $=96.41 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 5. N or P means at the same level of M | $99.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Aus).
Ref :-W.B. 50(8)
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Malda.
Type :- 'M'

Object .-To find out the optimum requirement of $A / S$ and Super and to find out the best method of application of A/S to Aman Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Aus followed by wheat in order to study the residual effect. (b) Wheat. (c) No manure used. (ii)
(a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Malda. (iii) 15 th July to Ist week of August. (iv) (a) 4-5 ploughings and laddering after the preparation of land during May and June. (b) Broadcast. (c) 30 to 35 seers/ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2-3. (v) Nil. (vi) Dharial (late) Coarse variety. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 weedings and 2 rakings done. (ix) $52.57^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15 th Dec. to Ist week of January.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{2}}=30$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Sub-plot treatments :-
2 methods of application of Super : $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{1}}=$ Spread on and $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{2}}=$ Dug in trenches.
N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split plot.
(ii) (a) 9 main-plots/replication; 2 sub-plots/main-plot
(b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $30^{\circ} \times 21.5^{\prime}$
(b) $28^{\prime} \times 19.5^{\prime}$.
(v) 1' border around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) No lodging; satisfactory. (ii) Slight attack of helminthosporium. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 19481950. (b) Yes. (c) N.A (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1286 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $172.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $127.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Levels of N differ highly significantly. Methods of application differ significantly. All other effects are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 704 | 736 | 725 | 722 | 744 | 699 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1430 | 1342 | 1445 | 1406 | 1341 | 1471 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 1754 | 1718 | 1718 | 1730 | 1752 | 1708 |
| Mean | 1296 | 1265 | 1296 | 1286 | 1279 | 1293 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 1282 | 1238 | 1317 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 1310 | 1292 | 1275 |  |  |  |

1. S.E. of body of $\mathbf{N} \times P$ table
$=60.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ or $P$
$=35.2 \mathrm{lb} / . \mathrm{ac}$.
3. S.E. of marginal mean of $M$
$=21.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S E. of difference of two
4. $\mathbf{M}$ means at the same level of $\mathbf{N}$ or $\mathbf{P} \quad=52.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
5. $\mathbf{N}$ or $\mathbf{P}$ means at the same level of $\mathbf{M}$

$$
=62.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

Crop :. Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Malda.

Object :-To find out the optimum requirement of $A / S$ and Super on Aman Paddy under different soil climatic conditions of West Bengal.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Malda. (iii) 15th June to 1st week of July/I5th July to 1st week of August. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) - (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 3. (v) N.A. (vi) Dular (Medium '. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 64.3 '". (x) 15th Dec. to 1st week of January.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40, \mathrm{P}_{3}=60$ and $\mathrm{P}_{4}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 5 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=45$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{cc}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super was ploughed in before transplanting and N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ was given as top dressing 4 weeks after transplantation.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $5 \times 5$ fact. in R.B.D. (i)
(a) 25 .
(b) N.A.
(ii) 5. (iv)
(a) $38^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$.
(b) $36^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) A/S and Super increased the vegetative growth of the plants. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of grain, (iv) (a) 1953 to 1955. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Mayanaguri, Cooch Behar Chinsurah Haringhata, Burdwan, Midnapore and Cultivators' fields. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Experiment conducted in year 1954 failed.
5. RESULTS
(i) $1014 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $395.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.


Crop:- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Maynaguri.

Ref:- W.B. 53(24)
Type:- ' M '.

Object :-To find out the Optimum requirement of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Super on Aman Paddy under different soil climatic conditions of West Bengal.

## 1 BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) Aman Paddy. (c) N.A, (ii) (a) Fine Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Maynaguri (iii) 15th June to 1st week of July/i5th July to 1st week of August. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanted. (c) - (d) - $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 3 . (v) N.A. (vi) Indrasail, (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N A. (x) 15 th December to 1 st week of January.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of $P_{2} O_{5}: P_{0}=0, P_{1}=2$ ), $P_{2}=40, P_{3}=60$ and $P_{1}=80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 5 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=45$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super and N as A/S.
Super was ploughed in before transplanting and A/S was given as top dressing 4 weeks after transplantation.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $5 \times 5$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 25 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $32^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ increased the vegetative growth. (ii) Incidence of helminthosporium disease had been reported. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1953 to 1955. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Cooch-Behar, Chinsurah, Burdwan, Haringhata, Malda, Midnapore and Cultivators' fields. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1878 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $404.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lo./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 1934 | 1766 | 1882 | 1620 | 1816 | 1804 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 2331 | 1773 | 1826 | 2246 | 1741 | 1923 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 1747 | 1949 | 1822 | 1807 | 1550 | 1775 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 2237 | 1929 | 1885 | 1848 | 1936 | 1967 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | 2057 | 1893 | 1934 | 1829 | 1897 | 1922 |
| Mean | 2301 | 1862 | 1870 | 1870 | 1788 | 1878 |
| S E. of marginal mean S.E. of body of table |  | $\begin{aligned} & =81.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} \\ & =181.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |

Crop :- Paddy (Aus).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Midnapore.

Ref : W.B. 50(43)
Type : 'M'

Object : To study the effect of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on Dular variety of paddy.

## 1*BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Dular (Kharif)-Kalai (Rabi) (b) Pulse (c) Nil (ii) (a) Red laterite (b) Refer soil analysis, Midnapore (iii) 2.7 .50 (iv) (a) 4 ploughings and harrowings. (b) Transplanting. (c) - (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\circ}$. (c) 4-5 (v) Lime 6 md ./ac. (vi) Dular. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding and hoeing once. (ix)-(x) 8.9.50.
2. TREATMENTS :

1. $25 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N
2. $25 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
3. $25 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+25 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
4. Control.
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4 (b) N.A. (iii) 4 (iv) (a) $31^{\prime} \times 28^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\circ} \times 27^{\prime}$. (v) Yes. (vi) Yes.

## -4. GENERAL :

(i) Fair. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of paddy. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Plot wise yield data N.A. Results furnished as available.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1053 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) N.A.
(iii) N.A.
(iv) A Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$,

| Treatment | Av. yield. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1115 |
| 2. | 912 |
| 3. | 1171 |
| 4. | 1013 |
| S.E/mean | $=$ N.A. |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman)
Site :- State Agri.Farm, Midnapore.
Ref:- W.B.53(19)
Type:- 'M'.
Object:- To find-out the optimum requirement of $A / S$ and Super on Aman Paddy under different soil climatic conditions of West Bengal.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No (b) Aman paddy (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loám. (b) Refer soil analysis, Midnapore. (iii) 15 th June to 1 st week of July/15 July to 1st week of August. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanted. (c)-(d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ (e) 3 (v) N.A. (vi) Latisail (Medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 54.09" (x) 15th Dec. to. Ist week of January.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) \& (2)
(1) 5 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40, \mathrm{P}_{3}=60$ and $\mathrm{P}_{4}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 5 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=45$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
Super was ploughed in before transplanting and $A / S$ was given as a top dressing 4 weeks after transplantation.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $5 \times 5$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 25 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5 (iv) (a) $38^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$ (b) $36^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) A/S increased the vegetative growth. (ii) No (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1953 to 1955 (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Mayanaguri, Cooch-Behar, Chinsurah, Malda, Burdwan, Haringhata and on Cultivators' fields (b) N.A. (vi) Nil (vii) Crop failed in the year 1954.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 2381 lb ./ac-
(ii) $267.4 \mathrm{lb}: / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only levels of N differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | $P_{3}$ | $P_{4}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | 1935 | 2128 | 2052 | 2133 | 2203 | 2090 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | 2327 | 2547 | 2285 | 2556 | 2327 | 2408 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | 2432 | 2563 | 2652 | 2645 | 2470 | 2552 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{3}$ | 2587 | 2605 | 2377 | 2608 | 2371 | 2510 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{4}$ | 2035 | 2371 | 2484 | 2539 | 2284 | 2343 |
| Mean | 2263 | 2443 | 2370 | 2496 | 2331 | 2381 |

S.E. of marginal mean $=53.5 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
S.E. of body of table $=119.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

1

```
Crop:- Paddy (Aus)
Ref:- W.B. 48(14)
Site :- Rural Reconstruction Institute, Sriniketan. Type : 'M'
```

Object:- To find-out the effect of different doses of organic manure on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Fallow (c) Nil (ii) (a) Laterite (b) Refer soil analysis, Sriniketan (iii) 21.7 .48 (iv) (a) 4-5 ploughings \& harrowing. (b) Transplanting. (c)-(d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ (e) 1-2. (v) Nil. (vi) Ashkata. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) $1-2$ weedings $\& 1$ hoeing was common practice. (ix) $58.18^{\prime \prime}$ (x) 27.10.48.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1. Control
2. $20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N
3. $40 \mathrm{Ib} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N
4. $80 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N

N as Mustard Cake applied on 16.8.48
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4 (b) N.A. (iii) 6 (iv) (a) $18.75^{\prime} \times 28.50^{\prime}$ (b) $18.00^{\prime} \times 27.75^{\prime}$ (v) Distance between plots \& blocks $2^{\prime}, 1^{\prime}$ guard row around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good (ii) Nil (iii) Grain and straw yield (iv) (a) 1945 to 1951. (residual effect from 1949 to 1951). (b) Yes (c) N.A. (v) (a) Bankura, Suri \& Chinsurah (Modified form). (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1706 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $144.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Mean |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1258 |
| 2. | 1642 |
| 3. | 1922 |
| 4. | 2003 |
| S.E./mean | $=58.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Aus).
Ref:~ W.B. 49(17)/48(14).
Site : Rural Reconstruction Institute, Sriniketan. Type :- 'M'.

Object :- To study the residual effect of different levels of N in the form of mustard cake on the yield of Paddy (1st year).

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Aus paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sriniketan (iii) 3.6.49/ 15.7 49. (iv) (a) $3-4$ ploughings \& laddering. (b) Transplanting (c)- (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) $2-3 . \quad$ (v) Nil. (vi) Ashkata (early) (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) $1-2$ weeding is common practice. (ix) $40^{\circ}$ app. (x) 30.10.49.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control.
4. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
5. 40 lb ./ac. of N .
6. 80 lb ./ac. of N . N as Mustard Cake. Manures applied to previous crop.
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $28.50^{\prime} \times 18.75^{\prime}$. (b) $27.75^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) Distance between plots $2^{\prime}$; $1^{\prime}$. guard row on two sides of plot. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain \& straw yield. (iv)(a) 1945-46 to 1948-49. (residual effect from 1949 to 51 )
(b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v)(a) Bankura, Chinsurah and Suri (with modifications). (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $595.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$,
(ii) $149.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Mean |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 479.4 |
| 2. | 526.4 |
| 3. | 636.2 |
| 4. | 741.4 |
| S.E./mean | $=60.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |

Crop:- Paddy (Aus).
Ref :- W.B. $50(20) / 49(17) / 48(14)$.
Site: Rural Reconstruction Institute, Sriniketan. Type : 'M'.
Object :- To study the residual effect of different doses of N in the form of mustard cake on the yield of Paddy (2nd year).

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Aus paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Laterite, (b) Refer soil analysis, Sriniketan. (iii) 22.6/1.8.50. (iv) (a) 3-4 ploughings and laddering. (b) Transplanting. (c)-(d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (c) $2-3$. (v) Nil. (vi) Ashkata (early) . (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) $1-2$ weedings is common practice. (ix) $46^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 3.11.50.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control.
2. 20 lb ./ac. of N .
3. 40 lb ./ac. of N .
4. 80 lb ./ac. of N .

N as Mustard Cake. 2nd year of residual effect.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii)(a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $28.50^{\prime} \times 18.75^{\prime}$. (b) $27.75^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) Distance between plots $2^{\prime}$; $1^{\prime}$ guard row on two sides of plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1951 (residual effect from 1949 to 1951 )
(b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Bankura, Chinsurah \& Suri. (b)N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $674.5 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}$
-(ii) $85.12 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.
Treatment Mean

1. 595.8
$2 . \quad 647.4$

| 3. | 683.2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 4. | 771.7 |

$$
\text { S.E. } / \text { mean } \quad=34.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

Crop :- Paddy (Aus) Ref :- W.B. 51(25)/50(20)/49(17)/48(14)
Site :- Rural Reconstruction Institute, Sriniketan. Type :- 'M'.

Object :- To study the residual effect of different doses of N in the form of mustard cake on the yield of Paddy (3rd year).

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sriniketan. (iii) 23.6./25.7.51. (iv) (a) 3-4 ploughings \& laddering (b) \& (c) N.A. (d) $9^{* *} \times 9^{* \prime}$. (e) 2-3, (v) Nil. (vi) Ashkata (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) $1-2$ weedings is common practice. (ix) $36^{\prime \prime}$ Approx. (x) 3.11.51.

2 TREATMENTS:

1. Control.
2. 20 lb ./ac. of N .
3. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
4. 80 lb ./ac. of N .

N as Mustard Cake-3rd year of residual effect

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $28.50^{\prime} \times 18.75^{\prime}$. (b) $27.00^{\prime} \times 17.25^{\prime}$. (v) Distance between plots $2^{\prime}$; $1^{\prime}$ guard row around each plot. (vi,' Yes.
4. GENERAL
(i) Poor. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain \& Straw yield. (iv) (a) 1945 to 1948 (residual effect from 1949 to 1951). (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Bankura, Chinsurah Suri iwith modifications). (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $271.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $42.56 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 187.0 |
| 2. | 257.6 |
| 3. | 273.3 |
| 4. | 369.6 |
| S.E./mean | $=17.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Ref :- W.B. 48(11).
Site :- Rural Reconstruction Institute, Sriniketan. Type:- 'M'.
Object :-To study the effect of applying A/S, Super \& F.Y.M. alone and in combination on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. B 1 SAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Aman paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sriniketan.
(iii) Mid. of June/3rd week of July. (iv) (a) 3-4 ploughings \& harrowing. (b) Transplanting. (c) --
(d) $9^{\circ} \times 9^{\circ}$. (e) $2-3$ (v) Nil (vi) Badkalamkati-65 (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) $2-3$ weedings is common practice. (ix) $41.44^{\prime \prime}$ (x) 4 th week of November, 1948.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :-
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels ef $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Seb-plot treatments:-
2 levels of F.Y.M. : $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=100 \mathrm{md}$./ac.
N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
Super \& F.Y.M. were applied at the time of general preparation of land \& A/S was broadcast 4 weeks after transplantation.
3. JDESIGN :
(i) Split plot. (ii) (a) 9 main-plots/replication \& 2 sub-plots/main-plot (b) N.A. (iii) 4 (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$.
(b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v), $1^{\prime}$ border around each plot (vi), Yes...
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) 'N.A. (iii) Grain \& straw yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1955 . (b) Yes. (c) N:A. (v) (a) No

- (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.

5. RESULTS :
(i) $1254 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $347.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $170.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $P$ alone is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

S.E. of the difference of two
(1) N or P means
$=100.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
(2) F means
$=40.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) means in the body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ table $=173.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(4) $F$ means at the same level of $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$ or $\mathrm{P}=65.5 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(5) N or P means at the same level of $\mathrm{F}=111.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- Rural Reconstruction Institute, Sriniketan. Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '.
Object :-To study the effect of applying A/S, Super and F.Y.M. alone and in combination on the yield of Paddy.
11. BASAL GONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Aman paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sriniketan. (iii) Middle of July, 1949. (iv) (a) 3-4 ploughings \& laddering. (b) \& (c) N.A. (d)' $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) $2-3$.
(v) Nil. (vi) Badkalamkati-65 (early). (vii) Unirrigated, (viii) 2-3 weedings is common practice. (ix) N.A. (x) Mid of Dec., 1949.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :-
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

Sub-plot treatments:-
2 levels of F.Y.M. $: F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=100 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$.
N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split plot (ii) (a) 9 main-plots/replication ; \& 2 sub-plots/main-plot (b) N.A. (iii) 4 (iv) (a) $34^{*} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}(\mathrm{v}) 1^{\prime}$ around each plot (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Good (ii) Negligible (iii) Grain \& straw yield (iv) (a) $1948-1955$ (b) Yes (c) N.A. (v) (a) No (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1837 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $101.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $94.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, and F.Y.M. effects and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{d}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{F}_{6}$ | $F_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 955 | 2090 | 2248 | 1764 | 1611 | 1917 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1730 | 1499 | 2598 | 1942 | 1724 | 2160 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 1967 | 2116 | 1332 | 1805 | 1609 | 2001 |
| Mean | 1551 | 1902 | 2059 | 1837 | 1648 | 2026 |
| $F_{0}$ | 1361 | 1.716 | 1868 |  |  |  |
| $F_{1}$ | 1742 | 2087 | $225)$ |  |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { (1) } \mathrm{N} \text { or } \mathrm{P} \text { marginal means } & =29.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { (2) } \mathrm{F} \text { marginal means } & =22.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { (3) means in the body of } \mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P} \text { table } & =51.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { (4) } \mathrm{F} \text { means at the same level of } \mathrm{N} \text { or } \mathrm{P} & =38.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { (5) } \mathrm{N} \text { or } \mathrm{P} \text { means at the same level of } \mathrm{F} & =40.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .\end{array}$

Crop :- Paddy (Aman). Ref :- W.B. 50(18)/49(14)/48(11).
Site :- Rural Reconstruction Institute, Sriniketan. Type:- 'M'.
Object :-To study the effect of applying A/S, Super and F.Y.M. alone and in combination on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Aman paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sriniketan.
(iii) 16.6.50/23-28.7.50. (iv) (a) 3-4 ploughings and harrowing. (b) Transplanted. (c) - (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e)

2-3. (v) Nil. (vi) Badkalamakati-65 (Early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2-3 weedings is common practice. (ix) 45.78" approx. (x) 17-26.11.50.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :-
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N} . \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 levele of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Sub-plot treatments:-
2 levels of F.Y.M : $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=100 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
F.Y.M. and Super ploughed in. F.Y.M. was applied on 9.7 .50 ; Super applied on 16.7 .50 at the time of general preparation of land A/S applied on 23.8 .50 and broadcast 4 weeks after transplantation.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) Split plot. (ii)(a) 9 main-plots/replication; and 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $34^{\circ} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$ (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1955 . (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nó. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2214 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $115.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $121.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

(iv). Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 1617 | 2261 | 2425 | 2101 | 1897 | 2305 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1922 | 2440 | 2583. | 2315 | 2173 | 2457 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 2005 | 2271 | 2398 | 2225 | 2089 | 2361 |
| Mean | 1848 | 2324 | 2469 | 2214 | 2053 | 2374 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | 1702 | 2162 | 2295 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 1994 | 2487 | 2642 | \% |  |  |

S.E. difference of two :

1. N or P marginal means

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =33.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =28.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac.} \\
& =57.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{aligned}
$$

2. $F$ marginal means
3. means in the body of $N \times P$ table
4. $F$ means at the same level of $N$ or $P$
5. $N$ or $P$ means at the same level of $F \quad=48.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

## Crop :- Paddy (Aman).

Ref :- W.B. 51(1)/50(18)/49(14)/48(11).
Site - Rural Reconstruction Institute, Sriniketan.
Type :-' M '.
Object :-To study manurial effects of A/S, Super and F.Y.M. alone and in combination on the yield of Aman Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy (Badkalmkati-65). (c) As under treatments. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer sọil analysis, Sriniketan. (iii) 1 st July to 15 th July. (iv) (a) $4-5$ ploughings and laddering after preparation of land during the month of May-June. (b) Transplanted. (c) - (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Badkalamkati-65 (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One weeding four weeks after transplantation. (ix) $35.84^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15th December to Ist week of January.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :-
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0 ; \mathrm{N}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $P: P_{0}=0 . P_{1}=30$ and $P_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Sub-plot treatments :-
2 levels of F.Y.M. : $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=100 \mathrm{md}$./ac.
N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
F.Y.M. and Super were applied at the time of general preparation of land arid A/S was applicd 4 weeks after transplantation.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Split plot. (ii) (a) 9 main-plots/replication; 2 sub-plots/main plot. (b) Nil. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$.
(b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around each plot. (vi) Yes.

- GENERAL :
(i) Moderate. Plants lodged in those plots where 60 lb ./ac. of N was given. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yeld of grain (iv) (a) 1948 to 1953. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

5. RESULTS :
(i) $1796 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $306.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $136.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and F.Y.M. are highly significant. Interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | Mean. | $F_{0}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 1206 | 1815 | 1779 | 1600 | 1531 | 1669 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1582 | 1837 | 2111 | - 1843 | 1737 | 1949 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 1801 | 1991 | 2043 | 1945 | 1854 | 2037 |
| Mean. | 1530 | 1881 | 1978 | 1796 | 1707 | 1885 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | 1453 | 1776 | 1893 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 1606 | 1986 | 2062 |  |  |  |

1. S.E. of N or $\mathbf{P}$ marginal means

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =61.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =22.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =108.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
\end{aligned}
$$

2. S.E. of $\mathbf{F}$ marginal means
3. S.E. of mean in the body of $N \times P$ table
S.E. of difference of two
4. F means at the same level of $N$ or $P \quad=96.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
5. $N$ or $P$ means at the same level of $F \quad=55.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Paddy (Aman). Ref :- W.B. 52(31)/51(1)/50(18)/49(14)/48(11).
Site :- Rural Reconstruction Institute, Sriniketan. Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study manurial effects of A/S, Super and F.Y.M. alone and in combination on yield of Aman Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) As under treatments. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sriniketan. (iii) Middle of June. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanted. (c) - (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Badkalamkati (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One weeding four weeks after transplan- tation. (ix) N.A. (x) Middle of November.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments :-

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

## Sub-plot treatments:-

2 levels of F Y.M. : $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=100 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
F.Y.M. was ploughed in during general preparation of land and Super was applied before puddling. A/S was applied 4 weeks after transplantation.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split plot. (ii) (a) 9 main-plot/replication and 2 sub-plots/main-plot.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4. (iv) (a)
$34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around each sub-plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :

1 (i) Satisfactory, Plants lodged in those plots where $60 \% \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N was applied. (ii) No. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1953 (residual effects studied for the years 1954 and 1955). (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $2633 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $293.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $198.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ are highly significant. Interaction $\mathrm{F} \times \mathrm{N}$ is significant while other effects are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~N}_{0}$ | 1942 | 2583 | 2780 | 2435 | 2303 | 2567 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 2625 | 2730 | 3102 | 2819 | 2790 | 2848 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 2396 | -2697 | 2842 | 2646 | 2668 | 2623 |
| Mean | 2321 | 2670 | 2908 | 2633 | 2587 | 2679 |
| $F_{0}$ | 2259 | 2600 | 2843 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2384 | 2681 | 2974 |  |  |  |

1. S.E. of $N$ or $\mathbf{P}$ marginal means

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =59.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =33.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =103.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
\end{aligned}
$$

2. S.E. of $F$ marginal means
3. S.E. of the body of $N \times P$ table
S.E. of difference of two
4. $\mathbf{F}$ means at the same level of N or $\mathrm{P} \quad=80.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
5. $N$ or $P$ means at the same level of $F \quad=102.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$\dot{\text { Crop : }}$ :-Paddy (Aman). Ref :-W.B. 53(17)/52(31)/51(1)/50(18)/49 (14)/48 (11). Site :-Rural Reconstruction Institute, Sriniketan. Type :-' $\mathbf{M}$ '

Object :-To study the manurial effects of A/S, Super and F.Y.M. aione and in combination on yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (Badkalamkati-65) (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sriniketan. (iii) 19.6 .53 ; date of transplantation :- 18.7 .53 to 23.7.53. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanted. (c)-. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Badkalamati-65. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One weeding four weeks after transplantation. (ix) $49.87^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 20.11 .53 to 25.11 .53 .

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :-
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1} \doteq 30$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

## Sub-plot treatments :-

2 levels of F.Y.M. : $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=100 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
-N as ${ }^{*} \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Süper ${ }^{"}$
Super and F.Y.M. appliéd on 8.6.1953, A/S appliéd on"20.8.1953.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) Split plot. (ii) (a) 9 main-plots/replicaction; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) Yes. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) 2 sub-plots in block III and 2 sub-plots in block IV were affected by Hispa and paddy smitt. Preventive measures were taken. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1953 . (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) Conditions were normal upto the time of flowering. But at the time of flowering extreme drought was experienced. It was found necessary to irrigate the plots occasionally between flowering and formation of grain. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2956 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) 416.7 lb./ac.
(b) $297.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only main effect of F.Y.M. is highly significant.
(iv) Av. y eld of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 2579 | 2883 | 2955 | 2806 | 2617 | 2995 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 4974 | 2940 | 3362 | 3092 | 3029 | 3156 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 3039 | 3022 | 2846 | 2969 | 2873 | 3066 |
| Mean | 2864 | 2948 | 3055 | 2956 | 2839 | 3072 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | 2625 | 2880 | 3013 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 3103 | 3016 | $3 \mathrm{C97}$ |  |  |  |

(1) S.E. of $\mathbf{N}$ or $\mathbf{P}$ marginal means $\quad=85.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) S.E. of F marginal means $=49.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ table $=147.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of difference of two
(4) F means at the same level of N or $\mathrm{P} \quad=121.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(5) $N$ or $P$ means at the, same level of $F=147.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :-Paddy (Aman).
Site :-State Agri. Farm, Suri.

Ref:-W.B. 49(18)
Type:-‘ M '.

Object :-To study the residual effect of different doses of organic manure on the yield of Paddy (1st year).

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Aman paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Laterite. (c) Refer soil analysis, Suri. (iii) 18/20.6.49. (iv) (a) $3-4$ ploughings and harrowing. (b) and (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2-3. (v) Nil. (vi) Raghusail. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) $2-3$ weedings is common practice. (ix) $47.15^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 14.12.49.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) :
(1) 5 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=90$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$,
(2) 3 sources of N : Mustard Cake (M.C.), Castor Cake (C.C.) and G.N.C.

Treatments were applied during last year. Ist year of residual effect st udied.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $5 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ (ii) (a) 15. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $18.75^{\prime} \times 21.0^{\prime}$. (b) $18^{\prime} \times 20.25^{\prime}$. (v) Distance between plots $2^{\prime} ; 1^{\prime}$ guard row ou two sides of a plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1945-46 to 1951 (resuidal effect for 3 years from 1939-50). (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chinsura and Sriniketan (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2114 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $231.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Levels of N differ highly significantly. Sources of N differ signicantly. Interaction is not signiffcant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.


Crop :-Paddy (Aman).<br>Site :-State Agri. Farm, Suri.

## Ref :-W.B. 50 (19)/49 (18).

Type: - ' M '.

Object:-To study the residual effect of different doses of organic manures on the yield of Paddy. (2nd year).

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Aman paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Laterite. (Low land, heavy loam). (b) Refer soil analysis, Suri. (iii) 19.6.50/16, 19.7.50. (iv) (a) 3-4 ploughings and harrowing. (b) Transplanting. (c)-. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 3^{\prime \prime}$. (e) $2-3$. (v) Nil. (vi) Raghusail. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) $2-3$ weedings is common practice. (ix) 42.59 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 24-27.12.50.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of $N: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=30, N_{2}=60, N_{3}=90$ and $N_{4}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 sources of N:Mustand Cake (M.C.), Castor Cake and G.N.C.

No manure was applied for the 2nd year in succession.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $5 \times 3$ Fact. In R.B.D. (ii) (a) 15. (b) N-A. (iii) 6: (iv) (a) $18.75^{\prime} \times 21.00^{\prime}$. (b) $18^{\prime} \times 20.75^{\prime}$. (v) Distance between plots $2^{\prime}$ and blocks $3^{\prime} ; 1^{\prime}$ guard row on two sides of a plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1945 to 1950-51. (residual effect for 3 years from 1949-50). (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chinsurah, Bankura and Sriniketan (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 2214 lb./ac.
(ii) $190.4 \mathrm{lb}, / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only levels of N differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}=1855 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M.C. | C.C. | G.N.C. | Mean |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 2175 | 2085 | 2110 | 2123 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 2244 | 2305 | 2185 | 2245 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{3}$ | 2439 | 2365 | 2360 | 2388 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{i}}$ | 2455 | 2420 | 2505 | 2460 |
| Mean | 2328 | 2294 | 2290 |  |


| S.E. of the marginal mean of N | $=44.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of the marginal mean of source | $=38.9$ | $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of the body of the table | $=77.7$ | $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Paddy (Aman).
Site :-State Agri. Farm, Suri.

Ref :-W.B. 51 (24)/50 (19)/49 (18).
Type :-' $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$

Object :-To study the residual effect of different doses of organic manures on the yield of Paddy. (3rd year).

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Aman paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow(c) Nil. (ii) (a) Laterite (low land, heavy loam). (b) Refer soil analysis, Suri. (iii) $20.6 .51 / 30 / 7$ and $1-2 / 8 / 51$. (iv) (a) $3-4$ ploughings and harrowing. (b) Transplanting. (c) - . (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\circ}$. (e) $2-3$. (v) Nil. (vi) Raghusail. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) $2-3$ weedings is common practice. (ix) 45.30". (x) 24-27.12.51.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=6$ ), $\mathrm{N}_{3}=90$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 sources of N : Mustard Cake (M.C.), Castor Cake (C.C.) and G.N.C.

No manure was applied for the 3rd year in succession.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $5 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 15 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $18.75^{\prime} \times 21.0^{\prime}$. (b) $17.25^{\prime} \times 19.50^{\prime}$. (v) Distance between plots $2^{\prime}$ and blocks $3^{\prime} ; 0.75^{\prime}$ all round. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1945 to 1951 (residual effect for 3 years from 194950). (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chinsurah, Bankura and Sriniketan (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 2372 lb ./ac.
(ii) $243.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Levels of N differ highly significantly. Sources of N differ significantly. Levels $\times$ source of N inter action is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M.C. | C.C. | G.N.C. | Mean. |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 2377 | 2210 | 2277 | 2288 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 2641 | 2499 | 2285 | 2475 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | 2700 | 2573 | 2531 | 2601 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{4}$ | 2681 | 2598 | 2486 | 2588 |
| Mean. | 2600 | 2470 | 2395 |  |

S.E. of the marginal mean of $\mathrm{N} \quad=57.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of the marginal mean of source $\quad=49.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of table.

$$
=99.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

Crop: Paddy (Aman).
Ref:- W.B. 48 (7).
Site :-.State Agri. Farm, Suri.
Type ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.

Object :- To study the effect of continuous application of, A/S, B.M. and F.Y.M. alone and in combination on yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) .(a) Fallow: Paddy (b) Blocks 1 \& 4 had paddy ; blocks $5,6,7$ \& 9 had sugarcane \& blocks 8,10 \& 12 had Dhaincha (c) Blocks $1 \& 4$ !received G.M.; 5, 6; $7 \& 9$ received. T.C. at $50 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac} .+$ F.Y.M. at 250 $\mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac} .+$ Cowdung at $65 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac} .+$ B.M. at $6.5 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac} .+$ Nicifos at $2 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$. Blocks $8,10, \& 12$ received G.M. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Suri. (iii) Aug., 1948. (iv) (a) \& (b) The field was ploughed 3 to 4 times before transplantation. (c) -(d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) $2-3$.. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik $\mathrm{CH}-$ 3 (Medium). (viii) 2-3 weedings is general practice. (ix) N.A. (x) December, 1948.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Alt combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $N: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=30$ and $N_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 levels of F.Y.M; $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=100 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$.
B.M. and F.Y.M. applied at the time of general preparation of land and A/S applied 4 weeks. after transplantation.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $3 \times 3 \times 2$ Fact. Partia'ly Confd. in Randomised Incomplete Blocks. (ii) (a) 6 plots/block; 3 blocks/ replication. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $19^{\prime} \times 34^{\prime}$.(b) $17^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around each plot as guard row. (vi Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Very good in the beginning; (plants grew rapidly after two weeks of transplanting and tillering started remarkably in plots with $60 \mathrm{lb} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{ac}$.). Crop lodged in plots later especially in plots with heavy dose of A/S. (ii) (a) Rice-worm (Nymphula deputalis) observed 6 weeks after 'transplanting. Rope soaked in kerosene drawn over effected plots. Kerosene oll placed in affected plots treated with Gamaxene. Slight attack of helminthosporium. No control measure taken. (iii) Tillering \& height of tillers after every fortnight ( 10 seedling/plut selected at random). Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a). 1948-49-continued
(b) Yes.
(c) N.A.
(v) (a) State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.
(b) N.A.
(vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS
(i) $2282 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $391.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only N effect highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | 1978 | 2510 | ; 2531 | 2340 | 2467 | 2261 | 2291 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 1985 | 2267 | 2421 | 2224 | 2279 | 2157 | 2237 |
| Mean | 1981 | 2389 | 2476 | 2282 | 2373 | 2209 | 2264 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2072 | 2379 | 2667 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1888 | 2493 | 2245 | - |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1982 | 2294 | 2517 |  |  |  |  |

S.E of the marginal mean of $\mathbf{P}$ or $\mathbf{N}=79.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of the marginal mean of F.Y.M. $\quad=65.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of $\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{F}$ or $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{F}$ table $112.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of $N \times P$ table. $=136.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Suri.

Ref : W.B. 49(9).
Type:- ' M '.

Object :- To study the effect of continuous application of A/S, B.M. and F.Y.M. alone and in combinanation on yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Suri. (iii) August. 1949. (iv) (a) 3-4 ploughings before transplantation. (b) Transplanting. (c)-(d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2-3. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik, $\mathrm{CH}-3$ (Medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2-3 weedidgs is general practice. (ix) N.A. (x) December, 1949.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 2 levels of F.Y.M. : $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathbf{1}}=100 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$.

N asA/S \& $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M.
B.M. \& F.Y.M. were applied at the time of generai preparation of land and A/S was applied after 4 weeks of transplantation.
3. DESIGN :
(1) $3 \times 3 \times 2$ Fact. Partially Confd. in Randamised Incomplete Blocks (ii) (a) 6 plots/block and 3 blocks/replication (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $19^{\prime} \times 34^{\prime}$. (b) $17^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good ; plants reeciving higher doses of N slightly lodged. (ii) Nil. (iii) Tillering and height of tillers. Grain and straw yield (iv) (a) 1948-49-continued. (b) Yes. (b) N.A. (v) (a) Chinsurah \& Berhampore. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $2589 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $233.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, F.Y.M. and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times$ F.Y.M. are highly significant. Other effects are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | 1901 | 2577 | 2977 | 2485 | 2313 | 2477 | 2664 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2356 | 2839 | 2886 | 2694 | 2633 | 2726 | 2724 |
| Mean | 2128 | 2708 | 2932 | 2589 | 2473 | 2601 | 2694 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | 1884 | 2704 | 2831 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2166 | 2692 | 2944 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 2334 | 2729 | 3020 |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of the marginal mean of N or $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | $=47.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of the marginal mean of F.Y.M. | $=38.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |
| S.E. of the mean in body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{F}$ or $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{F}$ table | $=67.4 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$ |
| S.E. of the mean in the body of $\mathrm{N} \times P$ table | $=82.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy Aman.
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Suri.

Ref:JW.B: 50(11).
Type : ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime} . .$.

Object:- To study the effect of continuous application of A/S, B.M. and F.Y.M. alone and in combination on yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Suri. (iii) August 1950 . (iv) (a) 3-4 ploughings, harrowing and levelling. (b) N. $\dot{A}$. (c) - (d) $\dot{9}^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime \prime}$. (e) $2-3$. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik, CH3 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) $2-3$ weedings is general practice. (ix) $49.38^{\prime \prime}$. ( $\mathbf{x}$ ) December, 1950.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 leveis of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 2 levels of F:Y.M.: $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=100 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$. :.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3 \times 2$ Fact. Partially Confd. in Randomised Incomplete Blocks. (ii) (a) 6 plots/block and 3 blocks replication. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (in) (a) $19^{\prime} \times 34^{\prime}$. (b) $17^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Tillering, height of tillers; grain \&'straw yield. (iv) (a) 1948-49-continued (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) State Agri Farm ; Chinsurah \& Berhampore. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.*
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2381 \quad \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $164.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Effects due to $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and F.Y.M. and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times$ F.Y.M. are highly significant while interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.


| S.E. of the marginal mean of N or $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | $=33.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of the marginal mean o! F.Y.M. | $=27.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of mean in the body of the $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{F}$ or $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{F}$ table | $=47.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of mean in the body of the $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ table | $=58.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Suri.
(.... Ref:- W.B. 51(7).
4. . Type : ‘ 'M'.

Object :- To study the effect of continuous application of A/S, B.M. and F.Y.M. alone and in combination on yield of of Paddy.

## BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) Aman Paddy. (c) As under treatments. (ii) (a) Sandy loam (red soil). (b) Refer soil analysis, Suri. (iii) 15 th June to 1 st week of July/1st week of July to 1 st week ofAugust. (iv) (a) $4-5$ ploughings and laddering after the preparation of the land during the month of May and June. (b) N.A. (c)-(d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2-3. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (Medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii)' 2 weedings done; first and second weedings were done about 5 weeks and week respectively after transplantation. (ix) 44.15". (x) 15 th Dec. to 1 st week of January.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) \& (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$,/ac.
(3) 2 levels of F.Y.M. : F.Y.M.: $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=100$ and $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M and F.Y.M. were applied at the time of general preparation of land and N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ applied 4 weeks after transplantation.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3 \times 2$ Fact. Partially Confd. in Randomised Incomplete Blocks. (ii) (a) 6 plots/block; 3 blocks/ replication. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $42^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around the plot. (vi) Yes..
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Nil. (iii) Height of the plants and counting the members of plants were done periodically and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
9. RESULTS :
(i) $3016 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $297.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of $\mathbf{N}$ and F.Y.M. and interaction $\mathbf{N} \times$ F.Y.M. are highly significant. Interactian $N \times P$ is significant. Other effects are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | 2446 | 3118 | 3157 | 2907 | 2874 | 2985 | 2863 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 3110 | 3148 | 3116 | 3125 | 3047 | 3080 | 3247 |
| Mean | 2778 | 3133 | 3136 | 3016 | 2960 | 3032 | 3055 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2537 | 3196 | 3146 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2918 | 3068 | 3111 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 2878 | $3134$ | 3152 |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of the marginal mean of N or P | $=57.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of the marginal mean of $F . Y . M$. | $=46.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of the body of the $\mathrm{N} \times F$ or $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{F}$ table | $=80.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of the body of the $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ table | $=98.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Suri.

Ref:- W.B. 52(26).
Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of continuous application of A/S, B.M. and F.Y.M. alone and in combination on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) As under treatments. (ii) (a) Sandy loam-red soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Suri. (iii) 15th June to 1 st week of July/1st week of July to 1st week of Aug. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanted. (c) ——. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{*}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (Medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings done; first and second weedings were done about 5 weeks and 9 weeks after transplantation respectively. (ix) $59.54^{*}$ (x) 15 th Dec. to 1 st week of Janunary.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1), (2) \& (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 3 levels of F.Y.M. : $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=100 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
'. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as- B M ، and N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.'
B.M. and F:Y.M. were applied at the time of general preparation of land and A/S applied 4 week's after transplantation.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $3 \times 3 \times 2$ Fact. Partially Confd. in Randomised Incomplete Blocks. (ii) (a) 6 plots/block; 3 blocks/replication. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime \prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around the plot: ' (vi) Yes. ${ }^{\prime}$
4. GENERAL :
(i) Plants receiving doses higher than 60 lb ./ac. of N lodged. (ii) Slight attack of yellowing disease control measunes taken N.A. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1943-continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. * (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 2639 lb./ac.
(ii) $346.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. :
(iii) Levels of $\mathbf{N}$ differ highly significantly. Levels of $\mathbf{P}$ differ significantly. Other main effects and interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ :

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$. | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | 2192 | 2706 | 2794 | 2564 | 2396 | 257.1 | 2725 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2508 | 2972 | 2662 | 2764 | 2624 | 2711 | 2808 |
| Mean | 2350 | 2839 | 2728 | 2639 | 2510 | 2641 | 2766 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2159 | 2744 | 2628 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2477 | 2797 | 2648 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 2414. | 2977 | 2908 | , |  |  |  |


| S.E. of the marginal mean of N or $\mathbf{P}$ | $=70.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| S.E. of the marginal mean of $\mathbf{F}$ | $=57.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times F$ or $\mathbf{P} \times F$ table. | $=100.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ table | $=122.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

.. Crop :~ Paddy (Aman). $\quad .$. Ref:- W.B. 53(3).
Sitc :- State Agri. Farm, Suri.
Type : ' $M$ '.
, $\cdot$.

## 1. BASAL CONDITHONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam red soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Suri. (iii) 15 th June to 1 st week of July/1st July to 1st week of August. (iv) (a) \& (b) N.A. (c) -- (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\circ}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (Medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. First and second weedings applied about 5 weeks and 8 weeks after transplantation respectively. (ix) 62.24". (x) 15th December to 1st week of January.

## TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1), (2) \& (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{I}}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 2 levels of F.Y.M.; $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=100 \mathrm{md} / / \mathrm{ac}$.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M.
B.M. and F.Y.M. were applied at the time of general preparation of land and A/S.applied 4 weeks after transplantation.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) $3 \times 3 \times 2$ Fact. Partially Confd. in Randomised Incomplete Blocks. (ii) (a) 6 plots/block; 3 blocks/replication. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Both the height and number of the tillers of the paddy plants were increased by the application of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$. The plant growth was found to be increased by the application of F.Y.M. and B.M. (ii) No. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1948 -continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2898 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $227.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects N and F , interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{F}$ are highly significant. Main effect of P and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ are significant. Other interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 2426 | 2977 | 2990 | 2798 | 2651 | 2879 | 2863 |
| $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 3081 | 3040 | 2875 | 2999 | 2959 | 2951 | 3086 |
| Mean | 2753 | 3009 | 2933 | 2898 | 2805 | 2915 | 2975 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 2507 | 3019 | 2920 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 2833 | 3001 | 3006 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 2920 | 2911 | 2998 |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of N or P | $=46.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of the marginal mean of F | $=37.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of the $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{F}$ or $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{F}$ table | $=63.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ table | $=77.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop:- Paddy (Aman).

Site :- State Agri. Farm, Suri.

Ref:- W.B. 48(8).
Type:- ' M '.

Object :-To study the effect of continuous application of A/S., B.M. and Lime alone and in combination on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Fallow-Paddy. (b) Blocks 1,3 \& 5 had paddy seed-bed \& now followed by paddy. Blocks 2,4, \& 6 had G.M. Dhaincha \& now followed by paddy. (c) Blocks $1,3 \& 5$ received cowdung 150 md./ac. Blocks 2, 4 \& 6 received G.M. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Suri. (iii) August 1948. (iv) (a) The field was ploughea 3-4 times before transplantation. (c) - (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (b) Transplanting. (c) 2-3. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhashmanik, CH 3 (Med.). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2-3 weedings is common practice. (ix) N.A. (x) Dec. 1948.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=90 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 le els of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 3 levels of Lime; $\mathrm{L}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~L}_{1}=4$, and $\mathrm{L}_{2}=8 \mathrm{cwt} / \mathrm{ac}$.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M.
B.M. was applied at the time of preparation of land, A/S after 4 week of transplantation Lime applied -only once in 4 years time and this year it was applied 3 weeks before preparation of land.

## 3. DESIGN:

(i) $4 \times 3 \times 3$ Fact. Partially Confd. in Randomised Incomplete Blocks. (ii) (a) 12 plots/blocks; 3 blocksf replication. (b) N.A. (iii) 2 : (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$ (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border alround the plot as guard row. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Very good in the initial stages. Plants grew rapidly after two weeks of transplantation and tillering started remarkably in plots with $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. N. Lodging took place in plots with heavy doses of A/S. (ii) Riceworm (Nymyhula deputalis) observed 6 weeks after transplanting. Rope soaked in kerosene oil drawn over affected plots \& kerosene oil poured in affected plots. Rice Hispa in affected plots treated with Gamaxane. Slight attack of helminthosporium. No measure taken. (iii) Tillering and height of plants after every fortnight. ( 10 seedling per plot chosen at random). Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1948-49 (1st year)continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTTS:
(i) $2193 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $440.01 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only main effect of $\mathbf{N}$ is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.


| S.E. of the marginal mean of N | $=103.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$, |
| :--- | ---: |
| S.E. of the marginal mean of P | $=89.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$ |
| S.E. of the mean in body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ or $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{L}$ | $=179.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |
| S.E. for the mean in body of $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{L}$ table | $=155.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |

Ref:- W.B. 49(10).
Type:- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '.
Object :- To study the the effect of continuous application of A/S, B:M. and Lime alone and in combination on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam:'(b) Refer soil ânalysis, Suri. (iii) August 1949:
(iv) (a) 3-4 ploughings before transplantation. (b) Transplanting.. (c)- (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$.(e) $2-3$. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik, $\mathrm{CH} \cdot 3$ (Medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (vii) $2-3$ weedings is general practice. (ix) N.A. (x) December, 1949.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=90 \mathrm{lb} / 7 \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 3 levels of Lime : $L_{0}=0: L_{1}=4$ and $L_{2}=8^{\prime} \mathrm{cwt} / \mathrm{ac}$.

N as A/S and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M:
B.M. was applied at the time of general preparation of land $\& \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{S}$ broadcast 4 weeks after transplantation Liming was done last year.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $4 \times 3 \times 3$ Fact. Partially Confd. in Randomised Incomplete Blocks. (ii) (a) 12 plots/block and 3 blocks/ replication. (b) N.A. (iii) 2 . (iv) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border alround a plot as guad row. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good ; plants receiving higher doses of N slightly lodged. (ii) Nil. (iii) Tillering, height of tillers, grain \& straw yield. (iv) (a) $19+8$ - 49 -continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chinsurah \& Berhampore. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2451 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $193.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of $\mathbf{N}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ and interaction Lime $\times P$ are highly significant. Other effect and interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 1645 | 1889 | 2119 | 1884 | 1560 | 1958 | 2136 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 2375 | 2445 | 2530 | 2440 | 2382 | 2493 | 2445 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 2723 | 2705 | 2718 | 2715 | 2842 | 2616 | 2687 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 2633 | 2838 | 2821 | 2764 | 2750 | 2718 | 2825 |
| Mean | 2336 | 2469 | 2547 | 2451 | 2384 | 2446 | 2523 |
| $L_{0}$ | 2199 | 2463 | 2484 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | 2306 | 2423 | 2610 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ | 2504 | 2517 | 25¢8 |  |  |  |  |

S.E. of the marginal mean of $\mathrm{N} \quad=45.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of the marginal mean of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ or Lime $=39.5 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
S.E. of the body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ or $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{L}$ table $\quad=79.0 \mathrm{lb}$./ae.
S.E. of body of $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{L}$ table
$=68.4 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Suri.

Ref :- W.B. 50(12).
Type:- ' $M$ '.

Object :- To study the effect of continuous application of A/S, B.M. and Lime alone and in combination on the yield of Paddy.
2. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Suri. (iii) August 1950. (iv)(a) 3-4 ploughings before transplantation. (b) Transplanting (c)- (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2-3. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik, CH-3 Medium. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2-3 weedings is common practice. (ix) 41.38". (x) December, 1950.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30, \quad \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=90 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 3 levels of Lime : $L_{0}=0, L_{1}=4$ and $L_{2}=8 \mathrm{cwt} / \mathrm{ac}$.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) $4 \times 3 \times 3$ Fact. Partially Confd. in Randomised Incomplete Blocks. (ii) (a) 12 plots/block and 3 blocks/ replication: (b) N.A. (iii) 2 . (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (iv) $1^{\prime}$ border alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Tillering, height of tillers, grain and yield straw yield. (iv) (a) 1948-49continued. (b) Yés. (c) N.A. (v) (a) State Agri. Chinsurah \& Berhampore, (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil. '
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2689 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $93.60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ :
(iii Main effects of $N, P$ and $L$ and interaction $N \times P$ are highly significant. Other interactions are not significant,
(vi) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| ${ }^{1}$ |  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{2}}{ }^{\text {. }}$ | Mean | $L_{0}$ | $L_{1}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | * | 1824 | 2222 | 2297. | 2114 | 1910 | - 2146 | 2287 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ |  | 2561 | 27.33 | 2897 | 2730 | 2663 | 2763 | 2763 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ |  | 2869 | 2918 | 2934. | 2007 | 2818 | 2944 | 2958 |
| ${ }_{-} \mathrm{N}_{3}$ |  | 2921 | 2996 | 3096 | 3004 | 2886 | 3048 | 3079 |
| Mean |  | 2544 | 2727 | 2806 | 2689 | 2569 | 2725 | 2772 |
| $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ |  | 2394 | 2643 | 2671 |  |  |  |  |
| $L_{1}$ |  | 2569 | 2787 | 2820 |  |  |  | . |
| $L_{2}$ |  | 2669 | 2720 | 2927 |  | $\cdots$ | * |  |


| S.E. of the marginal méan of N | $=22.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of the marginal mean of P or L | $=19.1 \mathrm{lh} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of the body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$. or $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{L}$ table | $=38.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{L}$ table | $=33.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman). $\quad$ Ref:-W.B.51(8).
Site :m. State Agri. Farm, Suri.

Obejcet :-Tostudy the effect of continuous application of A/S, B.M. and Linic alone and in combination on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Áman paddy. (c) As under treatmentsi (ii) (a) Sandy loam (red soil). (b) Refer soil analysis, Suri. (iii) 15th June to 1st week of July/1st July. to 1st week of August. (iv) (a) 4-5 ploughings and laddering after the preparation of land during the month of May and June. (b) Transplanted. (c)-. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) $2-3$ (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik _(Medium). (vii) Irrigated. (vii) 2 weedings done; first and second weeding done 5 weeks and 9 weeks respectively after, transplantation. (ix) $44.15^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15 th December to 1 st week of January (approx).
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) \& (3)
(1) 4 levels of N : $\quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30, \quad \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=90 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) $3_{r}$ levels of Lime.: $L_{0}=0, L_{1}=4$ and $\mathrm{L}_{2}=8 \mathrm{cwt} / \mathrm{ac}$.

N as A/S top dressed 4 weeks after transplantation. $\dot{\mathrm{P}}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B . M. ploughed in during general preparation of fand. Lime ploughed in 6 woeks before "transplantation.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 3 \times 3$ Fact. Partially Confd. in Randomised Incomplete Blocks (ii) (a) 12 plots/block; 3 blocks/ replication. (b) N.A. (iii) 2 . (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around each plot. (vi) Yes.

4, GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Height of the plants and counting the numbers of plants were done periodically (iv) (a) 1948-continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No, (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 2928 lb./ac.
(ii) $245.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) N levels differ highly significantly. Other main effects and interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{M e a n}$ | $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 2379 | 2667 | 2773 | 2606 | 2468 | 2678 | 2673 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | 3010 | 3027 | 3123 | 3053 | 2975 | 3202 | 2983 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 3024 | 2966 | 3117 | 3035 | 2996 | 3045 | 3065 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 3019 | 2979 | 3055 | 3018 | 2972 | 2965 | 3116 |
| Mean | 2858 | 2910 | 3017 | 2928 | 2852 | 2972 | 2959 |
| $\mathbf{L}_{0}$ | 2702 | 2827 | 3028 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{L}_{1}$ | 2967 | 2953 | 2998 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 2905 | 2949 | 3024 |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of the marginal mean of N | $=57.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of the marginal mean of $P$ or L | $=50.1 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of the body of the $\mathrm{N} \times P$ or $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{L}$ table | $=100.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $P \times L$ table | $=86.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Suri.
Ref :- W.B. 52(25).
Type :- 'M'.
Object :- To study the effect of continuous application of A/S, B.M. and Lime alone and in combination on yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) As under treatments. (ii) (a) Sandy loam-red soil (b) Refer soil analysis, Suri. (iii) 15 th June to 1 st week of July/lst week of July to 1 st week of Aug. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanted. (c) -. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) Nil. (vi) Bhasamanik (Medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings done ; first and second weeding done about 5 weeks and 9 weeks after transplantation respectively. (ix) $59.54^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15 th December to 1st week of January.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) \& (3)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30 \quad \mathrm{~N}_{2}=50$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=90 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \cdot$
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 3 levels of Lime : $L_{0}=0, L_{1}=4$ and $L_{2}=8 \mathrm{cwt} / \mathrm{ac}$.

N as A/S top dressed 4 weeks after transplantation. $\mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. ploughed in during general preparation of land. and Lime ploughed in 6 weeks before transplantation.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 3 \times 3$ Fact. Partially Confd. . in Randomised ' Incomplete Blocks. (ii) (a) 12 plots/block; 3 blocks/ replication. (b) N.A. (iii) 2 . (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$ (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Plants receiving doses higher than $60 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N lodged during the flowering stage. (ii) Slight attack of yellowing disease during early stage and the plants recouped later on. (iii) Yield of gra:n. (iv) (a) 1948-continued. (b) Yes. .(c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2084 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $290.5 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only main effect of N is significant.
(iv) Av. y eld of grain in lb./ac.

| I | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$. | Mean | $L_{0}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 1683 | 1721 | 1759 | 1721 | .1741 | : 1676 | 1745 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 2342 | 2214 | 2390 | 2315 - | 2485 | 2276 | 2184 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{\text {. }}$ | 2242 | 2345 | 2564 | 2384 | 2358 | 2325 | 2468 |
| $\cdots \mathrm{N}_{3}{ }^{\text {- }}$ | 1817 | $\because 1842$ | 2088 | 1916 | 1855 | 1982 | 1910 |
| Mean | 2021 | 2031 | 2200 | 2084 | 2110 | , 2065 | 2077 |
| $L_{0}$ | $\bigcirc 008$ | 2067 | 2255 | $\cdots$ | - |  |  |
| $L_{1}$ | 2024 | 2003 | 2168 |  | ; |  | - |
| * $\mathbf{L}_{2}$ | 2031 | 2021. | 2178 |  | : | " |  |


| S.E. of the marginal mean of $L$ or $P$ | $=59.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of the marginal mean of $N$ | $=68.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E of body of the $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{L}$ or $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ table | $=118.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of the $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{L}$ table | $=102.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

> Crop :- Paddy (Aman). $\quad$ Ref :- W.B. 53(4) Site :- State Agri. Farm, Suri. $\quad$ Type : ‘M'.

Object : To study the effect of continuous application of A/S, B.M. and Lime on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No (b) Aman paddy (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam red soil (b) Refer soil -analysis, Suri.-(iii) 15th June to 1st week of July/15th July to 1 st week of August (iv) (a) \& (b) N A. (c) -(d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime 2}$ (e) 2. (v) Nii. (vi) Bhasamanik (Medium) (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weeding First and second weedings applied about 5 weeks and 9 weeks after transplantation respectively (ix) $62.24^{\prime \prime}$.(x) 15 th Dec. to 1st week of January.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30, \quad \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=90 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 3 levels of Lime: $L_{0}=0, L_{1}=4$ and $L_{2}=8 \mathrm{cwt} / \mathrm{ac}$.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ top dressed 4 weeks after transplantation. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as $\mathrm{B} \cdot \mathrm{M}$. ploughed in during general preparation of land-Lime ploughed in 6 weeks before transplanted.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) $4 \times 3 \times 3$ Fact. Partially Confd. Randomised Incomplete Blocks. (ii) (a) 12 plots/block; 3 blocks/ replication. (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ border around the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Both the height and number of tillers of the paddy plants were increased by the application of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$. No further increase was obtained beyond the doses of $60 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. N. The plant growth was found to increase by the apqlication of B.M. (ii) No incidence of pests and diseases reported. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1948 continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2584 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $283.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ | Mean | $L_{0}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | $L_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 2280 | 2475 | 2599 | 2451 | 2465 | 2420 | 2469 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 2630 | 24:2 | 2849 | 2654 | 2671 | 2692 | 2599 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 2633 | 2743 | 2499 | 2625 | 2534 | 2475 | 2866 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 2726 | 2630 | 2469 | 2608 | 2671 | 2438 | 2716 |
| Mean | 2567 | 2582 | 2604 | 2584 | 2585 | 2506 | 2662 |
| $L_{0}$ | 2574 | 2577 | 2605 | 2585 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | 2502 | 2487 | 2530 | 2506 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ | 2626 | 2684 | 2677 | 2662 |  |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ or $P$ | $=66.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of Lime | $=58.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. body of $N \times P$ or $N \times L$ tables | $=115.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $P \times L$ table | $=100.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman). Ref :- W.B. 53(53) (Expt. on Cultivators' fields).
Site :- Gosaipara; Distt. Burdwan. Type :- 'M'.

Object -To find out the optimum requirement of $A / S$ and Super on Aman paddy under different soil climatic conditions of West Bengal.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Aman Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loam. (b) $\mathrm{N} \%=0.086$; Total $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \%=0.071$; Available $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \%=0.0064$; exchangeable Ca (m.e. \%) $=5.00$. (iii) N.A. (iv) Sarunagara (v) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanted. (c) - id) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 3. (vi) Sowing-15th June to first week of July. Transplanting-15th July to 1 st week of August. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 15 th Dec. to 1st week of January.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40, \mathrm{P}_{3}=60$ and $\mathrm{P}_{4}=10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$,
(2) 5 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=45$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Super was ploughed in before transplanting. A/S was given as a top dressing 4weeks after transplantation.
3. DESIGN:
(i), (ii) Arbitary selection :- Cultivator's plos selected in ${ }^{\text {the }}$ vicinity of agricultural farm with 4 replications.
(iii) (a) $36^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) $\dot{A} / \mathbf{S}$ and Super increased the vegetative growth of the plants. (ii) No.. (iii): Does potarise: (iv) (a) 1953 to 1955. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) N.A.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $3554 \quad \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $283.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only N effect is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in ib./ac.


Crop :-Paddy (Aman). Ref :-W.B. 53 (54) (Expt. on Cultirators? fields) Stite :Bulbulchandi ; Distt. Malda: : Tyype : $\boldsymbol{x}^{2}$

Object:-To find out the optimum requirement of A/S and Super on Aman Paddy under different soilclimatic conditions of West Bengal.

1. BASAL COND1TIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Aman Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loam. (b) $\mathrm{N} \%=0.062$; Total $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}=0.035$; Available $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}=$ $\% 0.001$; exchangeable Ca . (m.e. \%) $=6.20$; pH 6.0 (iii) N.A. (iv) Sahel Kalam. (v) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanted. (c)-. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 3. (vi) Transplanting 15 th July'to 1 st week of August; 15th June to 1 st week of July. (vii) Irigated, (viii) N.A.: (ix) N.A. (x) 15 th December to 1 st week of January.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40, \mathrm{P}_{3}=60$ and $\mathrm{P}_{4}=80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 5 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=45$, and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}^{*}$ as Super was ploughed in before transplanting, N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ was given as a top dressing 4 weeks after transplantation.
3. DESIGN:
(i), (ii) Arbitrary selection :-Cultivators plot selected in the vicinity of agriculatural farm with 4 replica. tions. (iii) (a) $38^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$ (b) $36^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$ (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) A/S increased the vegetative growth of the plants. (ii) No (iii) Does not arise. (iv) (a) 1953 to 1955 . (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) N:A:
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1937 \mathrm{lb} . a \mathrm{c}$.
(ii) $338.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only N effect is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{fac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1647 | 2016 | 1762 | 1929 | 2026 | 1876 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1750 | 1886 | 2019 | 2147 | 1950 | 1950 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1726 | 1816 | 2026 | 1923 | 2240 | 1946 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 1559 | 1894 | 2112 | 2149 | 2349 | 2013 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ | 1602 | 1913 | 2069 | 2034 | 1883 | 1900 |
| Mean | 1657 | 1905 | 1998 | 2036 | 2090 | 1937 |
| S.E. of N and P marginal mean |  |  | $=75.6$ |  | lb./ac. |  |
| S.E. of body of table |  |  | $=169.1$ |  | lb/ac. |  |

Crop :-Paddy (Aman).
Ref:-W.B. 53 (55) (Expt. on Cultivators' fields)
Site :-Gazasimal ; Distt. Midnapore. Type:-'M'.
Object:To find out the optimum requirement of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Super on Aman paddy under different soil climatic conditions.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(1) (a) N.A. (b) Aman paddy (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loamy. (b) $\mathrm{N} \%=0.049$; Total $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}=0.028$; Available $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \%=0.0021$; Exchangeable Ca . (me. $\%$ ) $=1.20 ; \mathrm{pH}=5.4$ (iii) N.A. (iv) Transplanting-15th July to 1st week of August ; 15 th June to 1 st week of July. (iv) Nonapanlai (Local) Aman paddy. (v) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) - . (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (c) 3 (vi) Transplanting. 15th July to 1st week of August; 15th Juneito 1st week of July. (vii) Irrigated (Canal). (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 15th December to 1st week of January.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of $P_{2} O_{5}: P_{0}=0, P_{1}=20, P_{2}=40, P_{3}=60$ and $P_{4}=80 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ae}$.
(2) 5 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=45$, and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Super was ploughed in before transplanting, $A / S$ was given as a top dressing 4 weeks after transplantation.
3. DESIGN:
(i), (ii) Arbitray selection :-Cultivator's plot selected in the vicinity of agricultural farm with 4 replications. (iii) (a) $38^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$. (b) $36^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ increased the vegetative growth. (ii) Damage due to helminthosporium disease. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 1953-1955. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) N.A.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1529 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $233.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Levels of N and P differ significantly. Interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lbofac. :


Crop :m Paddy (Aman).
Site :- Plassey ; Distt : Nadia.

Ref :- W.B. 53(56).
Type:- 'M'.

Object :-To find out the optimum requirement of $A / S$ and Super on Aman paddy under different soil \& climatic conditions of West Bengal.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Aman paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loam (b) $\mathrm{N} \%=0.08 \mathrm{~J}$; total $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}=0.067$; available $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}=0.0 \mathrm{c} 62$; exchangeable Ca (m.e\%) $=10.92 ; \mathrm{pH}=6.0$ (iii) N.A. (iv) Jata (Local). (v) (a), (b) \& (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 3. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) ${ }^{\prime}$.A.' (ix) $50.98^{\prime \prime}:$ (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) \& (2)
(1) 5 levels of $P_{2} O_{5}: P_{0}=0, P_{1}=20, P_{2}=40, P_{3}=60$ and $P_{4}=80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 5 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=45$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super was ploughed in before transplanting, N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ was given as a top dressing 4 weeks after transplantation.
3. DESIGN :
(i), (ii) Arbitrary selection :-cultivators plot selected in the vicinity of agricultural farm with 4 replications.
(iii) (a) $38^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$ (b) $36^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$ (iv) Yes.
-4. GENERAL :
(i) A/S increased the vegetative growth. (ii) Plants, were attacked with stemborer. Damage due to helminthosporium disease. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 1953 to 1955 (b) Yes (c) N.A. (v) N.A.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1708 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $128.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) N levels differ highly significantly. : $\mathbf{P}$ levels differ significantly. Interaction $\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{P}$ is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

'S.E. of marginal mean $\quad=28.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of table $\quad=64.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

# Crop :- Paddy (Aman). Ref :-W.B.53(57). (Expt. on Cultivators' fields) <br> Site :- Joypur ; Distt. Bankura. Type :- 'M'. 

Object:-To find out the optimum requirement of A/S and Super on Aman Paddy under different soil climatic conditions.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) N.A. (b) Aman paddy (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loamy sand. (b) $\mathrm{N} \%=0.035$; total $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}=0.030$; available $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \%=0.0016$; exchangeable $\mathrm{Ca} \%=2.80$; $\mathrm{pH}=6.1$. (iii) N.A. (iv) Bhasamanik. (v) (a), (b) \& (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 3. (vi) 15 th July (approx). (vii) Irrigated, (viii) N.A. (ix) $45.25^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15th Dec. (approx.)

2 TREATMENTS:
All combinations of (1) \& (2)
(1) 5 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40, \mathrm{P}_{3}=60$ and $\mathrm{P}_{4}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 5 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=45$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ as Super was ploughed in before transplanting. N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ was given as top dressing 4 weeks after transdlantation.
3. DESIGN :
(i), (ii) Arbitrary selection-cultivators' plot selected in the vicinity of agricultural farm with 4 replications (iii) (a) $36^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $34^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) A/S increased the vegetative growth. (ii) Nil (iii) Does not arise. (iv) (a) 1953 to 1955. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) N.A.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2507 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $450.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only N levels differihighly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | 2393 | 2203 | 2381 | 2486 | 2659 | 2424 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | 2118 | 2579 | 2274 | 2653 | 2860 | 2497 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ | 2121 | 2262 | 2758 | 2782 | 2767 | 2538 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{3}$ | 2014 | 2658 | 2231 | 2504 | 3042 | 2490 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{4}$ | 2203 | 2367 | 2873 | 2689 | 2792 | 2585 |
| Mean | 2170 | 2414 | 2503 | 2623 | 2824 | 2507 |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { S.E. of marginal mean } & =100.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { S.E. of body of table } & =225.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .\end{array}$
$\qquad$
-

## Crop :-Paddy (Aman).

Ref :-W.B. 53 (58) (Expt. on Clitive
Site : $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ Lakshya; Distt : Midnapore. Typé :-"M'.
Obect:-To find out the optimum requirement of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Supder on Aman Paddy under different soil and climatic conditions.
-

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Aman paddy (c) N.A. (ii) Loam; $\mathrm{N} \%=0.077$; total $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \%=0.046$; available $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \%=0.0016$; exchangeable Ca. (m.e. $\%$ ) $=609$; $\mathrm{pH}=6.0$ (iii) N.A. (iv) Patnai, (v) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c)-. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ (e) 3 (vi) Transplanting 15th July to 1 st week of August ; 15th June to 1st week of July. (vli) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 15th. December to Ist week of Jañuary.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(i) 5 levels of $P_{2} O_{5}: P_{0}=0, P_{1}=20 . P_{2}=40, P_{3}=60$ and $P_{4}=81 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 5 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=45$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=60 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super was ploughed in before transplanting. N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ was given as top dressing 4 weeks after transplantation.
3. DESIGN :
(i), (ii) Arbitraty selection :-cultivators: plot selected in the vicinity of agricultural farm with 4 replications (iii) (a) $36^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $34^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ increased the vegetative growth. (ii) (i) Damage due to incidence of helminthosporium. (ii) Plants were attacked with stemborer disease. (iii) N.A. (tv) (a) 1953-1955. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) N.A.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1652 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $187.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) $\mathbf{N}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ effects are highly significant. Interaction is nọt significánt.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 997 | 1272 | 1565 | 1672 | 1694 | 1440 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | 1062 | 1279 | 1794 | 1812 | 1894 | 1568 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ | 1338 | 1533 | 1816 | 1917 | 2237 | 1768 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{3}$ | 1560 | 1505 | 1981 | 1911 | 2265 | 1844 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{4}$ | 1350 | 1457 | 1894 | 1455 | 2055 | 1642 |

## Crop :- Paddy (1st Crop). Ref :-Complex experiments (T.C.M.) W.B. 1953. <br> Cientre :- Burdwan. <br> Type: "M.

Object :-I' (a) To study the effect of types and levels of $N$ and $P$ on non-acid soils.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A.
(b) N A. (c) N.A.
(ii) (a) Clayey (b) N.A.
(iii) T.P. 13.8 .53 (iv) N.A.
(v) N.A. (vi)
Kalma (vii) Irrigated (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 16.12.53.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3):
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
(2) 2 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Urea.
(3) 3 levels of $P_{2} O_{5}: P_{0}=0, P_{1}=20$ and $P_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Triple Super. Manuring on 11.8.53.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) $3 \times 2 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 15 (b) N.A. (iii) 3 (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) 1/60 th.ac.
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil (iii) Yield data. (iv) (a) 1953 to 1956. (b) No (c) N.A. (v) (a) Aduthurai, Karjat, Sahaspur, Mankhanda, Maruteru and Chalvai (b) N.A. (vi) Nil (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $324 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $412.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects and interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.


| For table $\mathbf{N} \times \mathrm{P}$. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S.E. of mean in the body of table ( $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ col.) | $=238.1$ | lb./ac. |
| S.E. of mean in the body of table ( $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ or $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ col.) | $=168.4$ | lb./ac. |
| S.E. of marginal mean ( $\mathrm{N}_{0} \mathrm{col}$.) | $=134.2$ | lb./ac. |
| S.E. of marginal mean ( $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ or $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ col.) | $=97.2$ | 1b./ac. |
| S.E. of marginal row mean | $=106.5$ | lb./ac. |
| For table source of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ |  |  |
| S.E. of mean in the body of table | $=168.4$ | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of marginal row means | $=119.0$ | lb./ac. |
| S.E. of marginal column mean | $=97.2$ | lb./ac. |
| For table $\mathrm{N} \times$ source of N |  |  |
| S E. of mean in the body of table | $=134.2$ | 1b./ac. |
| S.E. of marginal means | $=97.2$ | 1b./ac. |

## Crop :-Paddy (1st crop). Ref :-Complex experiments (T.C.M.)(W.B.) 1953. <br> Centre:-Burdwan. <br> Type :-' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$

Object :-III, To study the effect of minor elements and $K$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A.
(b) N.A.
(c) N.A.
(ii) (a) Clayey
(b) N.A.
(iii) T.P. 5.8 .53 (iv) N.A. (v) Basal dressing of ( $20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{N}$ as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super given to all plots. Date of manuring 11.8.53. (vi) Kalma (vii) Irrigated (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 13.12.53.
2. TREATMENTS :

A set of 32 out of 256 treatment combinations formed of 8 factors each at two levels.
The 8 factors are :
(A) Magnesium as Mg. Sul.
(B) Iron as Ferrous Sul.
(C) Manganese as Mn. Sul.
(D) Zinc as Zinc. Sul.
(E) Copper as $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{S}$
(F) Borax as granulated Borax
(G) Molybdenm as Sodium Molybdate
(K) Potash as Pot. Sul.
$a_{0}=0$ and $a_{1}=2 \mathrm{cwt} / \mathrm{ac}$.
$\mathrm{b}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{b}_{1}=100 \mathrm{cwt}$ /ac.
$c_{0}=0$ and $c_{1}=20 \mathrm{cwt}$. ac .
$\mathrm{d}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{d}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
$e_{0}=0$ and $e_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$\mathrm{f}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{f}_{1}=10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$\mathrm{g}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{g}_{1}=2 \mathrm{oz} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$k_{0}=0$ and $k_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fractional replicate ( $1 / 8$ th of $2^{8}$ Fact. set up) (ii) (a) 8 plots/block and 4 blocks. (b) N.A. (iii)-. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 60$ th /ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes. $?$ ?
4. GENERAL :

## 5 द


(i) Normal. (ii) Nil (iii) Yield data. (iv) (a) 1953 to 1956 (b) No (c) N.A. (v) (a) Mănkhanda, and Chalvai (b) N.A. (vi) Nii. (vii) Nil.

5 RESULTS:
(i)
(ii)
(iii) Main effect of $A$ alone is highly significant. Others are not signicant.



## Crop :- Paddy (1st crop) : Ref:- Complex experiments (T.C.M.)(W.B) 1953. Centre:- Burdwan. Type:- ' $M$ '.

Object :- VI, To study the residual value of phosphatic manure. (1st year).

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
"(i) (a) N:A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clayey. (b) N.A. (iii) T.P. 13.8:53. (iv) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Kalma.
(vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 8.12. 53.
2. TREATMENTS ;

5 treatments replicated as follows :

| Treatment | No. of plots/bla |
| :---: | ---: |
| 1. $0=$ Untreated | 1 |
| 2. $C=$ Control | 6 |
| 3. $P_{\frac{1}{3}}=\frac{1}{2}$ unit dressing | 1 |
| 4. $P_{1}=$ Unit dressing | 2 |
| 5. $P_{2}=2$ unit dressing | 2 |

Unit dressing : $20 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} / \mathrm{ac}$.; 20 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ applied to all treatments except (1) ; date of manuring 11.8.53.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 60$ ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield data. (iv) (a) 1953 to 1956. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Aduthurai, Shimoga, Sahaspur, Mankhanda, Maruteru and Chalvai. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil:
5. RESULTS :
(i) $3482 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $368.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iv) Treatment differences are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yiehd: | S,El/mean. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 3641 | $184: 0^{+}$ |
| 2. | 3491 | 75.0 |
| 3. | 3436 | 184.4 |
| 4 | 3473 | 139.0 |
| 5. | 3407 | 130.0 |

## Crop :- Paddy (1st (crop). Ref : Complex experiments (T.C.M.), W.B. 1953. <br> Centre :- Mankhanda. Type : $\boldsymbol{`}^{‘} \mathbf{M}$ '.

Object :- I (a). To study the effect of types and leyels of $N$ and $P$ on non-acid soils.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deltaic and saline-Glayey in texture. (b) N.A. (iii) T.P. 13.8.53. (iv) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Kalma. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 4.12.53.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=40$ 1b./ac.
(2) 2 sources or $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Urea.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super or Triple Super :- $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Manuring on 13.8.53.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 15 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 60$ th ac. (v). N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield data. (iv) (a) 1953-56. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Aduthurai, Karjat, Sahaspur Burdwan, Marutèru and Chalvai. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2408 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $296.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of Sources of N and Levels of N are highly significant. Other main effects and interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | Mean | A/S | Urea | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | 1820 | 2263 | 2746 | 2366 | 2775 | 2232 | 2503 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | 1923 | 2436 | 2643 | 2416 | 2706 | 2374 | 2540 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ | 1919 | 2367 | 2777 | 2441 | 2744 | 2401 | 2572 |
| Mean | 1887 | 2354 | 2722 | 2408 | 2741 | 2335 | 2538 |
| A/S | - | 2539 | 2943 |  |  |  |  |
| Urea | - | 2170 | 2501 |  |  |  |  |
| Mave | - | 2354 | 2722 |  |  |  |  |

For table: $N \times P$
S.E. of mean in the body of table ( $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ col.)
S.E. of mean in the body of table ( $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ or $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ col.)
S.E. of marginal mean ( $\mathrm{N}_{0} \mathrm{col}$ )
S.E. of marginal mean ( $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ or $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ col.)
S.E. of marginal row mean.

For table : Sources of $\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{P}$
S.E. of mean in the body of table $\quad=120.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of marginal col. mean $\quad=69.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of marginal row mean $\quad \therefore \quad \therefore \quad=855 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

For table : $N \times$ Source of $N$
S.E. of mean in the body of table
S.E. of marginal mean

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =171.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =120.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =98.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =69.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =76.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =120.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =69.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =855 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =98.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=69.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
$$

```
Crop: - Paddy (1st crop).
Centre :- Mankhanda.
                                    Ref :-Complex experiments (T.C.M.),
                                    W.B. 1953.
Type :- 'M'.
```

Object :-III. To study the effect of minor elements and $K$ on paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) N A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deltaic and Saline-clayey in texture ,(b) N.A. (iii) T.P. $17.8 .53^{\prime}$ (iv) N.A. (v) $20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{N}$ as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. Date : 11.8.53. (vi) Malapati. (vii) Irrigated (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 4.12.53.
2. TREATMENTS :

A set of 32 out of 256 treatments combinations formed of 8 the following factors each at 2 levels.
(A) Magnesium as Mg Sul.
(B) Iron as Ferrous Sul.
(C) Manganese as Mn. Sul.
(D) Zinc as Zn . Sul.
(E) Copper as c/s
(F) Borọn as granulated Borax
(G) Molybdeum as Sodium Molybdate
(K) Potash as Pot. Sul.
$a_{0}=0$ and $a_{1}=2 \mathrm{cwt} / \mathrm{ac}$.
$\mathrm{b}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{b}_{1}=100 \mathrm{cwt}$./ac.
$\mathrm{c}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{c}_{1}=80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$\mathrm{d}_{0}=0$ and $\cdot \mathrm{d}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$\mathrm{e}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{e}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
$\mathrm{f}_{0}=0^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{f}_{1}=10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
$\mathrm{g}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{g}_{1}=2 \mathrm{oz} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$\mathrm{k}_{0}=0^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Fractional replicate (1/8th of $2^{8}$ Fact. set up). (ii) (a) 8 plots/block and 4 blocks. (b) N.A. (iii) -(iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 60$ th ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield data. (iv) (a) 1953 to 1956. (b) No-(c) N.A. '(v) (a) Burdwan and
Chalvai (b) N.A. (vi)- Nil. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $\ldots$
(ii) ...
(iii) None of the effects is significant.

| Factor (grain yield in lb./ac.) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| A. | +59.25 |
| B. | +11973 |
| C. | -38.26 |
| D. | +175.27 |
| E. | +78.99 |
| F. | +58.01 |
| G. | +27.15 |
| K. | +13.58 |
| S.E./mean response | $=94.73 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (1st crop).

Centre :- Mankhanda.
Object :-VI. To study the residual value of phosphatic manures.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deltaic and Saline-Clayey in texture (b) N.A. (iii) T.P. 18.8.53.
(iv) N.A. (v) N A. (vi) Kumragare (vii) Irrigated (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 512.5 ?.
2. TREATMENTS :

5 treatments replicated as follows :-

| Treatment |  | No. of plots/block. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | $\mathrm{O}=$ Untreated | 1 |
| 2. | $\mathrm{C}=$ Control | 6 |
| 3. | $\mathrm{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}=\frac{1}{2}$ unit dressing | 1 |
| 4. | $\mathrm{P}_{1}=$ unit dressing | 2 |
| 5. | $\mathbf{P}_{2}=$ Double dressing | 2 |

Unit dressing : 20 lb ./ac. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. Manuring on 12.8.53.
A basal dressing of 20 lb ./ac. N as A/S applied to all treatments except (1).
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12 (b) N.A. (iii) 4 (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 60$ acre (v) N.A. ( 1 i) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield data (iv) (a) $1953-56$ (b) No (c) N.A. (v) (a) Aduthurai, Sahaspur, Burdwan, Maruteru and Chalvai (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1864 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $278.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are not significant.
(iv) Av yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield. | S.E./mean |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1. | 1879 | $139.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 2. | 1819 | $56.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. | 2087 | $139.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. | 1823 | $98.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 5. | 1919 | $98.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- Burdwan (West Bengal)

## Ref :- Scheme for Manurial Trials (Stewart's Scheme), 1951.

Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '.

Object :-To find the effect of different doses of fertilizers on the yield of Paddy in different soil regions under survey.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(a) (i) N.A. (b) Aman paddy. (c) Cultivators' normal practice. (ii) Light and medium texture soil. (iii) Cultivators' normal practice. (iv) Local. (v) (a) to (e) Cultivators' normal practice. (vi) 15 th June to 1 st week of July. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $39.53^{\prime \prime}$. (ix) 15 th December to 1 st week of Ja. uary.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (cultivators' normal practice).
2. $25 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{N}$ as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ over cultivators' normal practice.
3. $25 \mathrm{lb} . ' \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{N}+25 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super over cultivators' normal practice.

Super applied at the time of puddling. A/S applied as top dressing after 4 weeks of transplantation.
3. DESIGN:
(i), (ii) An experimental plot of size varying from $\frac{1}{8}$ rd to $\frac{2}{3}$ rd of an acre was selected at random in each selected village. The plot was then sub-divided into three sub-plots of nearly equal size and three treatments were applied at random in the sub-plots. Two centres of two circular cuts of $6^{\prime}-7^{\prime \prime}$ radius each loeated at random within each sub-plot. The dry weights of grain for two cuts were noted separate $y$. No. of villages (replication) 28 ; size of cut $1 / 319.8$ th acre. (iii) $\frac{1}{3}$ to $\frac{2}{3}$ of an ac. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Moderate. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953 . (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Dry weather in the year 1951. At places sowing and transplantation of paddy were very late. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :

Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1939 |
| 2. | 2197 |
| 3. | 2291 |
| G.M. | 2142 |
| S.E./mean | $=72.46$ |
| No. of experiments $=28$ |  |
| Significance --Highly significant. |  |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman)
Site :- Burdwan (West Bengal)

Ref :- Scheme for Manurial Trials (Stewart's Scheme), 1952.
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To ind the effect of different doses of fertilizers on the yield of Paddy in different soil regions under survey.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS ;
(i) (a) N.A: (b) Aman paddy. (c) Cultivators' normal practice. (ii) Alluvial light and medium texture soil. (iii) Cowdung (cultivators' normal practice). (iv) Local. (v) (a) to (e) Cultivators' normal practice. (vi) 15 th June to 1 st week of July. (vi) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $49.20^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15 th December to 1st week of January.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (cultivators' normal practice).
4. $25 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{N}$ as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ over cultivators' normal practice.
5. $25 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{N}+25 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super over cultivators' normal practice.

Super applied at the time of puddling. A/S applied as top dressing 4 weeks after transplantation.
3. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) In each village, two felds nere choscn at randem and a plot size varying from $\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{rd}$ to $\frac{2}{3} \mathrm{rd}$ of an acre was selected at random from each field. The plot was then sub-divided into three sub-plots of nearly equal size and three treatments were applied at random in the sub-plots. Two centres of two circular cuts of $6^{\prime}-7^{\prime \prime}$ radius each were located at random within each sub-plot. The plants falling inside the cut. were harvested and the dry weights of grain for two cuts were noted. (iii) $\frac{1}{8}$ to $\frac{2}{3}$ of an acre. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) N.A." (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953 . (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :

Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1928 |
| 2. | 2219 |
| 3. | 2395 |
| G.M. | 2181 |
| S.E./mean | $=46.1$ |
| No. | eriments $=32$ |

No. of experiments $=32$.
Significance-Highly significant.

Crop :- Paddy (Aman)

Site :- Burdwan , West Bengal)
Object To:- find the effect of different doses of fertilizers on the yield of Paddy in different soil regions under survey.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) N.A. (b) Aman paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) The soll in general show a range of pH varying from 5.l. to 6.7 except in one case where it is 8.4 (alluvial). (iii) Cowdung. (iv) Local. (v) (a) to (e) Cultivators' normal practice. (vi) 15 th June to 1 st week of July. (vii) N.A. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 15 th December to 1 st week of January.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (cultivators' normal practice).
2. $25 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ over cultivators' normal practice.
3. $25 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} \mathrm{N}+25 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super over cultivators' normal practice.

Super applied at the time of puddling. A/S applied as top dressing after 4 weeks of transplantation.
3. DESIGN :
(i), and (ii) In each selected village, two fields were chosen at random and a plot of size varying from $\frac{1}{3}$ rd to ird of an acre was selected at random from each field. The plot was then sub-divided into three sub-plots of nearly equal size and three treatments were applied at random in the sub-plots. Two centres of two circular cuts of $6^{\prime}-7^{\prime \prime}$ radius each were located at random within each sub-plot. The plants falling inside the cut were harvested and dry weights of grain for two cuts were nored. (iii) $\frac{1}{3}$ to $\frac{2}{2}$ of an acre. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :

Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2688 |
| 2. | 2936 |
| 3. | 3106 |
| G.M. | 2910 |
| S.E./mean | 57.6 |
| No. of experiments : | 21 |

Significance- Highly significant.

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).

Site :- Hooghly (West Bengal).

## Ref :- Scheme for Manurial trials (Stewart's Scheme), 1951.

Type : ' ' $M$ '.

Object :-To find the effect of different doses of fertilizers on the yield of Paddy in different soil regions under survey.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) a) Aman paddy (b) N.A. (c) Cultivators' normal practice (ii) Light and medium texture (iii) Cultivators' normal practice (iv) Local (v) (a) to (e) Cultivators' normal practice (vi) 15 th June to 1st week of July (vii) Unirrigated (viii) N.A. (ix) $49.36^{\prime \prime}$ ( $x$ ) 15 th December to Ist week of January.

## 2. 2 TREATMENTS :

1. Control (cultivator's normal practice).
2. $25 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{N}$ as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ over cultivators' normal practice.
3. $25 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{N}+25 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super over cultivators' normal practice.

Super applied at the time of puddling. A/S appliedas top dressing after $f$ weeks of transplantation

## DESIGN:

(i) and (ii) An experimental plot of size varying from $\frac{1}{3}$ rd to $\frac{f}{5}$ rd of an acre was selected at random in each selected village. The plot was then sub divided into three sub-plots of equal size and three treatments were applied at random in the sub plots. Two centres of two circular cuts of $6^{\prime}-7^{\prime \prime}$ radius each were located at random within each sub plot. The dry weights of grain for two cuts were noted separately. Cut size net $=1 / 319.8$ th ac. No. of villages (replications) 18. (iii) $\frac{1}{3}$ to $\frac{2}{3}$ of an ac. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Moderate. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield in srs. per cut. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953. (b) N.A. (c) N.A.
(v) N.A. (vi) Weather conditions for the year 1951: Extreme draught, At places sowing and transplantation
of paddy were very late. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:

Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1388 |
| 2. | 1625 |
| 3. | 1783 |
| G.M. | 1599 |
| S.E./mean | $89.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

No. of experiments : 18
Significance : Highly significant.


Object :-To find the effect of different doses of fertilizers on the yield of Paddy in different soil regions under survey.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) N.A. (b) Aman Paddy. (c) Cultivators' normal practice. (ii) Sandy clay loam. Light and medium texture soil. (iii) Cowdung (cultivators' normal practice). (iv) Local; (v) (a) to (e) Cultivators' normal practice. (vi) 15 th June to 1 st week of July. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. - (ix) $52.77^{\prime \prime}$. (ix) 15 th December to 1st week of January.

## 2. 'IREATMENTS :

1. Control (cultivators' normal practice).
2. $25 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{N}$ as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ over cultivators' normal practice.
3. $25 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{N}$ as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+25 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super over cultivators' normal practice.

Super applied at the time of puddling. A/S applied as top dressing after 4 weeks of transplantation.
3. DESIGN :
(i), (ii) In each selected village two fields were chosen at random and a plot of size varying from $\frac{1}{3}$ rd to $\frac{2}{3}$ rd of an acre was selected at random. The plot was then sub-divided into three sub plots of nearly equal size and three treatments were applied at radom in the sub plots. Two centres of two circular cuts of $6^{\prime}-7^{\prime \prime}$ radius each were located at random within each sub plot. The plants falling inside the cut were harvested and dry weights of grain were noted. (iii) $\frac{1}{3}$ to $\frac{2}{3}$ of an acre. (iv) Yes.
-4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory
(ii) N.A
(iii) Grain yield.
(iv) (a)
) 1951 to:1953.
(b) N.A.
(c) N.A.
(v) N.A.
(vi) \& \& (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1821 |
| 2. | 2237 |
| 3. | 2373 |
| G.M. | 2144 |
| S.E./mean | 50.2 |
| No. of experiments- 26 |  |
| Significance | -Highly |

Crop:- Paddy (Aman).

Site :- Hooghly (West Bengal).

Ref :- Scheme for Manurial Trials
(Stewart's Scheme) 1953.
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To find the effect of different doses of fertilizers on the yield of Paddy in different soil regions under survey.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Aman paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) The soils in general show range of pH varying from 5.2 to 7.4. Sandy clay loam. (iii) Cowdung. (iv) Local. (v) (a) to (e) Cultivators' normal practice. (vi) 15th June to 1st week of July. (vii) N.A. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 15th December to 1st week of January.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (cu'tivators' normal practice).
4. 25 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ over cultivators' normal practice.
5. $25 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{N}$ as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+25 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super over cultivators' normal practice.

Super applied at the time of puddling. A/S applied as top dressing after 4 weeks of transplantation.
3. DESIGN :
(i), (ii) In each village, two fields were chosen at random and a plot of size varying from $\frac{1}{3}$ rd to ird of an acre was selected at random from each field. The plot was then sub-divided into three sub-plots of nearly equal size and three treatments were applied at random in the sub plots. Two centres of two circular cuts of $6^{\prime}-7^{\prime \prime}$ radius each were located at random within each sub plot. The plants falling inside the cut were harvested and dry weights of grain for two cuts were noted. (iii) $\frac{1}{\frac{3}{2}}$ to $\frac{2}{3}$ of an ac. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:

Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2488 |
| 2. | 2816 |
| 3. | 2939 |
| G.M. | 2748 |
| S.E./mean | 60.9 |

No. of experiments : 16
Significance -Highly significant.

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm,Midnapore.
Ref:- W.B. 48(16). Type : 'MV'.

Object :-To study the effect of different doses of manures on different varieties of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(1) (a) Aman paddy followed by Aus paddy. (b) Aus paddy. (c) B.M. at $7.5 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac} .+$ Lime at 13 md ,ac. + Cowdung at $765 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) Laterite (b) Refer soil analysis, Midnapore. (iii) $31.8 .48 ; 4.9 .48$. (iv) (a) 4 to 5 ploughing \& laddering. (b) Transplanting. (c)-.(d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 3-4. (v) Nil. (vi) As under treatments. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2-3 weedings was usual practice. (ix) $63.82^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 21, 26.12.48.

## TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :-
4 levels of manures : $\quad \mathbf{M}_{1}=$ cowdung at $75 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}_{3}, \mathbf{M}_{2}=\mathbf{M}_{1}+15 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{N}$ as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{M}_{3}=\mathrm{M}_{2}+\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M}$. at $1.5 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$. and $\mathrm{M}_{4}=\mathrm{M}_{1}+$ B.M. at $3 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$.

## Sub-plot treatments :-

5 varieties: $\mathrm{V}_{1}=$ Jhingasail (medium), $\mathrm{V}_{2}=$ Latisail (medium), $\quad \mathrm{V}_{3}=$ Bhasamanik : (medium), $V_{4}=$ Bhasamanik (a) (medium) and $V_{5}=$ Rupsail (local).
.3. DESIGN :
(i) Split plot. (ii) (a) 4 main-plots/block and 5 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv), (a) Sub-plot $19^{\prime} \times 34^{\prime}$; Main-plot $103^{\prime} \times 34^{\prime}$. (b) Sub-plot $17 \times 32^{\prime}$. (v) Distance between plots $2^{\prime} ; 1^{\prime}$ border around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (no lodging). (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain \& straw yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1950. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1213 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $446.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $269.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $M$ and $V$ and the interaction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{4}$ | $\mathbf{V}_{5}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 946 | 973 | 772 | 1001 | 1139 | 966 |
| $\mathbf{M}_{2}$ | 1056 | 1387 | 1286 | 1261 | 1254 | 1249 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | ${ }^{1426}{ }^{\text {a }}$. | 1296 | 1276 | 1193 | 1690 | 1375 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ | 1282 | 1156 | 1221 | 1382 | ${ }^{1} 268$ | 1262 |
| Mean | 1178 | 1203 | 1139 | 1209 | 1338 | 1213 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. main-plot treatment means | $=163.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. sub-plot treatments means | $=110.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. sub-plot treatment means at the same level of main-plot treatment | $=220.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. main-plot treatment means at the same level of sub-plot treatment | $=255.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop: Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Midnapore.

Ref:- W. B. 48(15).
Type: 'MV'.

Object :-To study the effect of different doses of manures on different varieties of Páddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS ;
(i) (a) Aman paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, Midnapore. (iii) 6.7.48. (iv) (a) $4-5$ ploughings and laddering. (b) Transplanting: (c)-.(d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) $3-4$ (v) Nil. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2-3 weedings was usual practice. (ix) $63.82^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15 to 18.12.48.

2, TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments :-

4 levels of manures : $\mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Cowdung at $75 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac} ., \mathrm{M}_{2}=\mathrm{M}_{1}+15 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{N}$ as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{M}_{3}=\mathrm{M}_{2}+\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M}$. at $1.5 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$. and $\mathrm{M}_{4}=\mathrm{M}_{1}+$ B.M. at $3 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$.

## Subplot treatments :-

5 Varieties: $\mathrm{V}_{\mathbf{1}}=$ Jhingasail (medium), $\quad \mathrm{V}_{2}=$ Latisail (medium), $\quad \mathrm{V}_{3}=$ Bhasamanik (medium), $\mathrm{V}_{\mathbf{4}}=$ Bhasamanik (a) (medium) and $\mathrm{V}_{5}=$ Rupsail (local)
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split plot. (ii) (a) 4 main-plots/block and 5 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) Sub-plot $19^{\prime} \times 34^{\prime}$ \& Main plot $103^{\prime} \times 34^{\prime}$. (b) $17^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$. (v) Distance between plots $2^{\prime} ; 1^{\prime}$ border around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. No lodging. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain \& straw yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1950. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1193 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $333.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $261.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only main effect of $M$ significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $V_{1}$ | $V_{2}$ | $V_{3}$ | $V_{4}$ | $V_{5}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{M}_{1}$ | 1101 | 839 | 928 | 931 | 946 | 949 |
| $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1178 | 1308 | 1345 | 1090 | 1393 | 1263 |
| $\mathbf{M}_{3}$ | 1394 | 1280 | 1191 | 1379 | 1435 | 1336 |
| $\mathbf{M}_{4}$ | 1208 | 1141 | 1232 | 1239 | 1312 | 1226 |
| Mean | 1220 | 1142 | 1174 | 1160 | 1271 | 1193 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. main-plot treatment means | $=105.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. sub-plot treatment means | $=92.3 \mathrm{bb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. sub-plot treatment means at the same level of main-plot treatment | $=184.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. main-plot treatment means at the same level of sub-plot treatment | $=189.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (1st crop) Ref. :- Complex experiments (T.C.M.), 1953.
Centre :- Mankhanda (W.B.). Type :- 'M.V'.
Object :- VIII. To study the effect of $\mathbf{N}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ on different varities of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deltaic and Saline-Clayey in_texture. (b) N.A. (iii) Transplanting on 20.8.53. (iv) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 7.12 .53 .
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}:-\mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}:-\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 3 varieties :- $V_{1}=$ Jamaninadu, $V_{2}=$ Kaumragere and $V_{3}=$ Bhasamanik.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as Super. Date of manuring 14.8.53.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ Fact. Confd. (ii) (a) 3 blocks/replication; 9 plots/block. (b) N.A. (iii) 1 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 60$ th ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of paddy. (iv) (a) 1953-56. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Karjat, Ponnampet. Sahaspur, Burdwan, Maruteru and Chalvai (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2367 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $147.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N is highly significant. Main effect of V is significant, main effect of $\mathbf{P}$ and all the interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av, yield: of grain in $1 \mathrm{~b} . \mathrm{Jac}$.


## Crop:~ Paddy (1st crop). <br> Centre: Burdwán (W:B.). <br> Ref: Complex experiments (T.C.M); 1953. <br> Type in ' $M V$ ':

Object :- VIII. To study the effect of $N$ and $P$ on different varieties of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clayey. (b) N.A. (iii) Transplanting on 14.8.53. (iv) N.A. (v) N.A.
(vi) As per treatments. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 20.12.53.
2. TREATMENTS':

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of N : $-\mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \quad \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{P}_{6}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$ :
(3) 3 varieties: $-\mathrm{V}_{1}=$ Kalma: $\mathrm{V}_{2}=$ Jhingasal and $\mathrm{V}_{3}=$ Nagra.
$\mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as Super. Manures applied on 12.8.53.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{\text {s }}$ Fact. Confd. (ii) (a) 3 blocks/replication; 9. plots/bloc̣k. (b) N.A. (iii) 1 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 60$ ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GIENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of paddy. (iv) (a) 1953-56. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Karjat, Ponnampet,' Sahaspur, Mankhanda, Maruteru and Chalvai (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $3395 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $432.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects and interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$. | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | 3425 | 3524 | 3349 | 3433 | 3288 | 3456 | 3555 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | 3162 | 3239 | 3364 | 3255 | 3417 | 3204 | 3144 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 3642 | 3442 | 3410 | 3498 | 3501 | 3750 | 3243 |
| Mean | 3410 | 3402 | 3374 | 3395 | ; |  |  |
| $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | 3398 | 3573. | 3235 | 3402 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ | 3611 | 3305 | 3494 | 3470 |  |  |  |
| $V_{3}$ | 3220. | 3326 | 3395 | 3314 |  |  |  |

S.E of marginal mean
S.E. of body of table
$=144.1 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=249.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}^{\circ}$

Crop: : Paddy.
Site :-State Agri, Farm Chinsurah.

Ref :-W B. 52 (56).
Type :-‘C'

Object:-To find out the best spacing and time of transplanting for Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Boro paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clayey. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 15.10 .52 . (iv) (a) 3-4 ploughings and harrowing. (b) Transplanted. (c)-. (d) Between plants $9^{\prime \prime}$ and between rows as per treatments. (e) 3-4. (v) Nil. (vi) Orissa Kakuria (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) $2-3$ weedings. (ix) $10.57^{*}$ approx. (x) 18-25.5.53.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments :-

6 dates of transplanting : $D_{1}=1.12 .52, D_{2}=1612.52, D_{3}=31.12 .52, D_{4}=15.1 .53, D_{5}=30.1 .53$ and $\mathrm{D}_{6}=14.2 .53$
Sub-plot treatments:-
3 spacings (bet. rows): $S_{1}=4^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=6^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{3}=9^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Split plot. (ii) (a) 6 main-plot/block; 3 sub-plots./main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 (iv) (a) $33^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$ (b) $32.33^{\prime}$ $\times 11.33^{\prime}$ for $4^{\prime \prime}$ spacing. $32^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime}$ for $6^{\prime}$ spacing and $31.5^{\prime} \times 10.5^{\prime}$ for $9^{\prime \prime}$ spacing. (v) Distance bet. plots $1.5^{\prime}$ and blocks $2^{\prime}$; 1row around the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Poor Nil. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) No. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $384.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $216.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $196.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Dates of translanting and spacing effects are highly significant. Interaction is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 317 | 371 | 104 | 264 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 356 | 515 | 439 | 437 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 634 | 474 | 406 | 505 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 594 | 444 | 384 | 474 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | 436 | 382 | 297 | 371 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{6}$ | 397 | 186 | 176 | 253 |
| Mean | 456 | 395 | 301 | 384 |

S.E. of differance of two

1. main-plot treatment means $=72.1 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$
2. sub-plot treatment means $\quad=46.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. sub-plot treatment means at the same level of main-plot treatment $\quad-\mathrm{I} 13.6 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
4. main-plot treatment means at the same level sub-plot treatment $=117.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :-Paddy (Aman).
Site : State Agri. Farm Chinsurah.

Ref :-W.B. 51 (23).
Type : ${ }^{‘} \times V^{\prime}$.

Object : - To study the effect of time of transplanting on the yield of different late varieties of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy (c) T.C. or Cowdung $50 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) Clay (Alluvial soil). (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 21.7.51. (iv) (a) $3-4$ ploughing and laddering. (b) N.A. (c) - (d) $6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 3-4 (v) $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. N in the form of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}, 40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ in the form of Super broadcast (vi) Late ripening varieties, as under treatments. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding by hand once. (ix) $38.83^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Last week of December-2ad week of Jannuary.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments:-
5 varieties: $\mathrm{V}_{1}=$ Asra $108 / 1, \mathrm{~V}_{2}=$ Til k kachary, $\mathrm{V}_{3}=\mathrm{F} . \mathrm{R}, 43 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~V}_{4}=\mathrm{F} . \mathrm{R}, 13 \mathrm{~A}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{5}=$ Kumargore
Sub-plot treatmets :-
4 dates of tranplanting : $-D_{1}=28$ th August, $51, D_{2}=8$ th Sept:, $51, D_{4}=19$ th Sept., 51 and $D_{4}=30$ th Sept., 51.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split plot. (ii) (a) 5 main-plots/replication and 4 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $10^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$.
(b) $9^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}(\mathrm{v})$ Distance between plots $1.5^{\prime}$ and blocks $2^{\prime}: \frac{1^{\prime}}{}{ }^{\prime}$ round each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good, (ii) Negligible. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1951-continued in modified form (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $638 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $435.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $266.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Effects of variety and dates of transplanting are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{5}$ | Mean |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 809 | 1174 | 829 | 621 | 1231 | 933 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 579 | 978 | 479 | 393 | 1084 | .703 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 560 | 939 | 520 | 276 | 1049 | 669 |
| $\therefore \mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 87 | 343 | 216 | 95 | 503 | 249 |
| Mean | 509 | 858 | 511 | 346 | 967 | 638 |

S.E. of differance of two

| 1. main-plot treatment means | $=84.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. sub-plot treatment means | $=123.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. sub-plot treatment means at the same level of main-plot treatment. | $=194.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. main-plot treatment mean at the same level of sub-plot treatment | $=168.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop:- Paddy (Aman) <br> Site :rState Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :- W.B. 52(55).
Type :- 'CV'.

Object : - To study the effect of time of transplanting on the yield of different late varieties of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Aman paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Alluvial clay soil. (b) Refer soil analysis. Chinsurah. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) 3-4 ploughings \& laddering. (b) Transplanted. (c) - . (d) $6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 3-4. (v) $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N in form of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S} . \& \mathrm{~T} . \mathrm{C}$. and $20 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} / \mathrm{ac}$. in the form of Super applied by broadcast method. (vi) As per treatments (Late varieties). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeded by hands twice. (ix) $40.55^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Last week of December to middle of January.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments :-

5 dates of transplanting:-
$\mathrm{D}_{1}=5$ th Aug. $52, \mathrm{D}_{2}=15$ th Aug, $52, \mathrm{D}_{3}=25$ th Aug. $52, \mathrm{D}_{4}=4$ th Sept. 52 , and $\mathrm{D}_{5}=14$ th Sept. 52.

## Sub-plot treatments :- .

3 varieties : $-\mathrm{V}_{1}=$ Tilak Kachary, $\mathrm{V}_{2}=$ Asra 108/1 and $\mathrm{V}_{3}=$ Kumargore.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 5 main-plots/Replication and 3 sub-plots/main plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $18^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$.
(b) $17^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$. (v) Distance between plots $1.5^{\prime}$ and bet. replicates $2^{\prime}, \frac{1^{\prime}}{}{ }^{\prime}$ row around each plot left as guard row.
(vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Fair. (ii) Negligible. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1952-(started in 1951). continued in modified form. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.

RESULTS :
(i) $736 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) 217.3 lb ,/ac.
(b) $279.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Varieties effect is significant. Main effect of dates of transplanting and interaction $\mathrm{D} \times \mathrm{V}$ are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 771 | 596 | 776 | 714 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 904 | 664 | 916 | 828 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 1152 | 343 | 1077 | 857 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 892 | 1156 | 566 | 871 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | 585 | 211 | 430 | 408 |
| Mean | 861 | 594 | 753 | 736 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. main-plot treatment means | $=88.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. sub-plot treatment means | $=88.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ae}$. |
| 3. sub-plot trertment means at the same level of mainplot treatment | $=197.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. main-plot treatment means at the same level of sub-plot treatment | $=184.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Aman).
Site :- State Agri. Farm Chinsurah.

Ref :- W.B. 53(35).
Type: "CV'.

Object :- To study the effect of time of transplanting on the yield of different late varieties of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) Aman paddy. (c) Basic dose of 50 to 100 md . cowdung/ac. (ii) (a) Alluvial clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c)-. (d) $6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (c) 3. (v) 50 to $100 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$. of sludge. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 weeding (hand) for each case. (ix) 45.19". (x) December to January.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :-
5 dates of transplanting:-
5 dates of transplanting:-
$D_{1}=10$ th August, $1953, D_{2}=20 t h A u g u s t, 1953, D_{3}=30$ th August, 1953, $D_{4}=9$ th Sept. 1953 and $\mathrm{D}_{5}=19$ thSept. 1953
Sub-plot treatments -
3 varieties :- $\mathrm{V}_{1}=$ Tilak Kachary. $\mathrm{V}_{2}=$ Asra 108/1. $\mathrm{V}_{3}=$ Kumargore.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Split plot. (ii) (a) 5 main-plots/block, 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $19^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ (b) $18^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$. (v) $\frac{1}{2}{ }^{\prime}$ border around each sub plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Slight lodging. (ii) Incidence of Stemborer in few cases. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1953 to 1956. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(!) $\quad 1929 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $407.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $260.0 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only dates of transplanting are significantly different.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| - | $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 1523 | 1774 | 1525 | 1607 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 2093 | 1796 | 2167 | 2019 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 2409 | 1931 | 2340 | 2227. |
| $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 2166 | 2120 | 2126 | 2137 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | 1639 | 1558 | 1762 | 1653 |
| Mean | 1966 | 1836 | 1987 | 1929 |

S.E. of difference of two

| I. main-plot treatment means | $=166.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. sub-plot treatment means | $=82.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. sub-plot treatment means at the same level of main-plot treatment | $=183.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. main-plot treatment means at the same level of. sub-plot ;treatment | $=224.1 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Paddy (Aman).
Site :-State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :=W.B. 52(54)
Type :-'D'.

Object :-To study the efficacy of different insecticides against Paday stemborer (Schoenobius incertellus. Wlk).

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Nil: (b) Aman paddy. (c) Nil: (ii) (a) Clay.'(b) Refer soil analysis; Chimsnarah. - (iii) 16th June/30th July. (iv) (a) 4-5 ploughings and laddering. (b) Transplanting. (c)-. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) $2-3 ;(\mathrm{y}) ; \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at 40 lb. $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{ac} .+$ Super at 40 lb ./ac. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. (vi) Bhasamanik CH-3; (Medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) $36.83^{\prime \prime}$. (⿺) 20th Nov. 1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a Control.
(1) 2 insecticides: D.D.T. and B.H.C.
(2) 2 methods of application : $\mathrm{M}_{1}=5 \%$ dusted $\mathrm{M}_{2}=50 \%$ wettable; sprayed with $0.1 \%$ concentration.

Insecticides were applied. 4 times at an interval of fortnight: • Dates of application-15th Aug., 31st Aug., 16th Sept. and 2nd Oct: 52.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 5 .
(b) N.A. (iii)
12. (iv)
(a) $-16.50^{\prime} \times 8.25^{\prime} \cdot(b)$
(b) $16.50^{\prime} \times 8.25^{\prime}$
Nil. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Incidence of paddy stink bug, helminthosporium and Sincertellus. (iii) \% damage done to earheads by the stem-borer under study at the time of harvest. (iv) (a) 1952 and 1953. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v), (a) No. (b) -. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 1.5 percent.
(ii) 0.11 percent.
(iii) Contral $v s$. other treatments effect alone is highly significant.
(iv) Percent damaged earheads.

|  | Control <br> D.D.T. | 6.5 percent <br> B.H.C. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 1.0 | 0.9 | Mean |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.95 |
| Mean | 1.05 | 0.95 | 1.05 |
| 1.00 |  |  |  |

S.E. of the marginal mean $=0.022 \%$
S.E. of body of table $=0.032 \%$

Crop :-Paddy (Aman).
Site :-State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :-W.B. 53(71).
Type :- 'D'.

Object :-To study the efficacy of different insecticides against paddy stemborer (S. Incertellus Wlk).

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Aman paddy. (c) A/S $40 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{ac}$. ; Super 40 lb ./ac. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 16th June/30th July. (iv) (a) 4-5 ploughings and laddering. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) $9^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2. (v) A/S (20.6\%) $40 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{ac}$. ; Super (Single $16 \% \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ) 4:lb. N/ac. (vi) Bhasamanik (CH-3, Medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) $42.24^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 20 th November, 1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) +a Control
(1) 2 insecticides : D.D.T. and B.H.C.
(2) 2 methods of application : $\mathrm{M}_{1}=5 \%$ dusted $\mathrm{M}_{2}=50 \%$ wettable, sprayed with $0.1 \%$ concentation. Insecticides were applied four times at an interval of fortnight. Dates of application-15 Aug., 31 Aug., 16th Sept., and 2nd Oct. 53.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 12 (iv)(a) $16.50^{\prime} \times 8.25^{\prime}$. (b) $16.50^{\prime} \times 8.25^{\prime}$. (v) Nil. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Good (ii) Incidence of paddy stink bug, helminthosporium and S.incertellus. (iii) \% damace due to earheads by the stemborer under study at the time of harvest. (iv) (a) 1952 and 1953. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $1.5 \%$
(ii) $0.15 \%$
(iii) Control vs. other treatments effect is highly significant.
(iv) Percent damaged earheads.

| Control $=6.5 \%$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | D.D.T. | B.H.C. | Mean |
| $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.85 |
| Mean | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.05 |
|  | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.95 |
| S.E. of maeginal mean | $=0.031 \%$ |  |  |
| S.E. of body of table | $=0.043 \%$ |  |  |

```
Crop:- Paddy (Amañ).
Ref :- W.B. }53\mathrm{ (75).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. , . ' . Type :- 'D'.
```

Object :-To study the effect of different insecticides against paddy stem borer (S. Intercellus W/k).

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Aman paddy-Fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay, (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 16.6./30.7.53. (iv) (a) $3-4$ ploughings and harrowing. (b) Transplanting. (c) - . (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 . ' (v) $^{\prime}$ Nil. (vi) Bhasmanik ( $\mathrm{CH}-3$, Medinm). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and hoeing. (ix) $42.24^{\prime \prime \prime}$ : ( x ) 20.11.53.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Treatment applied 4 times each at an interval of 15 , days beginning from 15th August, 1953.

1. Control.
2. D.D.T. (5\% dust).
3. B H.C. ( $5 \%$ dust).
4. D.D.T. ( $50 \%$ wettable) spray with $0.1 \%$ concentration.
5. B:H C. ( $50 \%$ wettable) spray with $0.10 \%$ concentration.
6. Folidol-E 605 ( $5 \%$ dust).
7. Folidol E 605 spray with $0.4 \%$ concentration.
8. Toxaphane ( $5 \%$ dust).
9. Toxaphane ( $25 \%$ spray) with $0.1 \%$ concentration.

## 3. DESIGN:

(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 9 .
(b) $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{A}$. (iii)
ii) 12, (iv)
(a) $16.5^{\prime} \times 8.25^{\prime}$. (b) $16.5 \times 8.25^{\prime}$. (v) Distance bet ween plots $1.5^{\prime}$ and block $3^{\prime}$ no guard row left. (iv) Yes'.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Good. (ii) Under study. (iii) Percentage of tillers damaged by stem borer were taken at the time of harvest. (iv) (a) 1953 to 1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) N.A.
5. RESULTS :
(I) $1.22 \%$
(ii) $0.64 \%$
(iii) Control vs. insecticides is highly significant and the insecticides among themselves differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. percentage of tillers damaged by stem borers.

| Treatment | Av. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 4.50 |
| 2. | 0.64 |
| 3. | 0.55 |
| 4. | 0.55 |
| 5. | 0.56 |
| 6. | 1.02 |
| 7. | 1.13 |
| 8. | 1.03 |
| 9. | 1.01 |
| S.E $/$ mean | $=0.18 \%$ |

Crop :- Paddy (Aus).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Malda.

Ref :- W.B. 53(74).
Type :- ' $D$ '.

Object :- To study the effect of seed treatment on growth infection and yield of broadcast Aus paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram followed by Aus paddy. (b) Gram. (c) Super placed at $0.55 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Malda. (iii) 19.5.53. (iv) (a) $2-3$ ploughings and laddering by tractor. (b) Broadcast. (c) $1 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (c) -. (v) Mustard cake 2.50 md . /ac. ; G.N.C $2.50 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. ; applied during general preparation of land on 18.5.53. (vi) Dharial (Late) Satika (early). As per trcatments. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Mulching with weeding 3 times. Ist on $27.6 .53 ; 2 \mathrm{nd}$ on 5.7 .53 and 3 rd on 25.7.53. (ix) $61.29^{\circ}$ (Approx). ( $x$ ) 5.6 and 11.12.53.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments :-

3 fungicides: Control, Agrosan G.N. and Yellow Coperocide.
Sub-plot trextments :-
2 varieties: Dharial and Satika.
The seeds were shaken for 10 minutes with chemicals in an earthen pitcher.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/replication and 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) Subplot $37^{\prime} \times 27^{\prime}$; Main-plot $76^{\prime} \times 27^{\prime}$. (b) $34^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) Distance between plots $2^{\prime}$ and blocks $4^{\prime}, 1.5^{\prime}$ border around each plot. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Dharial-Good; Satika-Poor. (ii) Incidence of helminthosporium. No control measures taken. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1953 to 1957. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1438 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $266.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $302.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Varieties differ highly sigaificantly. Main effects of fungicides and interaction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | Dharial | Satika | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Control | 1872 | 1080 | 1476 |
| Agrosan G.N. | 1604 | 1161 | 1382 |
| Coperocide | 1711 | 1201 | 1456 |
| Mean | 1729 | 1147 | 1438 |

S.E. of difference of two
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 1. marginal means of fungicides } & =108.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { 2. marginal means of varieties } & =100.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { 3. variety means at the same level of_fungicides } & =174.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { 4. fungicide means at the same level of variety } & =164.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .\end{array}$

Crop :- Wheat.
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampur.

Ref :- W.B. 48(1).
Type: ' M '.

Object :-To find out the optimum requirement of $N$ and $P$ with two different methods of application of $P$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b). Paddy (Aus). (c) N.A. (ii) (a) N.A. (b) Refer soil acalysis, Berhampur. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 5-6 ploughings and laddering. (b) Seeds broadcast. (c) 1 md./ac. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Local. (vii) Partly irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) About $2^{\prime \prime}$ (approx). (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :-
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} ; \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

N applied as A/S; $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
Sub-plot treatments :
2 methods of application of Super : $\mathbf{M}_{1}=$ Spread on and $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{2}}=$ Dug in.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split plot. (ii) (a) 9 main-plots/block and 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $30^{\circ} \times 21.5^{\prime}$. (b) $28^{\prime} \times 19.5^{\prime}$. (v) Distance between plots $2^{\prime} 1^{\prime}$ around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) No. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A.
(vi) and (vii) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $779 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $137.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $133.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. .
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.


1. S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ or $P \quad=28.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. S.E. of body of $N \times P$ table
S.E. difference of two
3. 'Method of application means'
4. 'Method' means at the same level of $\mathbf{N}$ or $\mathbf{P}$
5. $N$ or $P$ means at the same level of 'method' $=55.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :-Wheat.
Site :-State Agri. Farm, Malda.

Ref :-W.B. 48 (19)
Type:-‘M'.

Object :-To find out the optimum requirement of $N$ and $P$ with two different methods of application of $P$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Aus paddy-Wheat. (b) Aus paddy. (c) As under treatments. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Malda. (iii) 14.11.48. (iv) (a) 5-6 ploughings and laddering. (b) broadcast. (c) 1 md ./ac. (d) and (e)-. (v), Nil. (vi) Gangajali (Local, late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 14.99". (x) 23/25.3.49.,
2. TREATMENTS :

Main plot treatments :-
All combinations of (I) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30, \mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

## Sub-plot treatments :-

2 methods of application of Super. : $\mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Spread on and $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ Dug in.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split plot. (ii) (a) 9 main-plots/block and 2 sub-plots/Main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $30^{\circ} \times$ 21.5'. (b) $28^{\prime} \times 19.5^{\prime}$. (v) Distance between plots $2^{\prime} ; 1^{\prime}$ around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Very good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1950. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1439 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $113.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$
(b) $71.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$
(iii) None of the effects and interaction is siginficant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in th.fac.

|  | $\mathbf{P e}_{\text {e }}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean | $\mathbf{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N。 | 1447 | 1484 | 1440 | 1457 | 1463 | 1452 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1389 | 1450 | 1415 | 1418 | 1414 | 1421 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 1393 | 1494 | 1442 | 1443 | 1426 | 1460 |
| Mean | 1410 | 1476 | 1432 | 1439 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 1421 | 1452 | 1429 | 1434 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 1399 | 1500 | 1434 | 1444 |  |  |


| 1. S.E. of marginal mean of N or P | $=23.2$ | lb./ac. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. S.E. of the body of $\mathbf{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ table | $=40.2$ | lb./ac. |
| S.E. of difference of two |  |  |
| 3. M means | $=16.8$ | lb./ac. |
| 4. 'Method' means at the same level of $\mathbf{N}$ or $\mathbf{P}$ | $=29.2$ | lb./ac. |
| 5. $\mathbf{N}$ or $\mathbf{P}$ means at the same level of M | $=38.8$ | lb./ac. |

Crop :-Wheat (Rabi).
Site :-State Agri. Farm, Malda.
Ref:-W.B. 49 (19).
Type :-' M '.
Object :-To study the residual effect of applying different doses of $N$ and $P$ on the yield of Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Aus paddy-Wheat. (b) Aus Paddy. (c) Treatments of previous crop. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Malda. (iii) 1.11.49. (iv) (a) $5-6$ ploughings and laddering. (b) Broadcast. (c) 1 md./ac. (d) and (e)-. (v) Nil. (vi) Gangajali (Local, late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding once. (ix) $2.15^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 18 and 25-27.3.50.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatment :-
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $N: \quad N_{0}=0, N_{1}=30$ and $N_{9}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 levels of $P_{2} O_{5}: P_{6}=0, P_{1}=30$, and $P_{2}=6 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$.

Sub-plot treatments :-
2 methods of application of Super: $\mathrm{M}_{1}=$ spread on and $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ Thrusting in.
Manures were applied to the previous crop Aus paddy and residual effect is being studied.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split plot. (ii) (a) 9 main-plots/block and 2 sub-plots/main-plot (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $30^{\circ} \times 21.5^{\circ}$. (b) $28^{\prime} \times 19.5^{\prime}$. (v)Distance between plots $2^{\prime}, 1^{\prime}$ border around each plot. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1950. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS

(i): $1085 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $202.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $123.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects and interactions are not significant
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.


1. S.E. of marginal mean of N or $\mathbf{P}$
2. S.E. of the body of $N \times P$ table
S.E. of difference of two
3. $M$ means
4. $\mathbf{M}$ means at the same level of $\mathbf{N}$ or $\mathbf{P}$
5. $N$ or $P$ means at the same level of $M$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =41.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =71.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =29.0 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =50.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =68.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Crop :-Wheat (Rabi).
Site :-Muchia; Distt. Malda.

Ref:-W.B. 49 (20).
Type :-‘'M'

Object :-To study the effect of applying A/S and Super alone and in combination on the yield of Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Aus paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) Clay loam. (iiif) Treatments (iv) Gangajali (Local). (v) (a) 4-5 ploughings and laddering. (b) Seed broadcast. (c) $1 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (c)-.(vi) November 1949. (v) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) About 2". (x) March, 1950.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 levels of $P_{2} O_{5}: P_{0}=0, P_{1}=20$ and $P_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super was ploughed in at the time of general preparation of land and N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ applied by broadcast 4 weeks after sowing.

DESIGN :
(i), (ii) $4 \times 3$ Fact: in R.B.D. with 4 replications. (iii) (a) $45.25^{\prime} \times 18.50^{\prime}$. (b) $43.25^{\prime} \times 16.50^{\prime} .1^{\prime}$ border around each plot. (iv) N.A.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) No. (b)-. (c)-.(v) N.A.

## 1. RESULTS :

(i) $939.4 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $87.36 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ are highly significant while $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ effect is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 678.7 | 808.6 | 872.5 | 892.6 | 813.1 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 864.6 | 797.4 | 1080.8 | 1143.5 | 971.6 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 907.2 | 1029.0 | 1154.7 | 1042.7 | 1033.4 |
| Mean | 816.8 | 878.3 | 1036.0 | 1026.3 | 939.4 |


| S.E. of the marginal mean of N | $=21.84 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of the marginal mean of $\mathbf{P}$ | $=25.22 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=43.68 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Jowar. (fodder)<br>Site:-State Agri. Farm, Bankura.<br>Ref :-W.B. 48 (3).<br>Type :-'M'.

Object :-To study the manurial effect of Cowdung, B.M. and Lime.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a), (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, Barkura. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 3 ploughings
and 2 ladderings. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A.. (ix) $40.91^{\prime \prime}($ (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control.
2. Cowdung $150 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. B.M. $3 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. Cowdung $15 \mathrm{Jmd} / \mathrm{ac}$. + Line $3 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
5. -B.M. $3 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. + Lime $3 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
6. Cowdung $150 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac} .+$ B.M. $3 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac} .+$ Lime $3 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ th acre. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of Jowar fodder. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
9. RESULTS :
(i) $10866 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $4405 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significanlty.
(iv) Av. yield of fodder in $1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 6478 |
| 2. | 12935 |
| 3. | 11021 |
| 4. | 14911 |
| 5. | 8300 |
| 6. | 11553 |
| S.E./mean | $=1798 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop : Maize fodder<br>Site :-State Agri. Farm, Bankura.

Ref :-W.B. 49 (4).
Type:-' $M$ '.

Object :-To study the manurial effect of cowdung, B.M. and Lime.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a). (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, Bankura. (iii) 30.7.49. (iv) (a) 3 ploughings and 2 ladderings. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $25.09^{\prime \prime}$ (x) 16 to 29.9.49.
2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control
2. Cowdung $150 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. B.M. $3 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. Cowdung $150 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac} .+$ Lime $3 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
5. B.M. $3 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac} .+$ Lime $3 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
6. Cowdung $150 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}+$ B.M. $3 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac} .+$ Lime $3 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A: (iii) Yield of maize fodder (iv) (a), (b), and (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
9. RESULTS :
(i) $11097 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $1788 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of fodder in lb./ac.

| Treatment. | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 5234 |
| 2. | 11994 |
| .3. | 10573 |
| 4. | 13146 |
| .5. | 11654 |
| 6. | 13980 |

S.E./mean $=730.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

## Crop:-Arhar. <br> Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore.

Ref :- W.B. 51(21).
Type:- 'C'.
Object:-To find out the best time of sowing for Arhar.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loamy (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore (iii) As under treatments; (iv) (a) 3-4 ploughings and laddering. (b) Sowing is done in lines (c) N.A. (d) $5^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$ (e) 4-5, later thinned to one healthy plant. (v) Nil. (vi) W.B. Type 7 (Med.) (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and 2 earthing up after 1st weeding. After thinning, only one plant per hole was retained. (ix) $34.47^{\prime \prime}$ ( x ) 1st and 2nd sowing on 19th Jan., 3rd and 4th sowing on 1st February.
2. TREATMENTS :

Time of sowing :-

1. 10.5 .51
2. 25.5 .5 I
3. 9.6 .51
4. 24.6 .51
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4 (b) N.A. (iii) 6 (iv) (a) $18^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$ (b) $12^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$ (v) Distance between plots $5^{\prime}$ and between blocks $6^{\prime} ; 1^{\prime}$ border row ( $3^{\prime}$ ) around each plot left as guard row (vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL :
(i) Good (ii) N.A. (iii) Height recorded after every fortnight. Yield of grain (iv) (a) 1951 to 1955 (b) No (c) N.A. (v) (a) No (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
7. RESULTS :
(i) $1894 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $611.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.

| Treatment | Av. yield. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2271 |
| 2. | 1985 |
| 3. | 2257 |
| 4. | 1062 |
| S.E./mean | $=249.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Arhar.
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore.

Ref:- W.B. 52(52).
Type:- 'C'.

Object :-To find out the best time of sowing for Arhar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil (b) Arhar (c) Nil (ii) (a) Loamy (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) As under treatments. (iv) (a) 4-5 ploughings and laddering (b) Sown in lines (c) N.A. (d) $3^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$. (e) 4-5; thinned later to one healthy plant. (v) Nil. (vi) W.B. Type 7 (Med;) (vii) Unirrigated (viii) 2 weedings; 2 earthing up \& thinging after 1st weeding. After thinning only one plant/hole retained. (ix) $57.92^{*}$. (x) 1st and 2nd sowing on 19th Feb. and 3rd \& 4th sowing on 23rd February, 1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Time of sowing :-

1. 10.5.52.
2. 25.5.52.
3. 3.6.52.
4. 24.6.52.
5. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4 (b) N.A. (iii) 6 (iv) (a) $18^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$ (b) $12^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$ (v) Distance between plots $5^{\prime} \&$ between blocks $6^{\prime} ; 1^{\prime}$ border row. $3^{\prime}$ around each plot left as guard row. (vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL :
(i) Good (ii) N.A. (iii) Height recorded fortnightly. Grain yield (iv) (a) 1951 to 1955 (b) No (c) N.A. (vi) (a) No (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) 'Nil.
7. RESULTS :
(i) $2383 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $580.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 3372 |
| 2. | 2359 |
| 3. | 2116 |
| 4. | 1687 |
| S.E./mean | $=236.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Arhar.
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore.

Ref :- W.B. 53(47).
Type: ' $C$ '.

Object :-To find out best time of sowing for Arhar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Aus paddy and gram. (c) $100 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung \& $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ (in paddy only) (ii) (a) Loamy (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) As under treatments (iv) (a) N.A. (b) In lines (c) N.A. (d) $3^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$ (e) 4 to 5 ; later thinned to one healty plant. (v) Nil. (vi) W.B. type 7 (Medium) (vii) Unirrigated. (vlii) 2 weedings \& 2 earthings; thinning after first weeding. (ix) $45.59 .{ }^{\prime \prime}$ (x) For 1st sowing \& 2nd sowing 19.1.54 ; For 3rd \& 4th sowing 1.2.54.

## TREATMENTS :

Times of sowing

1. 7.6.53.
2. 22.6.53.
3. 7.7.53.
4. 22.7.53.
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a)
(b) N.A.
(iii) 6 (iv) (a) $18^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$.
(b) $12^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$
(v) One row each way. (vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL :
(i) Good (ii) No (iii) Plant heights were recorded fortnightly. Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1955 (v) No (c) N.A. (v) (a) No (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.

## RESULTS :

(i) $1686 \quad \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $730.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2281 |
| 2. | 1970 |
| 3. | 1491 |
| 4. | 1003 |
| S.E./mean | $=298.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop :- Arhar.
Ref:- W.B. 52(53).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore.
Type:- 'C'.
```

Object :-To study the effect of sowing in lines with different spacings.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil (b) \& (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loamy (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) 8.6.52. (iv) (a) 3.4 plonghings and laddering (b) \& (c) N.A. (d) As per treatments (e) 4 to 5 (v) Nil. (vi) W.B. Type 7 (mesium) (vii) Unirrigated (viii) 2 weedings; 2 earthing up \& thinning after lst weeding (ix) $57.92^{\prime \prime}$. ( x ) 15.2.53.
2. TREATMENTS :

Sowing with spacings :-

1. $2^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}$
2. $2^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$
3. $2^{\prime} \times 4^{\prime}$
4. $3^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$
5. $3^{\prime} \times 4^{\prime}$
6. $4^{\prime} \times 4^{\prime}$
7. Broadcast
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 7 (b) N.A. (iii) 4 (iv) (a) $24^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$ (b) $24^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime} \quad$ (v) Distance between plots $5^{\prime}$ and between blocks $6^{\prime}$. No guard row etc. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) Good (ii) N
(vi) \& (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $2001 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $250.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2309 |
| 2. | 2033 |
| 3. | 1939 |
| 4. | 2188 |
| 5. | 1911 |
| 6. | 1429 |
| 7. | 2197 |
| S.E./mean | $=125.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Arhr. | Ref :- W.B. 53(48). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore. | Type :- 'C'. |

Object:-To study the effect of sowing in lines with different spacings.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Paddy \& Gram (1952-53) (c) $100 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung and $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{N}$ as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$. (ii) (a) Loamy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) 15.6.53. (iv) (a), N.A. (b) In lines sowing and broadcasting. (c) N.A. (d) N.A. (e) 4 to 5 ; later only one healthy plant retained. (v) Nil. (vi) West Bengal type No. 7 (medium) (vii) Unirrigated (viii) 2 weedings in all plots \& in line. 2 earthings in line sown crop. Thinning after Ist weeding in line sown crop. (ix) $45.59^{\prime \prime}$ (x) 29.1.54.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Sowing with spacings :-

1. $2^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}$
2. $2^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$
3. $2^{\prime} \times 4^{\prime}$
4. $3^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$
5. $3^{\prime} \times 4^{\prime}$
6. $4^{\prime} \times 4^{\prime}$
7. Broadcast.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 7 (b) N.A.
(iii)
iv) (a) \& (b) $24^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}(v)$ No
(vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) Good (ii) Nil (iii) Only final yield figures recorded (iv) (a) 1952-continued. (b) No (c) N.A. (v) (a) No (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $2110 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $642.8 \mathrm{mb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(v) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 316 Ci |
| 2. | 2796 |
| -3. | 1796 |
| 4. | 1485 |
| 5. | 1190 |
| 6. | 2277 |
| 7. | $321.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Gram and Lentil.<br>Site :- State Agri. Farm, Malda.

Ref:-W.B. 52(77)
Type :- 'D'.

Object: To study the effect of seed inócuation:

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil (b) Aus paddy (c) Cowdung at $150 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac} .+\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $70 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis Malda. (iii) 9.11 .52 (iv) (a) $3-4$ ploughings and ladderings (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Super at 1.5 mds/ac. applied ón 9.11 .52 (vi) Lentil- 5 (Medium). Gram $S-4$ (vii) Irrigated (viii) One weeding on 31.1 .53 ; 1.2.53 \& 2.2.53 (ix) N.A. (x) 10.3 .53 for Lentil 28.3 .53 for Gram.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Lentil seeds inoculated
4. Lentil seeds not inoculated.
5. Gram seeds inoculated.
6. Gram seeds not inoculated.
7. DESIGN
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4 (b) N.A. (iii) 12 (iv) (a) $34^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$ (b) $28^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$ (v) Distance between plots $3^{\prime}$ (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL:
(i) Very good (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1952 (b) Yes (c) N.A. (v) (a) No (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
9. RESULTS :
(i) $2116 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $253.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{Jb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1. | 1476 |
| 2. | 1633 |
| 3. | 2580 |
| 4. | 2775 |
| S.E/mean | $=73.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Potato.
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan

Ref :- W.B. 52(32)
Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of different balanced fertilizers containing different proportions of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ on the yield of Potato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No (b) Jute (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 4.12 .52 (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Sprouted whole tubers were used (c) $15-20 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $2^{\prime}$ from row to row and $9^{\prime \prime}$ from tuber to tuber (e) N A. (v) Nil (vi) Royal Kidney (Medium) (vii) Irrigated (viii) 2-3 times weeding operated; earthing up done three times. (ix) $2.00^{\prime \prime}$ (x) 20.3.53 to 22.3.53.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control
4. $\mathbf{N}_{40} \quad \mathbf{P}_{80} \quad K_{20}$
5. $\mathbf{N}_{60} \quad \mathrm{P}_{120} \mathrm{~K}_{30}$
6. $\mathrm{N}_{80} \quad \mathrm{P}_{160} \mathrm{~K}_{40}$
7. $\mathrm{N}_{120} \mathrm{P}_{240} \mathrm{~K}_{60}$

Here $\mathrm{N}_{40} \mathrm{P}_{80} \mathrm{~K}_{20}$ is a combination of 40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N} ; 80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and 20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and similarly other treatments.
N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S} ; \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super \& $\mathrm{K}_{20}$ as Mur. of Pot. Half the quantity of manures applied in the trenches at the time of planting and half at the time of just earthing up after about one month.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) $33^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $1 / 100$ th ac. (v) Extreme two rows and extreme two plants of each row. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) Negligible. Sprayed thrice during season with a mixture of 4 lb . of Perenox and 2 lb . of $50 \%$ water dispersible D.D.T. in 100 gallons of water. (iii) Yield of potato. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1953. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $8653 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $1619 \mathrm{Ib} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of potato in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 7508 |
| 2. | 8604 |
| 3. | 9350 |
| 4. | 8671 |
| 5. | 9133 |
| S.E./mean | $=661 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.
Object :-To study the effect of different balanced fertilizers containing different proportions of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ on yield of Potato.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No (b) Aus paddy.. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer, soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 21/ 22.11.53. (i) (a) N.A. (b) Sprouted whole tuber were, used. (c) 15 to $20 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $2^{\prime}$ from row to row and $9^{\prime \prime}$ from tuter to tuber. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) : Royal. Kidney (Medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding operated-3 times (app.). Earthing up done three times. (ix) $4.09^{\prime \prime}$ (x) 16/17.3.54.
2. TREATMENTS :

## 1. Control

2. $\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{N}_{40} & \mathrm{P}_{80} & \mathrm{~K}_{20}\end{array}$
3. $\mathrm{N}_{60} \quad \mathrm{P}_{120} \mathrm{~K}_{30}$
4. $N_{80} \mathbb{P}_{160} K_{40}$
5. $\mathrm{N}_{120} \mathrm{P}_{240} \mathrm{~K}_{60}$
$\mathrm{N}_{40} \mathrm{P}_{80} \mathrm{~K}_{20}$ is a combination of $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}, 80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \& 20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and similarly other treatments. N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S} ; \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super $\& \mathrm{~K}_{20}$ as Mur. of Pot. Half the quantity of manures applied in trenches at the time of first earthing up after about one month.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A.
(iii) 6 .
extreme two plants of each row. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) Negligible. (iii) Yield of potato. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1953 (b) Yes (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $15893 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $1731.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of potato in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

$\qquad$

Crop: Potato.
Site: State Agri Farm, Burdwan.
Ref: W. B. 53 (70)
Type: ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$
Object :-To study the response to $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \& \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ alone and in combinations of the yield of Potato.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil (b) G.M. (c) Ploughed in at an early stage. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan (iii) 27.11. 53 (iv) (a) Ploughing six times by country plough followed by laddering. (b) \& (c) N.A. (d) From tuber to tuber $9^{\prime \prime} \&$ row to row $2^{\prime \prime}$ (e) 1 tuber/hole (v) Nil. (vi) R. K. (Medium) (vii) Irrigated (viii) Earthing up thrice followed by top dressing. (ix) $42.12^{\prime \prime}$ (x) 18 to 22.3.24.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1),(2) and (3)
(1) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{N}_{1}=40 \mathrm{lb}$. ac .
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 2 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{K}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{K}_{1}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super and $\mathrm{K}_{2} 0$ as Pot. Sul.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2^{3}$ Confd. Partially Confd. confounding the interactions NP, NK \& NPK in different replicates. (ii) (a) 4 plots/block 2 blocks/replication. (b) N. A. (iii) 4 (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$ (b) $39^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (v) Distance between plots $2^{\prime}$ and blocks $6^{\prime} ; 1.5^{\prime}$ border around each plot (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of potato. (iv) (a) 1953-continued. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No.
(b) N.A. (vi) \&(vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $12716 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $1646 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of N and K are highly significant. Other effects are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of potato in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 10844 | 10597 | 10721 | 10346 | 11096 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 15180 | 14244 | 14712 | 13386 | 16038 |
| Mean | 13012 | 12420 | 12716 | 11866 | 13567 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 11825 | 11907 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 14199 | 12935 |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =412 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of body of table. } & =582 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

## Crop: Potato.

Site: State Agri. Farm, Malda.

Ref: W. B. 52 (34)
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '

Object:-To find out the most appropriate dosage of manure for Potato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No (b)\&(c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loam (b) Refer soil analysis, Malda. (iii) 15.11 .52 (iv) (a) (b) (c) N.A. (d) From row to rows $2^{\prime}$ and tuber to tuber $9^{*}$ (e) N.A. (v) Nil (vi) Darjeeling Red Round; (Early). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding, earthing up done three times. (ix) 1. $28^{\prime \prime}(x)$ 15.3.53.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. No manure.
4. $\mathrm{N}_{60} \mathrm{P}_{120} \mathrm{~K}_{30}$
5. $100 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$ of T.C. $+\mathrm{N}_{60} \mathrm{P}_{120} \mathrm{~K}_{30}$
6. $200 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$ of T.C. $+\mathrm{N}_{60} \mathrm{P}_{120} \mathrm{~K}_{30}$
$5.300 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$ of T.C. $+\mathrm{N}_{60} \mathrm{P}_{120} \mathrm{~K}_{30}$
Half of the fertiliser mixture applied in trenches at the time of planting and half at the time of first earthing up. $N_{60}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{P}_{120}=120 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathbf{P}_{2} \mathbf{O}_{5} ; \mathrm{K}_{30}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $33^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$ (b) $1 / 100$ th acre. (v) Extreme two rows and extreme two plants of each row. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) Slight incidence of virus; sprayed thrice during the season with a mixture of 4 lb . of Perenox and 2 lb . of $20 \%$ water dispersible D.D.T. in 100 gallons of water. (iii) Yield of potato. (iv) (a) 1950 to1952 (b) Yes (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil (vi) Nil. (vii) Sprouted white tubers used.
9. RESULTS :
(i) $11929 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $2341 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Control $v s$ others is not significant. Fertilisers differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of potato in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 11778 |
| 2. | 9995 |
| 3. | 13835 |
| 4. | 11263 |
| 5. | 12772 |
| S•E./mean | $=956.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop : Potato
Site : State Agri. Farm, Midnapore.

Ref:~ W.B. 49 (38)
Type: ' $M$ '

Object :-To study the effect of organic and inorganic manures on yield of Potato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Potato-Aus paddy. (b) G.M.(15 Seer/ac.) Sunnemp; (c) Nil (G.M. turned in) (ii) (a) Red laterite soil. (b) Refer soil analysis Midnapore. (iii) 6.11. 49. (iv) (a) 5 plouhings and-horrowings. (b) \& (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime}$ between plants and $2^{\prime}$ between rows. (e) 1 tuber/hole. (v) Mustard oil cake at $10 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$. (vi) Darjeeling Red Round (early). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Earthing up twice on 21.11 .49 and 1.1.50 (ix) N.A. (x) 7.2.50.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. $100 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$. of Cowdung,
4. 1 cwt /ac: of Basic Slag
5. $2 \mathrm{cwt} / \mathrm{ac}$ : of Super
6. Control.
7. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4 (b) N.A.(iii) 6. (ivv (a) $21^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$. (b) N.A. (v) N.A.' (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) The crop was severely attacked with virus for want of water. (iii) Yield of potato tuber. (iv) (a) 1949-N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) (a), (b) N.A. (vi) \&(vii) Nil
9. RESULTS :
(i) $4399 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) N.A.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of potato in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment |  | Av. . yield. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. |  | 4496 |
| 2. | $\ddots$ | 4516 |
| 3. |  | 4177 |
| 4. |  | 4408 |
| S.E,/mean | $=$ | N.A. |

Crop:- Potato.
Site :- State Agri. Farm. Burdwan.

Ref :- W.B. 52(33).
Type:- 'C'.

Object :- To find out the most appropriate spacing and size of seed tubers for Potato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) \& (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 25.11.52. to 29.11.52.
(iv) (a) N.A. (b) Whole tubers of three different sizes planted at different spacings in different plots as indicated in the layout. (c) $10 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) N.A. (e) N.A. (v) $100 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung (vi) Darjeeling Red Round (early). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding done; sprayed thrice during the season with a mixture of 4 lb . of Perenox \& 2 lb . of $53 \%$ water dispersible D.D.T. in 100 gallons of water. Earthing up done three times (ix) 2.00". (x) 23.3.53 to 30.3.53.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1), (2) (\&) (3)
(1) 3 tuber distances: $\mathrm{D}_{1}=6^{\prime \prime}, D_{2}=9^{\prime \prime}$ and $D_{3}=1^{\prime}$
(2) 3 tuber sizes: $\mathrm{V}_{1}=\mathrm{R}^{*}, \mathrm{~V}_{2}=1^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{3}=1_{\frac{1}{2}}{ }^{*}$
(3) 3 row distances; $\mathrm{R}_{1}=1.5^{\prime}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=2^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{3}=2.5^{\prime}$

## 3. DESIGN:

(i) $3^{3}$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 27. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $36^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $1 / 97$ th ac. (v) Extreme two rows and two plants of each row. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Fair (ii) Incidence of slight virus and bacterial wilt. $5 \%$ of the total crop infected with different types of virus and incidence of bacterial wilt negligible. (iii) Yield of potato. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1952. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) N.A.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 7748 lb /ac.
(ii) $2789 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of potato in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $V_{1}$ | 7539 | 7427 | 7772 | 7579 | 7039 | 7814 | 7885 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ | 8148 | 6749 | 8008 | 7635 | 7764 | 7570 | 7572 |
| $V_{3}$ | 9386 | 7835 | 7168 | 8030 | 8004 | 7914 | 8171 |
| Mean | 8258 | 7337 | 7649 | 7748 | 7602 | 7766 | 7876 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 7837 | 7538 | 7432 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{g}}$ | 8547 | 7277 | 7475 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R}_{3}$ | 8391 | 7196 | 8041 |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of the body of the table | $=805.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=464.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Potato.
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref:- W.B. 53(32).
Type :- 'CM'.

Object:- To study the effect of inter-row distances, inter-tuber distances, tuber sizes, manures and their corrbinations on the yield of Potato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Aus Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 18.11.53, 20.11.53. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Whole tuber of three different sizes planted at different spacings in different plots as indicated in the layout. (c) N.A. (d) As under treatments. (e) N.A. (v) 100 md of cowdung/ac. (v) Darjeeling Red Round (early). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding done ; earthing up three times. (ix) $4.09^{\prime \prime} .(\mathrm{x}$ ) 12.3.54, 15.3.54.
2. TREATMENTS :

81 out of 243 combinations of (1), (2), (3) \& (4).

1. 3 inter-row distances : $\mathrm{R}_{1}=18^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=24^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{3}=30^{\prime \prime}$
2. 9 inter-tuber distances $\left\langle P\right.$ ) : $P_{1}=6^{\prime \prime}, P_{2}=13 \frac{1}{\prime \prime}_{\prime \prime}^{\prime \prime} P_{3}=20^{\prime \prime}, P_{4}=5^{\prime \prime}, P_{5}^{\prime}=10^{\prime \prime} P_{6}=1^{\prime \prime}, P_{7}=4^{\prime \prime}, P_{8}=8^{\prime \prime} \&$ $P_{9}=12^{\prime \prime}$.
3. 3 tuber sizes ( T ) : $\mathrm{T}_{1}=\frac{3}{4}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{T}_{2}=1^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{3}=11^{\prime \prime}$.
4. 4 manures : $\mathrm{M}_{1}=\mathrm{N}_{40} \mathrm{P}_{80} \mathrm{~K}_{40}, \mathrm{M}_{2}=\mathrm{N}_{60} \mathrm{P}_{120} \mathrm{~K}_{60}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{3}=\mathrm{N}_{80} \mathrm{P}_{160} \mathrm{~K}_{80}$.

N in the form of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S} ; \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ in the form of Super and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in the form of Mur. Pot. $\frac{2}{3}$ of fertiliser mixture applied at the time of planting in trenches and $\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{rd}$ at the time first earthing up. $\mathrm{N}_{3}=40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ $\mathrm{P}_{80}=90 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{40}=40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ etc.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $9 \times 3^{8}$ Fact. fractional Confd. (ii) (a) 9 plots/block. 9 blocks/replication. (b) N.A. (iii) (克rd replicate) (iv) (a) Does not arise. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) No border area. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Incidence of virus reported; spayed thrice during the season with a mixture of $4 \cdot \mathrm{lb}$. of Perenox and 2 lb . of $50 \%$ water dispersible D.D.T. in 100 gallons of water. (iii) Yield of potato. (iv) (a) $195 \frac{j}{} 54$ to 1955-56. (v) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (v) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Raw data and confounded effects N.A. The results available only in the fashion is given under.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $14492 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $2129.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only main effect of tuber-size ( T ) is highly significant.
(ix) Av. yield in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.


Av. of inter-tuber distances (P)

| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{8}{ }^{\text {' }}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{9}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15339 | 14606 | 13815 | 15496 | 15799 | 13130 | 15086 | 13830 | 13331 |
|  | S.E./mean (P) |  |  | $=7$ | lb./ac. |  |  |  |

## Crop :- Potato.

Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore.
Object :-To study the effect of different fungicides on Potato.

Ref:~W.B. 50(4)
Type :- 'D'.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Aus paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore, (iii) 29th, 30th Oct and 6th, 7th November, 1950. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and ladderings. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (d) Between rows $2^{\prime}$ and between tubers $9^{\prime \prime}$ placed $6^{\prime \prime}$ below. (e) N.A. (v) F.Y.M. and Mustard cake; quantity N.A. (vi) Darjeeling Red Round (Medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A., (x) 8th 9th and 16th to 22nd March, 1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a Control (no spraying).
(1) 3 fungicides : Perenox, Diathane, and Bordeaux, mixture $1 \%$.
(2) 3 different no. of sprayings : 2, 3 and 4 sprayings.

Perenox at $4 \mathrm{lb} . / 100$ gallons of water; Diathane Z-78 at $2 \mathrm{lb} / 100$ gallons of watersprayed while Bordeaux mixture at $1 \mathrm{lb} . / 100$ gallons of water sprayed.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 14.6^{\prime}$. (b) $35^{\prime} \times 12.6^{\prime}$. (v) Ditance between plots $2^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of potato. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(j) $661 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $109.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of potato in lb ./ac.

Control $=667 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| No. of <br> spraying | Perenox | Diathane <br> Z-78 | Bordeaux <br> Mixture 1\% | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 2 | 750 | 689 | 629 | 683 |
| 3 | 606 | 667 | 641 | 638 |
| 4 | 689 | 714 | 578 | 660 |
| Mean | 675 | 690 | 616 | 661 |

S.E. of the body of table $=54.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of any marginal mean $=31.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop: Potato.
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore.

Ref:- W.B. 51(3)
Type:- 'D'.

Object ;-To study the effect of different fungicides on Potato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nii. (b) Aus paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) 22 to 24.11.51. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and ladderings. (b), (c) N.A. (d) Between rows 2 ' and between tubers $9^{\prime \prime}$ placed $6^{\circ}$ below. (e) N.A. (v) F.Y.M. and Mustard cake. Quantity N.A. (vi) Darjeeling Red Round (Medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (vii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a Control (no spraying).
(1) 3 fungicides : Perenox, Diathane Z-78 and Bordeaux mixture $1 \%$.
(2) 3 different no. of sprayings :- 2,3 and 4 sprayings.

Perenox at $4 \mathrm{lb} . / 100$ gallons of water, Diathane Z-78 at $2 \mathrm{lb} . / 100$ gallons of water while Bordeaux mixture at $1 \mathrm{lb} . / 100$ gallons of water sprayed.

## 3 DESIGN:

(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A.' (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 14.6^{\prime}$. (b) $35^{\prime} \times 12.6$. (v) Distarce between plots 2'. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. Yield of potato. (iv) (a) $1 \stackrel{c}{c} 49$ to 1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (iv) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $479 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) N.A.
(iii) N.A.
(iv) Av. yield of potato in lb./ac.:

Control $=459 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

S.E.'s = N.A.
Crop:- Potato.
Rēf:- W.B. $52(5)$
Sité :- State Agri: Farm, Berhampore.
Type : ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of different fungicides on Pótato:

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Aus paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) 22/24.11.52. (iv) (a) 3 to 4 ploughings and ladderings. (b), (c) N.A. (d) Between rows $2^{\prime}$ and between tubers $9^{\prime \prime}$ placed $6^{\prime \prime}$ below. (e) N.A. (v) F.Y.M. and Mustard cake quantity N.A. (vi) Därjeeling Red Round (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) - (x) N.A.'

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) +Controi (no spraying).
(1) 3 fungicides: Perenox, Diathane Z-78 and Bordeaux mixture $1 \%$.
(3) 3 different no. of sprayings :- 2, 3 and 4 times spraying.:

Perenox at $4 \mathrm{lb} . / 100$ gallons of water; Diathane $\mathrm{Z}-78$ at $2 \mathrm{lb} . / 100$ gallons of water while Bondeaux mixture at $1 \mathrm{lb} . / 100$ gallons of water sprayed.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 10 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4.
(iv) (a) $43.5^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$.
(b) $40^{\prime} \times 14.6^{\prime}$. (v) Distance between plots: $2^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Under control. (iii) Yield' of potato. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $12101 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $1645.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of potato in lb./ac.

Control $=12488$

| No. of <br> spraying | Perenox | Diathane | Boxdeaux | Mean |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 11357 | 11663 | 11932 | 11651 |
| 3 | 12603 | 12086 | 11241 | 11977 |
| 4 | 11644 | 11472 | 14522 | 12546 |
| Mean. | 11868 | 11740 | 12565 | 12058 |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { S.E. of body of table } & =822.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =475.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .\end{array}$

## Crop :- Potato

Site :- State Agri. Farm, Cooch Behar.
Ref: W.B. 51(1).
Type:- 'D'.
Object :-To study the effect of different fungicides on the yield of Potato.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Paddy-Potato-Iate (b) Aus Paddy. (c) Cowdung at $100 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. Super at $2.5 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac} .+\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at 2 md./ac. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Cooch Behar. (iii) 3112.51 to 2.1 .52 (iv) (a) 8-10 ploughings and harrowing. (b) 1 sprout placed in furrows $2^{\prime \prime}$ deep (c) N.A. (d) between lines :$2^{\prime}$ and between tubers :- $9^{\prime \prime}$ (e) N.A. (v) Cowdung at $150 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. Mustardcake at $15 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$. applied at the time of general preparation of land. A/S at $3 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. at the time of earthing up; (vi) Darjeeling Red Round (vii) Unirrigated (viii) Weeding, hoeing and earthing up twice (ix) 4.14" (x) March, 1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)+a Control (no spraying).

1. 7 fungicides :-
(1) Perenox at $3 \mathrm{lb} . / 150$ gallons of water.
(5) Diathane at $2 \mathrm{lb} . / 100$ gallons of water.
(2) Perenox at $4 \mathrm{lb} . / 103$ gallons of water.
(6) Diathane at $2.5 \mathrm{lb} . / 100$ gallons of water.
(3) Perenox at $5 \mathrm{lb} . / 100$ gallons of water.
(4) Diathane Z-78 at $1.5 \mathrm{lb} / 100$ gallons of water.
(7) Bordeaux mixture at $1.1 \%$ per 100 gallons of water.
2. 3 different no. of sprayings :-2, 3 and 4 times spraying.

1st spraying from 4.2 .52 to 6.1 .5 3. 2nd spaying from 23.2 .52 to 2.3 .52 .

## DESIGN :

$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { (i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) } 22 . & \text { (b) N.A. (iii) } 4 \text { (iv) (a) } 26^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime} & \text { (b) } 22^{\prime} \times 13^{\prime} & \text { (v) Distance between plots } \\ 3^{\prime} \text { and between blocks } 4^{\prime} \text {; } 1^{\prime} \text { border arouut each plot (vi) Yes. }\end{array}$
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal (ii) Cut worms attacked at the base of potato plants when the height was $4^{\prime \prime}$ to $7^{\prime \prime}$. D.D.T. mixed with water sprayed on plants. Attack of beetle over the plant leaf surface found all over the plot.
(iii) Yield of potato
(iv) 1951 to 1953.
(b) Yes
(c) N.A.
(v) (a) No
(b) N.A.
(vi) \& (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESIILTS:

(i) $1507 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 771.6 lb ./ac.
(iii) 'Type of fungicides' and Times of sprayings' effects are highly significant. Interaction (times of spraying $\times$ typ $3 s$ of fangicides) is significant white control vs. 'other treatments' is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of potato in lb ./ac.

$$
\text { Control }=1811 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
$$



Crop:- Potato.<br>Site : - State Agri. Farm, Kooch Behar.

Ref:- W.B. 52(1).
Type:- 'D'.
Object :-To see the effect of different fungicides on the yield of Potato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Aus-Potato-Jute.
ac. (ii) (a) Sandy loam (b) Refer soil analysis, Coorh Behar. (iii) 26, $27.11-52$ (iv) (a) 8-10 ploughings and harrowings. (b) Sprouts placed in furrows $2^{\prime \prime}$ deep. (c) N.A. (d) Between lines:-2' and between tubers :- $9^{\prime \prime}$ (e) N.A. (v) Cowdung at $150 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac} .+$ Mustard cake 30 md ./ac. applied at the time 'of general prebaration of land. Top dressing $A / / \mathrm{S} 3 \mathrm{md}$ /ac. applied at the time of earthing up between 27 and 29.12.52. (vi) Darjeeling Red Round (vii) Unirrigated (viii) Weeding, hoeing and earthingup. twice 26, to 29.12.52. (ix) $1: 49^{\prime \prime}$ (x) 11 to 13.3.53.
2. 'TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) +a Control (no spraying).

1. 7 types of fungicide :-
(1) Perenox $3 \mathrm{lb} . / 100$ gallons of water.
(5) Diathane $2 \mathrm{lb} . / 100$ gallons of water.
(2) Perenox $4 \mathrm{lb} . / 103$ gallons of water.
(6) Diathane $2.5 \mathrm{lb} . / 100$ gallons of water.
(3) Perenox $5 \mathrm{lb} . / 100$ gallons of water.
(7) Bordeaux mixture $1 \% / 100$ gallens of water.
(4) Diathane Z-78 1.5 jb / 100 gallone of water.
2. 3 different no. of sprayings : 2, 3 and 4 times sprayings.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 22. (b) N.A.
(iii) 4 (iv) (a) $26^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime \prime}$
(b) $25^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$
(v) Distance tetween plots
$3^{\prime}$, and blocks $4^{\prime}$; $1^{\prime}$ border around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal.
(ii) $\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{A}$. (iii) Yield of potato.
(iv) (a) 1951 to 1953
(b) Yes.
(c) N.A.
(v) (a) No
(b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $7170 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $3181.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of potato in lb./ac.

$$
\text { Control }=5557 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

No. of sprayings

| Fungicides | 2 | 3 | 4 | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 9900 | 7588 | 8231 | 8573 |
| 2. | 6094 | 10396 | 7588 | 8026 |
| 3. | 6961 | 6244 | 6572 | 6592 |
| 4. | 8006 | 7140 | 6752 | 7299 |
| 5. | 5228 | 7439 | 6274 | 6314 |
| 6. | 6752 | 9635 | 6393 | 7593 |
| 7. | 5945 | 6393 | 6662 | 6333 |
| Mean | 6985 | 7834 | 6925 | 7247 |


| S.E. of body of table | $=1590.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| S.E. of fungicide mean | $=918.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of no. of sprayings mean | $=601.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Potato.
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Cooch Behar.

Ref:- W.B. 53 (23).
Type :- 'D'.

Object:-To see the effect of different fungicides on the yield of Potato.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Aus-Potato-Jute. (b) Cowdung $200 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac} .+$ B.M. at $5.5 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac} .+$ A/S. 35 seer./ac. (ii) (a) Sandy loam (b) Refer soil analysis, Cooch Behar (iii) 12.11 .53 to 13.1 .54 (iv) (a) 8 to 10 ploughings and horrowings (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (d) Between rows : $-2^{\prime}$ and between tubers : $-9^{*}$ (e) 1 tuber/hole (v) Cowdng at $150 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. , at a depth of $2^{*}$. Mustard oilcake $6 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac} .$, F.M. $3 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$., Super $3 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$., at the time of general preparation of land. + Pot. Sulphate 3 md ./ac. at the time of 1 st earthing. (vi) Darjeeling Red Round (vii) Unirrigated (viii) Weeding, hoeing and earthing up twice (ix) $1.67^{\prime \prime}$ (x) 5. to 8.3.54.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a Control (no spraying)

1. 7 types of fungicide :-
(1) Perenox at $3 \mathrm{lb} . / 100$ gallons of water.
(5) Diathane at $2 \mathrm{lb} . / 100$ gallons of water.
(2) Peronox at $4 \mathrm{lb} . / 100$ gallons of water.
(6) Diathane at $2.5 \mathrm{lb} . / 100$ gallons of $w$ ater.
(3) Perenox at $5 \mathrm{lb} . / 100$ gallons of water.
(7) Bordeaux mixture at $1 \% / 100$ gallons of
(4) Diathane $Z .78$ at $1.5 \mathrm{lb} . / 100$ gallons of water. water.

Dates of spraying : - 1st from 31.12, to 2.1.54; 2nd from 16.1 to 18.1 .54 , 3rd from 1.2 to 2.2 .54 and 4 th on 16.2.54.
2. 3 different no. of sprayings : $-2,3$ and 4 times of spraying.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B D. (ii) (a) 22 (b) N A. (iii) 4 (iv) (a) $26^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$ (b) $25.5^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$ (v) Distance between plots $3^{\prime}$ and between blocks $4^{\prime} 1$ guard row (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Normal (ii) Cut worms attacked at the base of potato when its height was $3^{\prime \prime}$ to $5^{\prime \prime}$. $50 \%$ wettable D.D.T. sprayed on plants at 4 lb ./ gallon on 21.12 .53 (iii) Yield of potato (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953 (b) Yes (c) N A. (v) (a) No (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $10026 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $5234 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.

No. of sprayings


Crop :m Potato<br>Site :- State Agri. Farm, Malda.

Ref:- W.B. 52 (35)
Type:- 'D'.

Object :-To study whether application of artificial hormones namely (1) Seradix A and (2) Hormone A to a soil can increase the yield of Potato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Malda. (iii) 13.1152 (iv) (a) ${ }^{\text {² }}$ N.A. (b) N•A. (c) $10 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $2^{\prime}$ from row to row and $9^{\prime \prime}$ from tuber to tuber (e) N.A. (v) $100 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of cowdung (vi) Darjeeling Red Round (early) (vii) Irrigated (viii) Weeding operated; earthing up done three times (ix) $1.58^{\prime \prime}$ (x) 10.3.53.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control
2. Hormone-A [(a) Sprouted tubers soaked in a solution of hormone of the strength of $\mathbf{2 c c}$. in a pint of water (b) Harmone applied on the base of the plants when sprouts came out of the soil surface. The strength of the solution was 2 fluid ounces in a gallon of water].
3. Seradix-A [(a) Sprouted tubers soaked in a solution of the hormone of the strength 50 drops (about $2 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{cc}$.) in a pint of water (b) A second dose of hormone applied on the base of plants when sprouts came out of the soil surface. The strength of the solution was same as (a)].
4. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii)
(a) 3 (b) N.A. (iii) 4
(iv) (a)
(a) $33^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$
(b) $1 / 100 \mathrm{th}$ ac.
(v) Extreme two rows and two plants of each row. (vi) Yes.
5. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) Slight incidence of virus. Sprayed thrice during the season with a mixture of $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ of Perenox and $2 \mathrm{lb} . /$ of $50 \%$ water dispenṣible D.D.T. in 100 gallons of water. (iii) Yield of potato (iv) (a) 1950 to 1952 (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Midnapore '(b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Sprouted white tubers used.
6. TRETMENTS :
(i) $1 / 937 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $948.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ signlficantly.
(iv) Av. yield of potato in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 11405 |
| 2. | 11572 |
| 3. | 12833 |
| S.E./mean | $=473.2 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Potato.
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Maynaguri.

Ref:- W.B. 48 (25).
Type :- 'D'.

Object :-To study the efficacy of ¿different fungicides on the yield of potato in controlling late blight of Potato.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil anslysis, Maynaguri (iii) 28.10 .48 (iv) (a) Ploughing and laddering thrice. (b) 16 lines and 10 tubers/line. Tuber sown 1 ft apart in lines. (c) N.A. (d) $2^{\prime}$ to $5^{\prime}$ (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Darjeeling Red Round (Medium) (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 4.2.49.

## 2. TREATMENTS

1. Perenox at $4 \mathrm{lb} . / 100$ gallons of water.
2. Saltosan $6 \mathrm{lb} \cdot / 100$ gallons of water.
3. DiathaneZ-78 $1.5 \mathrm{lb} . / 100$ gallons of water.
4. Bordeaux mixture $1 \%$ in 100 gallons of water.
5. Control.

Dates of spraying :- 5. 12.48, 30.12.48 and 15.1.49
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 (b) N.A. (iii) 5 (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$ (b) $40^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$ (v) Nil. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Uniform in all plots. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of potato. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1952 . (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $12816 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $1986 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of potato in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 10128 |
| 2. | 13937 |
| 3. | 14116 |
| 4. | 13040 |
| 5. | 12861 |
| S.E./mean | $=888.2 \quad$ lb./ac. |

Crop :-Potato.
Site :-State Agri. Farm, Maynaguri.
Ref :-W.B. 49 (26).
Type :-‘D'.

Obeject :-To study the effect of different fungicides on the yield of Potato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Maynaguri (iii) 7.11 .49 (iv) (a) Ploughing, and laddering 3 times each (b) 16 lines/plot, 10 tubers/line (c) N.A. (d) $1^{\prime}$ apart, between lines $2.5^{\prime}$ (e) N.A. (v) Cowdung and compost $200 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$. Mustard oilcake at $9 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (vi) Darjecling Red Round (Medium) (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding, hoeing and earthing 3 times each (ix) N.A. (x) 19.3.50.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Perenox at 1 gallon/plot
2. Soltosan
3. Diathane Z-78
4. Bordeaux mixture $1 \%$
5. Control

3 sprayings when plants were $8^{\prime \prime}$ high and subsequently after an interval of 21 days.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(b) N.A. (iii) 5
(iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$
(b) $40^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$
(v) Distance between blocks:-
$2.5^{\prime}$ (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Poor (ii) Late blight under study. (iii) Yield of potato and disease percentage. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1952 (b) No (c) N.A. (v) (a) No (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $4391 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $811.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of potato in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 3550 |
| 2. | 5597 |
| 3. | 4530 |
| 4. | 4297 |
| 5. |  |
| S.E./mean | $=363.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Potato.
State :- State Agri. Farm, Maynaguri.
Object :-To study the efficacy of fungicides in controlling blight of potato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N•A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Maynaguri. (iii) 22.11.50.
(iv) (a) Ploughings, laddering and harrowing. 10 rows of $26^{\prime}$ each/plot. (b) N.A. (c) 13.5 md./ac. (d) Between rows 2' and between tubers $1^{\prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Cowdung at $150 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac} .+$ Mustard cake at $30 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$. $+A / S$. at $3 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac} .+$ Ammo. Phos at $1.5 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$. (vi) Darjeeling Red round. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding, hoeing and earthing up 3 times each (from 19 to 28.12.50). (ix) N.A. (x) 11.3.51.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control.
2. Perenox $-4 \mathrm{lb} . / 100$ gallons of water.
3. Soltosan- $6 \mathrm{lb} \cdot / 100$ gallons of water.
4. Diathane- $-\mathbf{Z}-78-2 \mathrm{lb} . / 100$ gallons of water.
5. Bordeaux mixture-( $10 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{S}+10 \mathrm{lb}$. lime)/ 100 gallons water.

## DESIGN :

(i) R.B.D. (ii) 5 (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $20^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$. (b) $16^{\prime} \times 23^{\prime}$. (v) Distance between plots. $2^{\prime}$ and between blocks 4'. (vi) Yes.

## GENERAL :

(i) Fair. (ii) Incidence of late blight under study. (iii) Yield of potato and incidence of disease percentago on the basis of 10 plants/plot. (iv) 1948 to 1952. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $18211 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $2738.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of potato in lb ./ac.

Treatment Av. yield.

1. 17459
2. 18732
3. 19087
4. 18732
$5 . \quad 17045$
S.E. $/$ mean $=1369.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Potato.<br>Site :- State Agri. Farm, Maynaguri.

Ref :- W.B. 51 (28).
Type :- 'D'.
Object :- To study the effect of different fungicides on the yield of Potato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Maynaguri. (iii) 1.12 .51 . (iv) (a) Ploughing, harrowing. laddering thrice. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (d) N.A. (e) N.A. (v) Cowdung $200 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac} .+$ Mustard cake at $6 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac} .+\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $3.5 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$. (vi) Darjeeling Red Round (Medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings, 2 hoeings and 3 earthing up. (ix) 0.45". (x) 24.4.52.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control.
2. Perenox.
3. Diathane Z-78
4. Cupravit
5. Fermide
6. Copper sandoz
7. Bordeaux mixture.

Spraying on :-18-1.52, 3.2.52 and 19.2.52.

## 3. DESIGN:

(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 7. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $26^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $23^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (v) Distance between plots $3^{\prime}$ and block 4'. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Very poor. (ii) Incidence of late blight under study. (iii) Yield of potato and percentage of disease on the basis of 10 plants/plot. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1932 . (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $4023 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $1011.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of potato in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield. |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1. | 3151 |
| 2. | 4232 |
| 3. | 3638 |
| 4. | 4110 |
| 5. | 4140 |
| 6. | 3638 |
| 7. | 5251 |
| S.E./mean | $=505.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Potato.
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Maynaguri.

Ref :- W.B. 52(30).
Type:- 'D'. -

Object:- To study the effect of fungicides on the yield of Potato.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Maynaguri. (iii) 29.11.52. (iv) (a)

Ploughing, laddering and harrowing 3 times each. (b) N.A. (c) $10 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Between lines - $2^{\prime} 4^{\prime \prime}$ and between tubers $-9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Mustard oilcake at $22 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac} .+\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $5.0 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$. (vi) Darjeeling Red
Round. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding, hoeing and earthing up two times each. (ix) N A. (x) 22 to 23.3 .53 .

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control.
2. Perenox 4 lb in 100 gallons of water.
3. Diathane $\mathbf{Z}-78$ at 2 lb in 100 gallons of water.
4. Burdeaux mixture $1 \%$ - $(10 \mathrm{lb}$ lime $+10 \mathrm{lb} \mathrm{C/S})$ in 100 gallons of water.
5. Cupravit-4 lb in 100 gallons of water.
6. Copper sandoz -4 lb in 100 gallons of water.
7. Cuprous oxide- 4 lb in 10 J gallons of water.
8. Colloidal copper -3 pints in 100 gallons of water.
9. Copperson -4 lb in 100 gallons of water.
10. DESIGN :
(i) R B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $23^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$. (b) $23^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$. (v) Distance between plots $4^{\prime}$ and between block $3^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
11. GENERAL :
(i) Very poor. (ii) Late blight of potato under study. (iii) Yield of potato and percentage of leaf area infected on the basis of 10 plants/plot. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1952 . (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
12. RESULTS
(i) $2902 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $1144.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of potato in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 3390 |
| 2. | 2874 |
| 3. | 2786 |
| 4. - | 3039 |
| 5. | 2979 |
| 6 | 2036 |
| 7. | 2572 |
| 8. | 3371 |
| 9. | 3069 |
| S.E./mean | $572.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Potato.
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Maynaguri.

Ref:- W.B. 51 (29) :
Type :- 'D'.

Object :- To study the effect of D.D.T. on phytostimulation and the resultant yield of Potato.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Maynaguri. (iii) 2.12.51. (iv) (a) Land prepared by ploughing \& laddering 2 to 3 times. (b) N.A. (c) $13.5 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Between rows $24^{\prime \prime}$ and between tubers $9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) One tuber/hole. (v) B.M. at $10 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. Mustard cake at $13.5 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$. A/S. at 3.5 $\mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}$. (vi) Darjeeling Red round. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Earthing, weeding and hoeing. (ix) N.A. (x) 1 to 4.4.52.
2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control.
2. $0.10 \%$ D.D.T. spray in water.
3. $0.15 \%$
4. $0.20 \%$ " " "
5. $0.25 \% \quad$ " $\quad$,
6. $0.30 \%$ " $" \quad " \quad "$

Ist spraying on 21.1.52; 2nd spraying on 8.2.52.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $25^{\prime} \times 17.3^{\prime}$. (v) One guard row. (vi) Yes.

4, GENERAL :
(i) Poor. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of potato. (iv) (a) No. (b) No. (c) No. (v) (a) Burdwan and Cooch Bebar. (b) Nil. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $3097 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $669.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of Potato $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield. |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1. | 2148 |
| 2. | 3164 |
| 3. | 3758 |
| 4. | 3181 |
| 5. | 3174 |
| 6. | 3160 |
| S.E./mean. | $=273.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Potato.
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Midnapore.
Ref :- W.B. 50(39).
Type :- 'D'.
Object :-To study the effect of harmone therapy on Potato crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Napier grass (c) Cowdung at $75 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) Red laterite (b) Refer soil analysis, Midnapore (iii) 20.12 .50 (iv) (a) 6 ploughings and harrowings. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (d) Between tuber $-9^{\prime \prime}$ and between rows- $2^{\prime}$ (e) one tuber/hole. (v) Lime at $2 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac} .+$ Cowdung at $200 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. B.M. at $3 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (vi) Darjeeling Red Round (early) (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) ——. (x) 10.3.51.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Hormone
4. Saradix
5. Control
6. DESIGN:
(i) R.B D. (ii) (a) 3 (b) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) \& (b) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) NA. (ii) Perenox sprayed once at 1.5 lb ./ac. on 28.1 .51 (iii) Yield of potato tuber (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $5291 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) N.A.
(iii) NA .
(iv) Av. yield of potato in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 5696 |
| 2. | 5253 |
| 3. | 4925 |
| S.E./mean | $=$ N.A. |

Crop :- Potato.
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Midnapore.

Ref: : W.B. 52(36).
Type :- 'D'.

Object :--To study whether application of artificial harmones to the soil can increase the yield of Potato.

## 1. EASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No (b) Aus paddy (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite (b) Refer soil analysis, Midnapore (iii) 11.11.52 (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Sprouted whole tubers were used. (c) $10 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $2^{\prime}$ from row to row and $9^{\prime \prime}$ from tuber to tuber (e) N.A. (v) $100 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. cowdung. (vi) Darjeeling Red round (vii) Irrigated (viii) 2-3 times weeding done; earthing up done three times (ix) 1.10" (x) 8.3.53.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control
2. Hormone-A. [(a) Sprouted tubers soaked in a solution of harmone of the' strength 2 cc . in a pint of water for 10 minutes just lefore planting (b) second dose of hormone applied on the base of the plants when sprouts came out of the soil surface. The strength of the solution was 2 fluid ounces per gallon of water].
3. Seradix-A. [(a) Sprouted tubers soaked in a solution of the harmone of the strength 50 drods (about $2 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{cc}$.) in a pint of water (b) second dose of harmone applied on the base of plants' when sprouts came out of the soil surface. The strength of the solution was same as (a)].

## 3. DESIGN:

(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3 (b) N.A. (iii) 4 (iv) (a) $33^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$ (b) $1 / 100$ th ac. (v) Extreme two rows \& extreme two plants of each row. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair (ii) Slight incidence of virus. Sprayed thrice during the season with a mixture of 4 lb . of Perenox and 2 lb . of $50 \%$ water dispersible D.D.T. in 100 gallons of water about $2 \%$ of the total crop (iii) Yield of potato (iv) (a) 1952-53-continued. (b) Yes (c) N.A. (v) (a) Malda farm. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $18273 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $3069.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of potato in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yleld. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 17834 |
| 2. | 17954 |
| 3. | 19030 |
| S.E./mean | $=1534.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Potato.<br>Site :- State Agri. Farm, Midnapore.

Ref:- W.B. 53(33).
Type : ' 'D'.
Object :-To study whether application of artificial hormones to the soil can increase the yield of Potato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) Refer soil analysis, Midnapore (iii) 6.11 .53 (iv)
(a) N.A. (b) Sprouted whole tubers were used (c) N.A. (d) $2^{\prime}$ from row to row and $9^{\circ}$ from tuber to tuber (e) N.A. (v) $10 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$, of cowdung. (vi) Darjeeling Red Round. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 times weeding done. earthing up done three times. (ix) $1.50^{\prime \prime}$ (x) 13.2 .54 to 14.2.54.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

## 1. Control.

2. Harmone-A. [(a) Sprouted tubers soaked in a solution of harmone of the strength 2 cc. in a pint of water for 10 minutes just before planting (b) second dose of harmone applied on the base of potato when sprouts came out of the soil surface. The strength of the soultion was 2 fluid ounces in a gallon of water].
Seradix-A. [(a) Sprouted tubers soaked in a solution of the harmone of the strength 50 drops (about $2 \frac{1}{2} c$.) in a pint of water (b) Second dose of harmone applied on the base of plants when sprouts came out of the soils urface. The strength of the solution was same as (a)].
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3 (b) N.A. (iii) 4 (iv) (a) $33^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $1 / 100$ th ac. (v) Extreme two rows and extreme two plants of each row. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair (ii) Slight incidence of virus, sprayed thrice during the season with a mixture of 4 lb . of Perenox and 2 lb . of $50 \%$ water dispersible D.D.T. in 100 gallons of water. (iii) Yield of potato (iv) (a) 1952-53continued (b) Yes (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $15142 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $2817.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of potato in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 15666 |
| 2. | 13732 |
| 3. | 16029 |
| S.E./mean | $=1408.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop: Tomato.<br>Ref :- W.B. 52(50).<br>Site :- Horti. Res. Stn. Krishnagar.<br>Type :- ' M '.

Object :-To study the response to $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ alone and in combinations on the yield of Tomato.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Krishnagar. (iii) $7.10 .52 /$ 22.10.52. (iv) (a) $3-4$ ploughings and laddering. (Grass weeded out), (b) Transplanted. (c) - (d) $3^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$. (e) 1. (v) Nil. (vi) S-20 (Krishnagar Local). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding and hoeing thrice. (ix) $9.83^{\prime \prime}$ Approx. (x) 28.1.53-27.3.53.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{K}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{K}_{1}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S} ; \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot.
All the fertilisers mixed in proportion ; broadcast on 7.10 .52 and levelled.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 2 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $33^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) Distance between plots $3^{\prime}$ and between blocks $3^{\prime} ; 1$ row ( $1.5^{\prime}$ ) around each plot left as border. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of fruit. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1953 . (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $12584 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $1925 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only main effect of N and interaction NPK are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of Tomato in Ib ./ac

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{1}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 11131 | 11705 | 11418 | 10779 | 12057 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 12209 | 13433 | 12821 | 12606 | 13037 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 13695 | 13330 | 13512 | 14148 | 12882 |
| Mean | 12345 | 12823 | 12584 | 12509 | 12659 |
| $\mathrm{~K}_{0}$ | 11988 | 13030 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~K}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 12701 | 12616 |  |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of N | $=555.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $K$ or $P$ | $=453.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ or $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{K}$ table | $=785.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{K}$ table | $=641.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Tomato.<br>Site :- Horti. Res. Stn. Krishnagar.

## Ref :- W.B. 53(68). <br> Type :- ' $M$ '

Object :- To study the response to $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ alone and in combination on the yield of Tomato.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis Krishnagar. (iii) 6.10 .53 ; 29.10.53. (iv) (a) $3-4$ ploughings and weeding. (b) N.A. (c) $5-6 \mathrm{oz} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $3^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) S-20 (Krishnagar, Local). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding and hoeing 3-4 times. (ix) $2.48^{\prime \prime}$. (x) $25.1-$ 12-3.54.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{K}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{K}_{1}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S} ; \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. Pot.
All fertilizers mixed in proportion, broadcast on 17.10 .53 and land was levelled.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 2 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $33^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) Distance between plots $3^{\prime}$ and between blocks $5^{\prime} ; 1.5^{\prime}$ border around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of fruit. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1953 . (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A.
(vi) Nil. (vii) There was mortality of plants in control plots.
5. PESULTS :
(i) $9368 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 2840.0 lb ./ac.
(iii) Only main effect of N and interaction NPK áre significant.
(iv) Av. yield of Tomato in lb./ac.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 5053 | - 6956 | 5004 | 4978 | 7031 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 12243 | 8835 | 10539 | 10952 | 10126 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 10959 | 12161 | 11560 | 11237 | 11883 |
| Mean | 9418 | 9317 | 9368 | 9056 | 9680 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 9355 | 8756 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 9482 | 9878 |  |  |  |

S.E. of the marginal mean of $\mathrm{N} \quad=819.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
S.E of the marginal mean of $K$ or $P$
$=669.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of $\mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{K}$ or $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{K}$ table
$=1159.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of $P \times K$ table
$=946.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Sugarcane.
Site :- State. Agri. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref:- W.B. 51(5).
Type :- 'M'.

Object:-To find out the effect of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and placement of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the yield of Sugarcane.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) No. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis Burdwan. (iii) 16.1.51/23.1.51.
(iv) (a) N.A. (b) Cuttings placed horizontally in trenches $10^{\circ}$ deep. (c) N.A. (d) $3^{\prime \prime}$. (e) NA. (v) Co-421
(Ratoon) (Medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding and earthing up 3 times. (ix) N.A. (x) 11.3.52-24.3.52.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) Application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=\mathrm{N} 0 \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{P}_{1}=80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ broadcast ;
and $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}{ }_{1}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied in furrows $4^{\prime \prime}$ deep.
N as mixture of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and oil cake in $1: 1$ ratio and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.

## 3. DESIGN:

(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $44.5^{\prime} \times 35^{\prime}$. (b) $41.5^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (v) Distance between plots $3^{\prime}$ and blocks $4^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Very good. (ii) Not recorded. (iii) Yield of cane. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 66.75 ton/ac.
(ii) 8.89 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effects of N and P are highly significant ; interaction NP is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of cane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 45.25 | 69.53 | 63.08 | 59.29 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 68.14 | 72.36 | 73.89 | 71.46 |
| $\mathbf{P}^{\prime}{ }_{1}$ | 65.16 | 73.77 | 69.53 | 69.49 |
| Mean. | 59.52 | 71.89 | 68.83 | 66.75 |
| S.E. of any marginal mean S.E. of body of ta! le |  | $\begin{aligned} & =2.095 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =3.630 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

Crop:- Sugarcane.
Ref :- W.B. 52(5).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.
Type:- ' $M$ '.

Object:-To find out the effect of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and placement of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the yield of Sugarcane.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) Cuttings placed horizontally in trenches $10^{\prime \prime}$ deep. (c) N.A. (d) $3^{\prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Co-421 Nil. (Medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding done, earthing up 3 times. (ix) N.A. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) Application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=\mathrm{No} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{P}_{1}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ broadcast
and $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied in furrows 4" deep.

N as mixture of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and oil cake in $1: 1$ ratio and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 9.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 6
(a) $44.5^{\prime} \times 35^{\prime}$.
(b) $41.5^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (v) Yes. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Moderate. (ii) Sight attack of red rot. (iii) Yield of cane. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $22.16 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 3.76 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effects of N and P are highly significant. Interaction NP is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of cane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | Mean. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 13.91 | 21.11 | 25.06 | 20.03 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 20.90 | 21.00 | 23.88 | 21.93 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | 20.02 | 30.19 | 23.34 | 24.51 |
| Mean. | 18.28 | 24.10 | 24.09 | 22.16 |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =0.886 \text { ton/ac. } \\ \text { S.E. of body of the table } & =1.53 \text { ton/ac. }\end{array}$

Crop:- Sugarcane.
Ref:- W.B. 52(6)
Site :-State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.
Type: ' $M$ '
Object:-To find-out the effect of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and placement of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the yield of Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No (b) \& (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 16.1.52/24.1.52
(iv) (a) N.A. (b) Cuttings placed horizontally in trenches $10^{\prime \prime}$ deep. (c) N.A. (d) $3^{\prime}$ (e) N.A. (v) Nil
(vi) Co. 421 (Medium) (vii) Irrigated (viii) Weeding done; earthing up 3 times (ix) N.A. (x) 28.2.53
to 10.3.53.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=120 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) Application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \quad \mathrm{P}_{0}=$ No $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{P}_{1}=80 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ broadcast;
$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{\prime}=80 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathbf{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied in furrows $4^{\prime \prime}$ deep.
N as mixture of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and oil Cake in $1: 1$ ratio ; $\mathrm{P}_{2} \dot{\mathrm{O}}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9 (b) N.A. (iii) 6 (iv) (a) $44.5^{\prime} \times 35^{\prime}$ (b) $41.5^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$ (v) Yes (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Slight lodging reported (ii) Nil (iii) Yield of cane. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953 (b) No (c) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 31.09 ton/ac.
(ii) 8.50 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effect of N alone is highly significant.
(v) Av. yield of cane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 20.92 | 31.52 | 32.21 | 28.22 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 20.10 | 34.52 | 38.47 | 33.03 |
| $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ | 22.52 | 35.32 | 38.20 | 32.01 |
|  | 23.18 | 33.79 | 36.29 | 31.09 |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=2.00 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E of body of table | $=3.47 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Sugarcane<br>Site :-State Agri. Farm, Burdwan.

Ref :- W.B. 53(31)
Type:- ' $M$ '.

Object :-To find out the effect of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and placement of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the yield of Sugarcane.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No (b) \& (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan. (iii) 10.3.53 to 18.3.53. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Cuttings placed horizontally in trenches $10^{\prime \prime}$ deep (c) N.A. (d) $4^{\prime}$ (e) N.A. (v) Nil (vi) CO-421 (Plant) (vii) Irrigated (viii) Earthing up twice ; interculture done (ix) N.A. (x) 19.2.54 to 6.3.54.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) \& (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=120 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) Application of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=\mathrm{No} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{P}_{1}=80 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ broadcast and $\mathrm{P}_{1}{ }^{\prime}=80 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied in furrows $4^{*}$ deep.
N as mixture of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and oil cake in $1: 1$ ratio. $\quad \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii)(a) 9 (b) N.A. (iii) 6 (iv) (a) $44.5^{\prime} \times 35^{\prime}$. (b) $41.5^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (v) Yes (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Lodging reported. Lodging in plots where beavy doses of N was applied (ii) Attack of red rot. Rooting out the affected plants (iii) Yield of cane (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953 (b) No (c) N.A. (v) (a) No (b) N.A. (vi) Some plots were heavily damaged by red-rot. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $32.27 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 5.27 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effects of $\mathbf{N}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ are highly significant. Interaction is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of cane in ton/ac.


Crop:-Sugarcane.
Ref:- W.B. 52(45)
Site :-State Agri. Farm, Burdiwan.
Type:- M '.
Object :-To find-out the efficacy of different fertilizer mixtures on the yield of Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam (b) Refer soil analysis, Burdwan (iii) Jan. 1952 (iv)
(a) N A. (b) Cuttings placed horizontally in trenches $10^{\prime \prime}$ deep (c) N.A. (d) Inter row $3^{\prime}$ (e) N.A. (v) Nil (vi) Co 527 (Plant) (Medium.) (vii) 3 replications irrigated and 3 unirrigated. (viii) Weeding and earthing up 3 times (ix) Annual rainfall $59.62^{\prime \prime}$ (x) January to February 1953 (approximately).

## 3, TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no manure).
2. $60 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N ( $\frac{1}{2}$ as oil cake $+\frac{1}{2}$ as $A / S$ ).
3. $120 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right.$ as oil cake $+\frac{1}{2}$ as $\left.A / S\right)$.
4. $60 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N ( $\frac{1}{3}$ as sterameal $+\frac{2}{3}$ as inorganic mixture).
5. $120 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N ( $\frac{1}{3}$ stearameal $+\frac{2}{3}$ as inorganic mixture).

Applied on irrigated and unirrigated plots ( 3 replications) under each.
3.-DESIGN-:
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 5 (b) N.A.
(iii) 3 (iv) (a) $41^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$
(b) $37^{\prime} \times 29.5^{\prime}$ (v) Distance between plots $2^{\prime}$ and blocks 4' (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory (ii) Nil (iii) Cane yield data (iv) (à) No. (b) Nil (c) N.A. (v) (a) No (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 33.81 ton/ac.
(ii) 6.01 ton/ac.
(iii) Irrigation vs. no irrigation is significantly different. Control vs. others effect highly significant. Treatments are not significantly different among themselves.
(iv) Av. yield of cane in ton/ac.

S.E. of the treatment marginal means , $\quad, \quad,=2.46$ ton/ac.
S.E. of irrigated or unirrigated marginal means $=1.50$ ton/ac.
S. E. of body of table

$$
=3.47 \text { ton/ac. }
$$

Crop :- Sugarcane.
Ref:- W.B. 52(7)
Site :- Agri. Farm, Kadamkhali.
Type :- ' M '.
Object :- To find out the effect of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and placement of P on the yield of sugarcane.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) \& (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) $\mathrm{N}-0.06 \%$; $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}-0.05 \%$; $\mathrm{pH}-7.3$. (iii) N.A. (iv)
(a) N.A. (b) Cuttings placed horizontally in trenches $10^{\prime \prime}$ deep. (c) N.A. (d) Row to row-4' (c) N.A.
(v) Nil. (vi) Co 453 (Plant) ; late. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding done; earthing up 3 times. (ix) N.A
(x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) \& (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}:-\mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=6$ ) \& $\mathrm{N}_{2}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
( 2 Application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : $-\mathrm{P}_{0}=$ No $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{P}_{1}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ broadcast. and $\mathrm{P}_{1}{ }^{\prime}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied in furrows $4^{\prime \prime}$ deep.
N as mixture of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Oilcake in 1:1 ratio; $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $63^{\prime} \times 23^{\prime}$. (b) $60^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) Yes. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) No lodging. (ii) Nil. (iii). Yield of cane. (iv) (a) $195!$ to 1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 31.63 ton/ac.
(ii) 3.81 ton/ac.
(iii) Only N effect is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of cane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{8}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 27.55 | 31.96 | 35.24 | 31.58 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | 29.21 | 31.51 | 33.58 | 31.43 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1}^{\prime}$ | 26.72 | 33.43 | 35.46 | 31.87 |
| Mean | 27.83 | 32.30 | 34.76 | 31.63 |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =0.90 \text { ton/ac. } \\ \text { S.E. of body of table } & =1.55 \text { ton/ac. }\end{array}$

## Crop:- Sugarcane.

Site :- Agri. Farm, Kadamkhali.
Ref :- W.B. 53(25).
Type : ' ${ }^{\prime}$ ' .
Object :- To find out the effect of $N, P$ and placement of $P$ on the yield of sugarcane.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) \& (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) $\mathrm{N}-0.06 \% ; \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}=0.05 \% ; \mathrm{pH} .-7.3$. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Cuttings placed horizontally in trenches $10^{\prime \prime}$ deep.(c) N.A. (d) Row to row-4'. (c) N.A (v) Nil. (vi) Co. 453 (plant) ; late. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) earthing up twice; Interculture done. (ix) N.A. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) \& (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}:-\mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=50 \& \mathrm{~N}_{2}=120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) Application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ :- $\mathrm{P}_{0}=\mathrm{No}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{P}_{1}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ broadcast. and $\mathrm{P}_{1}{ }^{\prime}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied in furrows $4^{f}$ deep.
N as mixture of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Oilcake in $\mathbf{1}: 1$ ratio; $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $63^{\prime} \times 23^{\prime}$ (b) $60^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) Yes. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) No lodging ; Growth satisfactory: (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of cane. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 31.53 ton/ac.
(ii) 4.44 ton/ac.
(iii) Only $\mathbf{N}$ effect is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of cane in ton/ac.


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=1.05$ ton/ac: |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table | $=1.81$ ton/ac. |

Crop :- Sugarcane.
Site :- Agri. Farm, Kadamkhali.

Ref: W.B. 53(26)
Type:- ' M '.

Object :- To find out the effect of $N, P$ and Placement of $P$ on the yield of Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) $\mathrm{N}-0.06 \% \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}-0.05 \% ; \mathrm{pH}-7.3$ (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Cuttings placed horizontally in trenches $10^{\prime \prime}$ deep. (c) N.A. (d) Row to row- $4^{\prime}$.(e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Co. 313 (plant); (early) (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Earthing up twice, Interculture done. (ix) N.A. (x).N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) \& (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}:-\mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=60 \& \mathrm{~N}_{2}=120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) Application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}:-\mathbf{P}_{0}=$ No $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \quad \mathbf{P}_{1}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. $\mathbf{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ broadcast.
and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied in furrows $4^{\prime \prime}$ deep.
N as mixture of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Oilcake in $1: 1$ ratio $; \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DEESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $63^{\prime} \times 23^{\prime}$. (b) $60^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}($ v) Yes. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) No lodging. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of cane. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 19.32 ton/ac.
(ii) 3.11 ton/ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of cane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{z}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 19.27 | 20.35 | 21.75 | 20.46 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 18.00 | 19.15 | 20.18 | 19.11 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}^{\prime}$ | 17.90 | 18.27 | 18.96 | 18.38 |
| Mean | 18.39 | 19.26 | 20.30 | 19.32 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of any marginal mean <br> S. E. of body of tatle | $=0.73$ ton/ac. |  |  |  |

Crop:- Sugarcane.
Site :- State Chandanpur Farm, Plassey, Nadia.

Ref. :- W.B. 51(27).
Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object :-To find out the effect of $N, P$ and placement of $P$ on the yield of Sugarcana.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane Ratoon-Sunhemp (b) Sunhemp (c) Nil (ii) (a) Sandy loam (b) Refer soil analysis, Nadia.
(iii) November, 1951 (iv) (a) 2 tractor ploughings and 2 harrowings. (b) Setts placed horizontally end to end in trenches $10^{\prime \prime}$ deep. (c) -. (d) Distance between rows about 3'. (e) N.A. (v) Nil (vi) Co-453 (vii) Irrigated (viii) 3 weedings, 2 hoeings and 2 earthings. (ix) 55" approx. (x) Jan. 1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All Combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}:-\mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) Application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : $-\mathrm{P}_{0}=\mathrm{No} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{P}_{1}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ broadcast before final ploughing : and $\mathrm{P}_{1}^{\prime}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied in furrows $4^{\prime \prime}$ deep.
N as mixture of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in $1: 1$ ratio; $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. G.N.C. applied at planting while $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ applied half at planting, half during earthing up.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9 (b) N.A. (iii) 6 (iv) (a) $63^{\prime} \times 23^{\prime}$ (b) $60^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$ (v) Distance between plots $2^{\prime}$ and blocks $6^{\prime} ; 1$ guard row around each plot (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good (ii) Slight attack of borer (iii) Sucrose content and cane yield (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953 (b) No (c) N.A. (v) (a) Kadamkhali, Burdwan (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 24.69 ton/ac.
(ii) 6.52 ton/ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
v) Av. yield of cane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 24.28 | 28.71 | 25.83 | 26.27 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 23.29 | 24.60 | 28.70 | 25.53 |
| $\mathbf{P}^{\prime}{ }_{1}$ | 17.96 | 26.72 | 22.16 | 22.28 |
| Mean | 21.84 | 26.68 | 25.56 | 24.69 |
| S.E. of any marginal mean <br> S.E. of body of table |  | $\begin{aligned} & =1.54 \text { ton. } / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =2.66 \text { ton. } \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

Crop:- Sugarcane.
Ref :- W.B. $52{ }^{i(3)}$.
Site :-Chandanpur Farm, Plassey, Nadia.
Type :- ' ${ }^{\prime}$ '

Object :-To find out the effect of N, P and placement of Pon the yield of Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam (b) Refer soil analysis, Nadia. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) Cuttings placed horizontally in trenches $4^{\prime \prime}$ deep (c) N.A. (d) Row to row $4^{\prime}$ (e) N.A. (v) Nil (vi)

Co-453 (plant), late. (vii) Irrigated (viii) Weeding done; earthing up 3 times (ix) N.A. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All Combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) Application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=\mathrm{No} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{P}_{1}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ broadcast.
and $\mathrm{P}_{2}^{\prime}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied in furrows $4^{\prime \prime}$ deep.
N as mixture of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Oilcake in 1:1 ratio; $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.BiD. (ii) (a) $9{ }^{\prime}(\mathrm{b})$ N.A. (iii) 6 (iv) (a) $63^{\prime} \times 23^{\prime}$ (b) $60^{\prime}: 18^{\prime}$ (v) Yes (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) No lodging (ii) Nil (iii) Yield of cane (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953 (b) No (c) N.A. (v) (a) Kadamkhali, Burdwan (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 29.16 ton./ac.
(ii) 3.37 ton./ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of cane in ton./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{6}$ | $\cdots$ | $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | 29.84 |  | 30.42 | 27.05 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | 28.17 | 29.75 | 30.06 | 29.10 |
| $P_{1}^{\prime}$ | 28.26 | 29.82 | 29.03 | 29.33 |
| Mean | 28.76 | 30.00 | 28.71 | 29.04 |

S:E. of any marginal mean $\quad=0.79$ ton:/ac.
S.E. of body of table F-1.37 ton/ac.

## Crop :-Sugarcane. <br> Site :-Chandanpur Farm, Plassey, Nadia.

Ref :-W.B. 52 (4).
Type: © ${ }^{\mathbf{M}}$ '.

Object :-To find out the effect of N, P and placement of $P$ on the yield of Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) No (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam (b) Refer soil analysis, Nadia. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) N.A. (b)

Cutting placed horizontally in trenches $10^{\prime \prime}$ deep (c) N.A. (d) Row to row-4' (e) N.A. (v) Nil (vi) CoA53 (Ratoon) late, (vii) Irrigated (viii) Weeding done ; earthing up 3 times (ix) N.A. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All Combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) Application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=\mathrm{No} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{P}_{1}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ broadcast.
and $\mathrm{P}_{1}{ }^{\prime}=80 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied in furrows $4^{\circ}$ deep.
N as mixture of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Oilcake in 1: 1 ratio ; $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9 (b) N.A. (iii) 6 (iv) (a) $63^{\prime} \times 23^{\prime}$ (b) $60^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$ (v) Yes (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) No lodglog (ii) Nil (iii) Yield of Sugarcane (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953 (b) No (c) N.A. (v) (a) Kadamkhali, Burdwan (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 10.39 ton./ac.
(ii) 4.07 too. $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Ay. yield of cane in ton./ac.

S.E. of any marginal mean $\quad=0.96$ ton./ac.

Crop :- Sugarcane.
Ref :- W.B. 53(27).
Site :- Chandanpur Farm Plassey, Nadia.
Type :- 'M'.
Object:-To find out the effect of $N, P$ and placement of $P$ on the yield of sugarcane.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil (b) \& (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam (b) Refer soil analysis, Nadia. (iii) Date of harvesting of parent plant 14.2 .53 (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Cuttings placed horizontally in trenches $10^{*}$ deep (c) N.A. (d) Row to row-4' (e) N.A. (v) Nil (vi) Co 453 (late) (Ratoon) (vii) Unirrigated (viii) Earthing up twice; interculture done. (ix) N.A. (x) 31.12.53.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2; Application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=$ No $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{P}_{1}=80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ broadcast
and $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}{ }_{1}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied in furrows $4^{\prime \prime}$ deep.
N as mixture of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Oilcake in $1: 1$ ratio $; \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 9
(b) N.A.
(iii) 5
(iv) (a) $63^{\prime} \times 23^{\prime}$
(b) $60^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}(v)$ Yes
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Growth not favourable; no lodging. (ii) Nil (iii) Yield of cane (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953 (b) No (c) N.A. (v) (a) \& (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 9.52 ton./ac.
(ii) 3.31 ton. $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of cane in ton:/ac.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | Méan |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | 11.23 | 8.93 | 10.42 | 10.19 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | 7.41 | 10.67 | 10.86 | 9.65 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1}^{\prime}$ | 5.80 | 10.63 | 9.71 | 8.71 |
| Mean |  |  |  |  |

S.E. of any marginal mean $=0.78$ ton/ac.
S.E. of body of table $\quad=1.35$ ton./ac.

Crop :- Sugarcane.
Site .- Chandanpur Farm, Plassey, Nadia.

## Ref:- W.B. 51(26).

Type:- 'M'.

Object :-To find out the effect of $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{P}$ and placement of $\mathbf{P}$ on the yield of Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Ratoon-Sunhemp (b) Sunhemp (c) Nil (ii) (a) Sandy loam (b) Refer soil analysis, Nadia. (iii) Nov. 1951 (iv) (a) 2 tractor ploughings \& 2 harrowings. (b) Setts placed horizontally end to end in trenchs $10^{\prime \prime}$ deep. (c) N. A. (d) Between rows about $3^{\prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil (vi) Co-313 (vii) Irrigated (viii) 3 weedings, 2 hoeing and 2 earthing up (ix) $55^{\prime \prime}$ (x)•Jan. 1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS

All combinations of (1) \& (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) Application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=$ No $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} ; \mathrm{P}_{1}=80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ broadcast and $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}{ }_{1}=80 \mathrm{li}$./ac. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied in furrows $4^{\prime \prime}$ deep.
N as mixture of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and oilcake in $1: 1$ ratio ; $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super." G.N.C. applied at planting while $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ applied half at planting, half during earthing up.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 9 (b) N.A.
(iii) 6 (iv) (a) $63^{\prime} \times 23^{\prime}$
(b) $60^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime \prime}$
(v) Distance between
plots $2^{\prime}$ and blocks $6^{\prime} ; 1$ guard row around each plot (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good (ii)
i) Slight attack of borer. No control measure taken
(iii) Sucrose content and yield (iv) (a)
1951 to 1953
(b) No
(c) N.A.
(v) (a)
) Kadamkhali, Burdwan
(b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 12.26 ton. ac .
(ii) 2.61 ton./ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of cane in ton./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 12.55 | 11.33 | 12.31 | 12.06 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 13.01 | 12.39 | 10.95 | 12.12 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 13.53 | . 12.04 | 12.22 | 12.60 |
| Mean | 13.03 | 11.92 | 11.83 | 12.26 |
| S.E. any marginal mean.,$=0.62$ ton./ac. <br> S.E. of body of table : . $=1.07$ ton./ac. |  |  |  |  |

Crop :m Sugarcane.
Site :- Chandanpur Farm, Plassey, Nadia.

Ref :- W.B. 52(2).
Type : ' $M$ '.

Objeet :-To find out effect of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and placement of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the yield of Sugarcane.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nadia. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Cuttings placed horizontally in trenches $10^{\circ}$ deep. (c) N.A. (d) Row to row-4'. (c) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Co-313 (Plant) ; (early). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding done ; earthing up 3 times. (ix) N.A. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=12016 . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) Application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}: \mathrm{P}_{6}=$ No $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{P}_{1}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. broadcast and $P_{1}^{\prime}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. applied in furrows $4^{\prime}$ deep.
N as mixture of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Oilcake in $1: 1$ ratio ; $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $63^{\prime} \times 23^{\prime}$. (b) $60^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) $1 \frac{1^{\prime}}{} \times 2 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) No lodging. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of Sugarcane. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Kadamkhali, Burdwan. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 13.06 ton/ac.
(ii) 2.83 ton/ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of cane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 13.04 | 12.92 | 13.52 | 13.16 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 16.07 | 12.88 | 11.23 | 13.39 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | 12.30 | 13.75 | 11.81 | 12.62 |
| Mean | 13.80 | 13.18 | 12.19 | 13.06 |
| S.E. of any marginal mean S.E. of body of table |  | $\begin{aligned} & =0.67 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =1.15 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

Crop:- Sugarcane.
Site :- Chandanpur Farm, Plassey, Nadia.

Ref :- W.B. 52(1).
Type: :- ' M '.

Object :-To find out the effect of $N, P$ and placement of $P$ on the yield of Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nadia. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Cuttings placed horizontally in trenches $10^{\prime \prime}$ deep. (c) N.A. (d) Row to row-4'. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Co-313 (Ratoon) ; (early). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding done; earthing up 3 times. (ix) N.A. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of N : $\quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) Application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=\mathrm{N}$ o $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{P}_{1}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. broadcast
and $P_{1}{ }^{\prime}=80 \mathrm{lb} . / a c$. applied in furrows $4^{\prime \prime}$ deep.
N as mixture of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Oil cake in $1: 1$ ratio ; $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $63^{\prime} \times 23^{\prime}$, (b) $60^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) $1 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime} \times 2 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) No lodging. (ii) Nil. (iii) Cane yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Kadamkhali, Burdwan. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 9.77 ton/ac.
(ii) 1.81 ton/ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of cane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 9.73 | 10.94 | 9.07 | 9.91 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 10.00 | 11.31 | 8.87 | 10.06 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1}^{\prime}$ | 9.04 | 9.79 | 9.14 | 9.32 |
| Mean | 9.59 | 10.68 | 9.03 | 9.77 |


| S.E: of any marginal mean | $=0.43$ ton/ac. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table | $=0.74$ ton/ac. |

Crop :- Sugarcane.
Ref:-W.B. 53(28)
Site :-Chandanpur Farm, Plasse y, Nadia.
Type :- 'M'.

Object:-To find-out the effect of $N, P$ and placement of $P$ on the yield of Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (b) \& (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nadia. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) Cuttings placed horizontally in trenches $10^{\prime \prime}$ deep. (c) N.A. (d) Row to row-4'. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Co-453 (Plant) ; late. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Earthing up twice ; interculture done. (ix) N.A. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}:-\mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \quad \mathrm{~N}_{1}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=120 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) Application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : $-\mathrm{P}_{0}=\mathrm{No} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{P}_{1}=80 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. broadcast and $P_{1}{ }^{\prime}=80 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. applied in furrows $4^{\prime \prime}$ deep.
N as mixture of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Oilcake in $1: 1$ ratio ; $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $63^{\prime} \times 23^{\prime}$. (b) $60^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) $1 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime} \times 2 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Growth-satisfactory; No lodging. (ii), Nil. (iii) Yield of sugarcane. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Kadamkhali, Burdwan. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 28.28 ton/ac.
(ii) 2.28 ton/ac.
(iii) $\mathbf{N}$ effect is highly significant. $\mathbf{P}$ effect is significant while interaction NP is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of case in ton/ac-

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | 27.61 | 29.71 | 31.09 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | 25.30 | 28.30 | 29.66 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | 26.94 | 28.41 | 27.47 |
| Mean. | 26.62 | 28.81 | 29.41 |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =0.54 \text { ton/ac. } \\ \text { S.E. of body of table } & =0.93 \text { ton/ac. }\end{array}$

Crop:- Sugarcane.
Ref :- W.B. 51(28).
Site :-Kadamkhali Farm, Plassey, Nadia.
Type :- ' M '.
Object :-To study the effect of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and placement of P on the yield of Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Ratoon-Sunhemp. (b) Sunhemp. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) $\mathrm{N}_{2}-0.6 \%$; $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}-$ $.05 \%$; $\mathrm{pH}-7.3$. (iii) Nov. 1951. (iv) (a) 2 tractor ploughings \& 2 harrowings (b) Setts placed horizontally in trenches $10^{\circ}$ deep. (c) N.A. (d) between rows $3^{\prime}$ approx. (e) N.A, (v) Nil. (vi) Co-313. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 3 weedings, 2 hoeings \& 2 earthings. (ix) 55". (x) Jan. 1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS ;

All combinations of (1) \& (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=120 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) Application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ :-. $\mathrm{P}_{0}=$ No $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{P}_{1}=80 \mathrm{Jb} / \mathrm{ac}$. broadcast
and $\mathrm{P}_{1}^{\prime}=80 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. applied in furrows $4^{\prime \prime}$ deep.
N as mixture of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in $1: 1$ ratio $; \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. G.N.C. applied at planting while $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ applied half at planting, half during earthing up.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $63^{\prime} \times 23^{\prime}$. (b) $60^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) Distance between plots $2^{\prime}$ blocks $6^{\prime}$. $1 \frac{12^{\prime}}{} \times 2 \frac{2^{\prime}}{}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Slight attack of borer. No control measures taken. (iii) Sucrose content \& cane yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Burdwan, Chandanpur. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) NiL.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 30.28 ton/ac.
(ii) 2.09 ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of cane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 27.82 | 31.82 | 30.49 | 30.04 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | 30.26 | 29.92 | 30.53 | 30.24 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | 30.92 | 29.64 | 31.12 | 30.56 |
| Mean | 29.67 | 30.46 | 30.71 | 30.28 |

S.E. of any marginal mean $=0.49$ ton/ac.
S.E. of body of table $\quad=0: 85$ ton/ac.

## Crop :- Sugarcane. <br> Ref :- W.B. 52(10).

Site :- Kadamkhali Farm, Plassey, Nadia.
Type :- 'M'.
Object :- To find out the effect of N, P and placement of P on the yield of Sugarcane.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy. loam. (b) $\mathrm{N}-0.06 \%$; $\mathrm{P}-0.05 \% \mathrm{PH}-7.3$. (iii) November-

December. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Cutting placed horizontally in trenches $10^{\prime \prime}$ deep. (c) N.A. (d) Row to row-4'. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Co. 313 (plant) (early). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding done; earthing 3 times. (ix) N.A. (x) March.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}:-\quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) Application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}:-\mathrm{P}_{0}=\mathrm{No} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{P}_{1}=80 \mathrm{ib}$./ac. broadcast
and $P_{1}^{\prime}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. applied in furrows $4^{\prime \prime}$ deep.
N as mixture of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Oil cake in $1: 1$ ratio; $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $63^{\prime} \times 23^{\prime}$. (b) $60^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) $1 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime} \times 1 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) No lodging. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of sugarcane. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Burdwan, Chandanpur. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 25.47, ton/ac.
(ii) 3.63 ton $/ \mathrm{a} c$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av, yield of cane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 26.64 | 26.37 | 27.53 | 26.85 |
| $\dot{P}_{1}$ | 26.35 | 24.71 | 25.21 | 25.42 |
| $\therefore \mathbf{P}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | 23.99 | 23.31 | 25.12 | 24.14 |
| Mean | 25.66 | 24.80 |  | 25.47 |

S.E. of any marginal mean $=0.86$ ton/ac.
S.E of body of table $=1.48$ ton/ac.

Crop :- Sugarcane.
Ref :- W.B. 52(8).
Site :- Kadamkhali Farm, Plassey, Nadia.
Type :- ' $M$ '.
Object:- To find out the effect of $N, P$ and placement of $P$ on the yield of sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) $\mathrm{N}-0.06 \%$; $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}-0.05 \%$; $\mathrm{pH}-7.3$. (iii) N.A. (iv)
(a) N.A. (b) Cuttings placed horizontally in trenches $10^{\prime \prime}$ deep. (c) N.A. (d) Row to row-4'. (e) N.A.
(v) Nil. (vi) CO-313 (Ratoon) ; (early). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding done; earthing up 3 times. (ix) N.A.
(x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) \& (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}:-$
$\mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) Application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}:-\mathrm{P}_{0}=\mathrm{N}_{0} \cdot \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{P}_{1}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. broadcast and $P_{1}{ }^{\prime}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. applied in furrows $4^{\prime \prime}$ deep.
N as mixture of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Oil cake in $1: 1$ ratio ; $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.

## 3. DESIGN:

(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $63^{\prime} \times 23^{\prime}$. (b) $60 \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) $1 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime} \times 2 \frac{1}{2^{\prime}}$ (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) No lodging. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of sugarcane. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Burdwan, Chandanpur. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 12.29 ton/ac.
(ii) 2.09 ton/ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of cane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 11.35 | 11.65 | 12.10 | 11.70 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 10.67 | 13.09 | 12.49 | 12.08 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}^{\prime}$ | 11.73 | 12.50 | 15.00 | 13.08 |
| Mean | 11.25 | 12.41 | 13.20 | 12.29 |

S.E. of any marginal mean $\quad=0.49$ ton/ac.
S.E. of body of table $\quad=0.85$ ton/ac.

| Crop :- Sugarcane. | Ref :- W.B. 51 (29). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Kadamkhali Farm, Plassey, Nadia. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :- To study the effect of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and placement of P on the yield of Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Ratoon-Sunhemp. (b) Sunhemp. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) $\mathrm{N}_{2}=.06 \% ; \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}=$ $.05 \% ; \mathrm{pH}-7.3$. (iii) ${ }_{\mathbf{I}}$ November 1951. (iv) (a) 2 tractor ploughings $\& 2$ harrowings. (b) Setts placed horizontally end to end in trenches $10^{\prime \prime}$ deep. (c) N.A. (d) between rows $3^{\prime}$ approximately. (e) N.A. (v) N.I. (vi) CO-453. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 3 weedings, 2 hoeings \& 2 earthings. (ix) 55*. (x) Jan. 1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) \& (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}:-\quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \quad \mathrm{~N}_{1}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) Application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}:-\mathrm{P}_{0}=$ No $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{P}_{1}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. broadcast and $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}{ }_{1}=80 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. applied in furrows $4^{\prime \prime}$ deep.
N as mixture of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and oilcake in $1: 1$ ratio $; \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{1}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $63^{\prime} \times 23^{\prime}$. (b) $60^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) Distance between plots $2^{\prime} \&$ blocks $6^{\prime} ; 1 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}{ }^{\prime} \times 2 \frac{\frac{1}{2}^{\prime}}{}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Slight attack of borer. No control measures taken. (iii) Sucrose content and Sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Burdwan, Chandanpur. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 42.47 ton/ac.
(ii) 3.61 ton/ac.
(iii) N effect is highly significant. P effect is significant while interaction NP is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of cane in ton/ac.


Crop : Sugarcane.
Site :-Kadamkhali Farm, Plassey, Nadia.

Ref:-W.B. 52(9).
Type :-'M'.

Object :-To find out the effect of N, P and placement of $\mathbf{P}$ on the yield of Sugarcane.

1. BÄSAL C̈ONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) Nil (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) $\mathrm{N}_{2}-0.06 \% ; \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}-0.05 \% ; \mathrm{pH}-7.3$. (iii) N.A. (iv)
(a) N.A. (b) Cuttings placed horizontally in trenches $10^{\prime \prime}$ deep. (c) N.A. (d) Row to row-4'. (e) N.A. (v)

Nil. (vi) Co-463 (Ratoon) ; late. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding done; earthing up 3 times. (ix) N.A.
(x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0 ; \mathrm{N}_{1}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=12$ ) lb ./ac.
(2) Application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \quad \mathrm{P}_{0}=$ No $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{P}_{1}=80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. broadcast
and $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}{ }_{1}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. applied in furrows $4^{\prime \prime}$ deep.
N as mixture of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Oil cake in $1: 1$ ratio; $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $63^{\prime} \times 23^{\prime}$. (b) $60^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) $2 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}$ along length and $1 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ along breadth. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) No lodging. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of sugarcane. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953. (b) No.(c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil,

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 24.09 ton/ac.
(ii) 4.55 ton/ac.
(iii) Only N effect is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of cane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 19.66 | 23.63 | 29.92 | 24.40 |
| $\dot{P}_{1}$ | 20.07 | - 24.53 | 26.66 | 23.75 |
| . . . $\mathbf{P}^{\prime}$ | 21.92 | 24.13 | 26.32 | 24.12 |
| Meàn | 20.55 | 24.10 | 27,63 | 24.09 |

S.E. of any marginal mean $=1.07$ ton/ac.
S.E. of body of table $\quad * \quad 1.86$ tonjac.

## Crop :-Sugarcane.

Ref :-W.B. 52(12).
Site :-Palimath Farm, Plassey, Nadia.
Type :-'M'.
Object :-To find out the effect of N in combination with P on the yield of Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nadia. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Cuttings placed horizontally in trenches $10^{\prime \prime}$ deep. (c) N.A. (d) Row to row-4'. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) CO. 527 (plant, Medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding done. Earthing up 3 times. (ix) N.A. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control.
4. $123 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+24 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
5. 164 :, " +32 " "
6. 205 , " +40 "
7. 287 " " +56 "
8. 369 ", +72 ,"
9. 492 " " +96 ",

N applied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}: \quad \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 7 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $52^{\prime} \times 42^{\prime}$. (b) $48^{\prime} \times 38^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) No lodging. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of cane. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nit.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 22.95 ton/ac.
(ii) 2.96 ton/ac.
(iii) The treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of cane in ton/ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 22.04 |
| 2. | 21.07 |
| 3. | 22.23 |
| 4. | 23.65 |
| 5. | 23.40 |
| 6. | 23.80 |
| 7. | 24.47 |
| S.E./mean | $=1.21$ ton/ac. |


| Crop :-Sugarcane. | Ref :-W.B. 53(29). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :-Palimath Farm, Plassey, Na dia. | Type :-'M'. |

Object:-To find out the effect of N in combination with P on the yield of sugarcane (Mill zone).

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nadia. (iii) 9.4.53. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Cuttings placed horizontally in trenches $10^{\prime \prime}$ deep. (c) N.A. (d) Row to row-4'. (c) N.A. (vi) Co-527 (Ratoon) Medium. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Earthing up twice ; Interculture done. (ix) N.A. (x) 1.2.54.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control.
2. $123 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+24 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
3. 164 " , +32 " ,
4. 205 " $\quad+40$ " "
5. 287 " " +56 " "."
6. 369 " +72 " " "
7. 492 " +96 ". "

N as oil cake and $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S} ; \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
Half the dose of $\mathbf{N}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ applied before planting and remaining half during earthing up (final).
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 7 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $52^{\prime} \times 42^{\prime}$. (b) $48^{\prime} \times 38^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Growth not favourable. No lodging. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of cane. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N. A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 826 ton/ac
(ii) 2.26 ton/ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av, yield of cane in ton/ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 7.88 |
| 2. | 8.21 |
| 3. | 7.51 |
| 4. | 10.35 |
| 5. | 7.66 |
| 6. | 8.30 |
| 7. | 7.90 |
| S.E./mean | $=0.92$ ton/ac. |

Crop: : Sugarcane.
Site :-Palimath Farm, Plassey, Nadia.

Ref :-W.B. 52(11).
Type : $\iota^{\prime} \mathrm{M}$ '.

Object:-To find out the effect of $N$ in combination with $P$ on the yield of Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nadia. (iii) N.A. (iy) (a) N.A. (b) Cuttings placed horizontally in trenches $10^{\prime \prime}$ deep. (c) N.A. (d) Row to row-4'. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) CO 453 (plant). (vii) Nil... (viii) Weeding done; earthing up 3 times. (ix) N.A. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control.
4. $123 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+24 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
5. 164 " $\quad+32 \quad "$
6. 205 , " +40 "
7. 287 " $\quad+56$ "
8. 369 " +72 "
9. 492 " +96 "

N applied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S} ; \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R B.D. (ii) (a) 7. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $52^{\prime} \times 42^{\prime}$. (b) $48^{\prime} \times 38^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) No lodging. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of sugarcane. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1953 . (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 24.33 ton/ac.
(ii) 2.42 ton/ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ signifliantly.
(iv) Av. yield of cane in ton/ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 22.88 |
| 2. | 24.67 |
| 3. | 23.18 |
| 4. | 25.79 |
| 5. | 24.05 |
| 6. | 25.10 |
| 7. | 21.67 |
| S.E/mean | $=0.987$ to.a/ac. |

Crop:-Sugarcane.
Site : $m$ Palimath Farm, Plassey, Nadia.
Ref :~W.B. 53(30).
Type:- 'M'.
Object:-To find out the effect of $N$ in conbination with $P$ on the yield of Sugarcane (Mill zoze).

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nadia. (iii) 9.4.53. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) Cuttings placed horizontally in trenches $10^{\prime \prime}$ deep. (c) N.A. (d) Row to row-4' (e) N.A. (v) Nil.
(vi) Co-453 (Ratoon) (late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Earthing up thrice; interculture done. (ix) N.A. (x) 1.2.54.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control.
2. 123 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+24 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
3. $164 ">+32 \quad "$
4. 205 " ", +40 "
5. 287 " ", +56 "
6. $369 \quad ", \quad$ " $\quad$ "
7. 492 " ", +96 "

Source of N is oil cake and $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Source of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ is Super.
Half dose of N and P applied before planting and remaining half at earthing up.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 7. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $52^{\prime} \times 42^{\prime} \cdot$ (b) $48^{\prime} \times 38^{\prime}$.(v) $2^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Growth not favourable. No lodging. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of Sugarcane. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 15.77 ton/ac.
(ii) 2.63 ton/ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of cane in ton/ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 14.00 |
| 2. | 16.43 |
| 3. | 18.52 |
| 4. | 16.71 |
| 5. | 15.39 |
| 6. | 14.55 |
| 7. | 14.80 |
| S.E./mean | $=1.072$ ton/ac. |

\author{
Crop:-Sugarcane. <br> Site :-Agri. Farm, Srinagar <br> ```
Ref :-W.B. 52(13): <br> Type :- M .

```
}

Object :-To find out the effect of \(\mathbf{N}\) on the yield of Sugarcane.
1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Mustard. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Srinagar. (iii) N.A. (iv)
(a) N.A. (b) Cuttings placed horizontally in trenches \(4^{\prime \prime}\) deep. (c) N.A. (d) \(4^{\prime}\). (e) N.A. (v) No. (vi) Co. 527 (Plant) ; Late Medium. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (i) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :
1. \(120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\). of N
2. \(80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\). of \(\mathrm{N} /\)
3. Control (no manure).

N as \(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}\) \& mustard cake in \(1: 1\) ratio.
\(\because\).
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 9. (iv) (a) \(36^{\prime} \times 40^{\prime}\). (b) \(30^{\circ} \times 36^{\prime}\). (v) \(3^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}\). (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) No. (iii) Yield of cane. (iv) (a) 1952-1952. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) Plantation hàd been heavily damaged due to draught. There had been practically to ráan sitice the plantatiôn tod beến cömpléted. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 50.23 ton/ac.
(ii) 2.40 ton/ac.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of cane in lb./ac.
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
Treatment & Av. yield \\
1. & 55.35 \\
2. & 51.43 \\
3. & 43.92 \\
S.E./mean & \(=0.68\) ton/ac.
\end{tabular}

Câop :-Sügarcane,
Site :-Agri. Farm, Srinagar.
Rëf :-W.B. 52(14):
Type: ' M '

Object:-To find out the effect of N on the yield of Sugarcane.
1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Mustard. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer,soil analysis, Srinagar. (iii) N:A. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Cuttings placed horizontally in trenches \(4^{\prime \prime}\) deep. (c) N.A. (d) 4'. (e) N.A. (v) No. (vi) Co. 527 (Ratocn) ; Late Medium: (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) N.A.

\section*{2. TREATMENTS :}
1. \(120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\). of N
2. \(80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\). of N
3. Control (no manure)

N as \(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}\) \& mustard cake in \(1: 1\) ratio.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii). 9. (iv) (a) \(36^{\prime} \times 40^{\prime}\). (b) \(30^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime}\). (v) \(3^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}\). (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) No. (iii) Yield of cane. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1952. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

\section*{5. RESULTS :}
(i) 27.65 ton/ac.
(ii) 1.38 ton/ac.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of cane in ton/ac.
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Treatment & Av. yield \\
1. & 28.41 \\
2. & 28.08 \\
3. & 26.45 \\
S.E./mean & \(=9.2\) ton/ac.
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
Crop :- Sugarcane. & Ref :~W.B. 51(3e). \\
Site : \(\quad\) Rural Reconstruction Institute, Sriniketan. & Type :~ 'M'.
\end{tabular}

Object :-To study the effect of \(N, P\) and placement of \(P\) on the yield of Sugarcane.
1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Aman paddy. (c) B.M. at \(60 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+\) Mustard cake at \(30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{N}\) (ii) (a) Laterite (b) Refer soil analysis, Sriniketan. (iii) \(27.2 .51 / 2.3 .51\). (iv) (a) \(4-5\) cross ploughings, laddering \& levelling of soil. (b) Setts placed in trenches \(10^{\prime \prime}\) deep. (c) N.A. (d) between plants \(9^{\prime \prime} \&\) rows \(3^{\prime}\). (e) N.A. (v) Nil (vi) Co. 527 . (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 4 spadings \& 5 weedings. (ix) \(35.62^{\prime \prime}\). (x) 26.1.52.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) \& (2)
(1) 3 levels of N : \(\quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=60\) and \(\mathrm{N}_{2}=120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(2) Application of \(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=\) No \(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{P}_{1}=80 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\). broadcast and \(\mathbf{P}^{\prime}{ }_{1}=80 \mathrm{lb}\)./ac. applied in furrows \(4^{\prime \prime}\) deep.
N as mixture of \(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}\) and oilcake in 1:1 ratio ; \(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\) as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) \(3 \times 3\) Fact. R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) \(55^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}\). (b) \(55^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}\). (v) Nil. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Very poor. (ii) Affected by white and red rot. 0.25 Gamaxane added to soll thrice after a weekly interval. (iii) Yield of cane. (iv) (a) No. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Kadamkhali, Chandanpur, Burdwan. (b) N.A. (vi) Due to poor rain and want of irrigation facilities there was very poor germination and results obtained can not be relied upon. The expt. was therefore abandoned (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 9.43 ton \(/ \mathrm{ac}\).
(ii) 5.14 ton./ac.
(iii) Only N effect is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of cane in ton./ac.
\begin{tabular}{l|llr|r} 
& \(\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{0}}\) & \(\mathrm{N}_{1}\) & \(\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{2}}\) & Mean \\
\hline \(\mathrm{P}_{0}\) & 4.66 & 11.17 & 7.65 & 7.83 \\
\(\mathrm{P}_{1}\) & 8.39 & 11.32 & 14.88 & 11.53 \\
\(\mathrm{P}_{1}^{\prime}\) & 6.66 & 8.73 & 12.03 & 8.94 \\
\hline Mean & 6.37 & 10.41 & 11.52 & 9.43 \\
S.E. of any marginal mean & \(=1.21\) ton./ac. \\
S.E. of body of table & \(=2.10\) ton./ac.
\end{tabular}
```

Crop :m Jute.
Ref:- W.B. 53(73).
Site :- State Agri Farm, Malda.

```

Object :- To compare the effect of two doses of \(A / S\) and \(C / N\) on the yield of Jute.
1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Malda. (iii) 18.5.53. (iv) (a) 4-5 ploughings \(\&\) laddering. (b) Sowing in lines \(I^{\prime}\) apart. (c) 3 Srs./ac. (d) \& (e) N.A. (v) Compost at 4 ton./ac. (vi) Olitorious. (vii) Unirrigated (viii) 1st weeding on 28.6 and 2nd on 21.7 Extraction of fibre on \(4,6.10 .53\) (ix) \(59.03^{\prime \prime}\). (x) 19.9.53.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations o: (1) \& (2) + a Control.
(1) 2 levels of \(\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{3}=33\) and \(\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}\)./ac.
(2) 2 sources of \(\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}\) and \(\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}\).

A/S \& C/N were mixed with 3 times its weight of dry earth and then top dressed on 1st July \& 2nd July 1953 respectively.
3. DESIGN
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 (b) N.A. (iii) 5 , (iv) (a) \(20^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}\). (b) \(18^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}\). (v) Distance between plots \& blocks \(2^{\prime}, 1^{\prime}\) ring round each plot. (vi) Yes.

GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) When the crops were two months old Jute semi-loopers were found feeding on tender leaves. It was not serious pest and was controlled by hand picking. (iii) Greetn weight of plants \& dry fibre (iv) (a) 1953 to 1955. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Krishnagar \& Berhampore. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) \(1616 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(ii) \(239.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of jute in lb./ac.
\begin{tabular}{c|cc|c}
\multicolumn{2}{c}{ Control \(=1524\)} & \(\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\). & \\
& \(\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{1}}\) & \(\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}}\) & Mean \\
\hline \(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}\) & 1532 & 1789 & 1660 \\
\(\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}\) & 1563 & 1673 & 1618 \\
\hdashline Mean & 1547 & 1731 & 1639
\end{tabular}
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =75.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of body of table } & =106.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
\]
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
Crop :- Jute. & Ref :- Scheme for Manurial Trials \\
& (Stewart's Scheme), 1952. \\
Site :- Burdwan (West Bengal.) & Type :- 'M'.
\end{tabular}

Object :-To find the effect of different doses of fertilisers on the yield of Jute in different soil regions under survey.

\section*{1. BASAL CONDITIONS :}
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Jute. (c) Cultivators' normal practice. (ii) Alluvial, light \& medium texture. (iii) Cultivators' normal practice. (iv) Capsularis (Local). (v) (a) to (e) Cultivator's normal practice. (vi) AprilJune. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) Annaul rainfall-49.20". (x) Sept. to Oct.

\section*{2. TREATMENTS :}
1. Control (cultivators' normal practice).
2. 30 lb ./ac. of N as \(\mathbf{A / S}\) over cultivators' normal practices.
3. \(30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\). of \(\mathrm{N}+25 \mathrm{lb} . j \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{K}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}\) as Mur. of Pot. The fertilisers were applied as top dressing when the plants were 4 weeks old in the plots.
3. DESIGN :
(i), (ii) An experimental plot of size varying from \(\frac{1}{3}\) rd to \(\frac{z}{3} \mathrm{rd}\) of an acre was selected at random in each selected village. The plot was then sub divided into three sub-plots of nearly equal size and three treatments were applied at random in the sub-plots. Two centres of two circular cuts of \(6^{\prime}-7^{\prime \prime}\) radius each were losated at random within each sub-plot. The wights of green plants for two cuts were noted seperately but for dry fibre con ined weights for two cuts were noted. (iii) \(\frac{1}{8}\) to \(\frac{9}{3}\) of an ac. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Moderate
(ii) NA .
(iii) Dry fibre
(iv) (a) 1952 to 1954
(b) N.A.
(c) N.A. (v) N.A.
(vi) \&
(vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :

Av. yield of dry fibre in lb./ac.
c
\begin{tabular}{cc} 
Treatment & Av. yield. \\
1. & 1087 \\
2. & 1356 \\
3. & 1474 \\
G.M. & 1306 \\
S.E./mean & 32.9 \\
No. of experiments & 21 \\
Significance & Highly significant.
\end{tabular}

Crop :~ Jute.

\section*{Site :- Burdwan (West Bengal).}

Ref :- Scheme for Manurial Trials (Stewart's Scheme), 1953.

\section*{Type :- ' M '.}

Object:-To find the effect of different doses of fertilisers on the yield of Jute in different soil regions under survey.
1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) Alluvial, PH varied from 5.4 to 7.4 (iii) N.A. (iv) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) NA. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) N.A.

\section*{2. TREATMENTS:}
1. Control (cultivators' normal practice).
2. 30 lb ./ac. of \(\mathbf{N}\) as \(\mathbf{A} / \mathbf{S}\) over cultivators' normal practice.
3. \(30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\). of \(\mathrm{N}+25 \mathrm{lb}\)./ac. \(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) as Mur. of Pot. Fertilisers were applied as top dressing when the plants were four weeks old.

\section*{3. DESIGN :}
(i) \& (ii) An experimental plot of size varying from \(\frac{1}{3}\) rd to \(\frac{3}{3}\) rd of an acre was selected at random in each selected village. The plot was then sub divided into three sub-plots nearly equal size and three treatments were applied at random in the sub-plots. Two centres of two circular cuts of \(6^{\prime}-7^{\prime \prime}\) radius each were located at random within each sub plot. The weights of green plants for two cuts were noted seperately but for dry fibre combined weights of the two cuts were noted. (iii) to \(\frac{1}{3}\) of an ac. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(N.) A.
(ii) \(\mathbf{N} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{A}}\).
(iii) N.A.
(iv):(a) 1952 to 1135
(b) N.A.
(c) N.A. (v) N.A.
(vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:

Av. yield of dry fibre in \(1 \mathrm{~b}: / \mathrm{ac}\) :

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Crop :- Jute. & Ref :- Scheme for Manurial Trials (Stewart's \\
\hline & Scheme), 1952: \\
\hline Ho & pe \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Object. To find the effect of different doses of fertilizers on the yield of Jute in different soil regions under survey.
1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Jute. (c) Cultivators' normal practice. (ii) Sandy loam; light and medium texture soil.
(iii) Cultivators' normal practice. (iv) Capsularis (Local). (v) (a) to. (e) Cultivators' normai practice.
(vi) April-June. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) \(52.77^{\prime \prime}\). (x) Sept. to October.
2. TREATMENTS :
1. Control (cultivators' normal practice).
2. 30 lb ./ac. of N as \(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}\) over cultivators' normal practice.
3. 30 lb ./ac. of \(\mathrm{N}+25 \mathrm{lb}\)./ac. of \(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) as Mur. of Pot.

The fertilizers were applied as top dressing when the plants were 4 wieeks old.
3. DESIGN :
(i), (ii) An experimental plot of size varying from \(\frac{1}{3}\) rd to \(\frac{2}{3}\) rd of an acre was selected at random in each selected village. The plot was then sub-divided into three sub-plots of nearly equal size and three treatments were applied at random in the sub-plots. Two centres of two circular cuts of \(6^{\prime}-7^{\prime \prime}\) radius each were located at random within each sub-plot. The weights of green plants for two cuts were noted seperately but for dry fibre combined weights of the two cuts were noted. (iii) \(\frac{1}{3}\) to \(\frac{2}{2}\) of an ac. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Not satisfactory. (ii) N.A. (iii) Jute yield. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1954. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :

Av. yield of dry frore in:lb:/ac:-
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Treathent \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & Av. yletd \\
\hline \(1{ }^{1}\). & \(93{ }^{\text {a }}\) \\
\hline 2. & 1148 \\
\hline 3. & 1175 \\
\hline G.M. & 1085 \\
\hline S.E./mean & 26.3 \\
\hline No. of experiments & - 29 \\
\hline Significance & Highly significant \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Crop : Jute.
Ref :- Scheme for Manurial Trials (Stewart's. Scheme) 1953.
Site :- Hooghly (West Bengal). Type 'M'.
Object:-To find the effect of different doses of fertilizers on the yield of Jute in different soil regions under survey.
1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) Sandy clay loam pH varied from 5.2 to 7.1 . (iii) N.A. (iv) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) N.A. (viii) N.A. (ix) N A. (x) N A.
2. TREATMENTS :
1. Control (cultivators' normal practice).
2. \(30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\). of N as \(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}\) over cultivators' normal practice.
3. \(\mathbf{3 0} \mathrm{lb}\)./ac. of \(\mathrm{N}+25 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\). of \(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) as Mur. of Pot.

Fertilizers were applied as top dressing when the plants were 4 weeks old.
3. DESIGN :
(i), (ii) An experimental plot of size varying from \(\frac{1}{3}\) rd to \(\frac{8}{3} r d\) of an acre was selected at random in each selected village. The plot was then sub-divided into three sub-plots of nearly equal size and three treatments were applied at random in the sub-plots. Two centres of two circular cuts of \(6^{\prime}-7^{\prime \prime}\) radius each were located at random within each sub-plot. The weights of green plants for two cuts were noted seperately but for dry fibre combined weights of the two cuts were noted. (iii) \(\frac{1}{3}\) to \(\frac{2}{3}\) of an ac. (iv) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Jute yield. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1954 . (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.'
5. RESULTS :

Av. yield of dry fibre in lb./ac.
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
Treatment & Av. yield \\
1. & 1509 \\
2. & 1700 \\
3. & 1965 \\
G.M. & 1725 \\
S.E./mean & 46.1 \\
No. of experiments & 24 \\
\multicolumn{2}{l}{ Significance : }
\end{tabular} Highly significant.

Crop:- Jute.
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref:~W.B. 48(17).
Type: ' \({ }^{\prime}\) '.

Object :-To find the effect of line sowing vs. broadcasting.
1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Sugarcane. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Heavy clay. (b) Reier [soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 6.5.48.
(iv) (a) 5 ploughings and cross ploughing followed by laddering. (b) Line sowing and broadcasting. (c) and (f) As under treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Compost at 3 ton/ac. applied at the time of general preparation of land. (vi) D 154 (capsularies), Late. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Broadcasting :- 3 hand weedings, no thinning; 3 or 4 wheel hoeings between lines. Spacings:- 1 weeding and thinning to requisite spacing by hand; 3 or 4 wheel hoeings between lines. (ix) \(42.98^{\prime \prime}\) approx. ( x ) 13.9.48.

\section*{2. TREATMENTS :}
1. Broadcasting seed at 10 lb ./ac.
2. No thinning within lines \(\times 12^{\circ}\).
3. \(2^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\).
4. \(3^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\).
5. \(4^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\).

Seed rate 6 lb ./ac. for treatments 2 to 5 .
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5
(b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) \(52^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}\).
(b) \(50^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}\). (v) \(1^{\prime}\) border around each plot.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Stand, green weight and fibre weight. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1951.- (b) No. (c) N.A. (v)
(a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i). \(2236 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\).
(ii) \(216.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of jute fibre in lb ./ac.
\begin{tabular}{cc} 
Treatment & Av. yield \\
1. & 1829 \\
2. & 2416 \\
3. & 2373 \\
4. & 2260 \\
5. & 2300 \\
S.E./mean & \(=88.5 \mathrm{lb}\)./ac.
\end{tabular}

Crop :~ Jute.
Ref :- W.B. 49(21).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.
Type :- ' C '.
Object :-To find the effect of line sowing vs. broadcasting.
1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Pulses. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Heavy clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 12.4.49.
(iv) (a) 5 ploughings and cross ploughing followed by laddering. (b) Line sowing and broadcasting. (c) and (d) As under treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Compost at 3 ton/ac. broadcast at the time of general prepararation of land. (vi) D 154 (C. Capsularis) Late. (vii) Unirrigated. '(viii) Broadcasting :- 3 hand weedings; no thinning ; 3-4 wheel hoeings. Spacings :- one hand weeding and thinning to requisite spacing; 3-4 wheel hoeings between lines. (ix) \(71.89^{\prime \prime}\) approx. (x) 26.8.49.
2. TREATMENTS :
1. Broadcasting seed at \(10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
2. No thinning within lines \(\times 12^{\prime \prime}\).
3. \(2^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\).
4. \(3^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\).
5. \(4^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\).

Seedrate at \(6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\). for treatments 2 to 5 .
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) \(52^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}\). (b) \(50^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}\). (v) \(1^{\prime}\) border around each plot.
(vi) Yes: :
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Stand, green weight and fibre weight. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1951 . (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 1220 lb./ac.
(ii) \(114.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\).
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of fibre in lb./ac.
\begin{tabular}{cc} 
Treatment & Av. yield \\
1. & 1095 \\
2. & 1226 \\
3. & 1191 \\
4. & 1267 \\
5. & 1319 \\
S.E./Mean & \(=46.6 \mathrm{lb} . / a \mathrm{c}\).
\end{tabular}

\author{
Crop : Jute. \\ Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.
}

Ref :- W.B. 50 (24).
Type -: 'C'.
Object :-To find the effect of line sowing \(v s\). broadcasting.

\section*{BASAL CONDITIONS :}
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Pulses. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Heavy clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 16.4 .50 (iv)
(a) 5 ploughings and cross ploughing followed by laddering. (b) Line sowing and broadcasting. (c) \& (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Compost at 3 ton./ac. applied at the time of general preparation of land. (vi) D 154 (Capsularis) Late. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Broadcasting: 3 hand weedings; no thinning; 3 or 4 wheel hoeings between lines. Spacings:-1st hand weeding and thinning to proper spacing; 3-4 wheel hoeings between lines. (ix) \(42.98^{\prime \prime}\). (x) 31.8.50.
2. TREATMENTS:
1. Broadcasting at 10 lb ./ac.
2. No thinning within lines \(\times 12^{\prime \prime}\)
3. \(2^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\)
4. \(3^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\)
5. \(4^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\)

Seedrate \(6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\). for treatments 2 to 5.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) \(70^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}\). (b) \(68^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}\). (v) \(1^{\prime}\) border around each plot.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Stand, green weight and fibre weight. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1951 . (b) No. (c) No. (v)
(a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 1727 lb./ac.
(ii) \(85.79 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of jute fibre in lb.jac.
\begin{tabular}{cc} 
Treatment & Av. yield. \\
1. & 1494 \\
2. & 1812 \\
3. & 1775 \\
4. & 1788 \\
5. & 1766 \\
S.E./mean & \(=38.37 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
\end{tabular}

Erop:-Jute.
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref:- W.B. 51 (32).
Type :.. 'C'.

Object :-To find the effect of line sowing vs. broadcasting.

\section*{BAS AL CONDITIONS :}
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Pulses. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Heavy clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 5.6.51. (iv) (a) 5 ploughings and cross ploughing followed by laddering. (b) Line sowing and broadcasting. (c), (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Compost at 3 ton./ac. broadcast at the time of general preparation of land. (vi) Chinsurah Green (Capsularis) (Medium.) (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Broadcasting:-3 hand weedings; no thinning; 3 or 4 wheel hoeings between lines. Spacings : -1 weeding and thinning to requisite spacing by hand, 3-4 wheel hoeings between lines. (ix) \(37.40^{\prime \prime}\). (x) 13.10.51.

\section*{TREATMENTS:}
1. Broadcasting seed at \(10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
2. No thinning within lines \(\times 12^{\prime \prime}\)
3. \(2^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\)
4. \(3^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\)
5. \(4^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\)

Seedrate 6 lb ./ac.for treatments 2 to 5.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) \(56^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}\). (b) \(54^{\circ} \times 12^{\prime}\) (v) \(1^{\prime}\) border around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GiENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Stand, green weight and fibre weight. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1951. (b) No. (c) N.Ä. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

\section*{5: RESULTS :}
(i) \(1540 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(ii) \(51.37 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(iii) Treatments do not differ significiàntly.
(iv) Av. yield of fibre in \(\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Treatment & Av. yield. \\
1. & 1560 \\
2. & 1587 \\
3. & 1528 \\
4. & 1482 \\
5. & 1545 \\
S.E./mean & \(=25.68 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
\end{tabular}
Crop:- Jute.
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :- W.B. 48. (18).
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.
Type :- 'C'
Object :-To find the effect of line sowing \(v s\). broadcasting on Jute.
1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Sugarcane. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Heavy clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 10.5.48.
(iv) (a) 5 ploughing and cross ploughing followed by laddering. (b) Line sowing and broadcasting. (c) and (d) As per treaments. (e) N.A. (v) Compost at 3 ton /ac. broadcast at the time of general preparation of land. (vi) Chinsurah Green (Olitorines) Med (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Broadcasting :-3 hand weedings; no thinning; 3 or 4 wheel hoeings between lines. Spacing:-1st weeding by hand and thinning to requisite spacing ; 3-4 wheel hoeings between lines. (ix) \(42.98^{\prime \prime}\) approximately. (x) 20.9.48.
2. TREATMENTS:
1. Broadcasting seed at \(10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
2. No thinning within lines \(\times 12^{\prime \prime}\) between lines
3. \(2^{\pi} \times 12^{\pi}\)
4. \(3^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\)
5. \(4^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\)

Seedrate \(6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\). for treatments 2 to 5 .
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) \(52^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}\). (b) \(50^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}\). (v) \(1^{\prime}\) border around each plot. (vi) Yés.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) No. (iii) Stand, green weight and fibrè weight: (iv) (a) 1948 to 1951 . (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 1915 , 1b./ac.
(ii) \(122.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\).
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of Jute fibre in lb./ac:
\begin{tabular}{cl} 
Treatment & Av. yield. \\
1. & 1893 \\
2. & 1911 \\
3. & 1869 \\
4. & 1945 \\
5. & 1959 \\
S.E./mean & \(=49.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
\end{tabular}

\author{
Crop :- Jute. \\ Site :-State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.
}

Ref:- W.B. 49(22).
Type:- ' C '.

Object :-To find the effect of line sowing vs. broadcasting.

\section*{1. BASAL CONDITIONS :}
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Pulses. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Heavy clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 5.5.49. (iv) (a) 5 ploughings and cross ploughing followed by laddering. (b) Line sowing and broadcasting (c), (d) As per treatments (e) N.A. (v) Compost at \(3 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}\). broadcast at the time of general preparation of land. (vi) Chinsurah Green (Olitorins) ; Medium. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Broadcasting :-3 hand weedings ; no thinning; 3-4 wheel hoeings between lines. Spacing:-one hand weeding and thinning to required spacing ; 3-4 wheel hoeings between lines. (ix) \(75.65^{\prime \prime}\) approx. (x) 11/12.10.49.

\section*{2. TREATMENTS :}
1. Broadcasting seed at \(10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\).
2. No thinning within lines \(\times 12^{*}\)
3. \(2^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\)
4. \(3^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\)
5. \(4^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\)

Seed rate at \(6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\). for treatments 2 to 5 .
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) \(52^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}\). (b) \(50^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}\). (v) \(1^{\prime}\) bor der around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Stand, green weight and fibre weight. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) \(2605 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\).
(ii) \(285.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\).
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of Jute fibre in \(\mathrm{Ib} / \mathrm{ac}\).
\begin{tabular}{cc} 
Treatment & Av. yield. \\
1. & 2419 \\
2. & 2763 \\
3. & 2738 \\
4. & 2703 \\
5. & 2700 \\
S.E./mean & \(=116.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\).
\end{tabular}

Crop:- Jute.
Site :-State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :- W.B. 50(25).
Type :- ' C '.

Object :-To find the effect of line sowing vs. broadcasting.
1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Pulses. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Heavy clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 19.5.50. (iv) (a) 5 ploughings and cross ploughing followed by laddering. (b) Line sowing and broadcasting. (c), (d) As under treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Compost at 3 ton/ac. applied at the time of general preparation of land. (vi) Chinsurah Green (Olitorins) Medium (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Broadcasting :-3 hand weedings; no thinning; 3-4 wheel hoeings between lines. Spacings :-1st hand weeding and thinning to proper spacing 3-4 wheel hoeings in lines. (ix) \(49.34^{\prime \prime}\) approx. (x) 4.10.50.

\section*{2. TREATMENTS :}
1. Broadcasting at \(10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\).
2. No thining with in lines \(\times 12^{\prime \prime}\).
3. \(2^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\)
4. \(3^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\)
5. \(4^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\)

Seed rate \(6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\).
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) \(55^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}\). (b) \(53^{\prime} \times 7^{\prime}\). (v) \(1^{\prime}\) border around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii)N.A. (iii) Stand, green weight \& fibre weight. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1951. (b) No..(c) N.A. (v). (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Niil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) \(1600 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\).
(ii) \(185.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\).
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of Jute fibre in \(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\).
Treatment
1.
2.
3.
3.
4.
S.E./mean

Av. yield
1651
1623
1570
1592
1564
\(=75.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\).

Crop :- Roselle (Kharif).
Site : Státe Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :- W.B. 49(23)
Type : ' \(C\) '.

Object :-To study the effect of spacings and stages of harvest on the yield of fibre.

\section*{1. BASAL CONDITIONS :}
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Aus paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) Claỳ. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 26.5.49. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings and ladderings. (b) broadcasting and line sowing. (c) \(20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\). for broadcast sowing and for others according to spacing. (d) As under treatments. (e) N.A.- (v) Compost at 3 ton/ac. applied at the time of general preparation of land. (vi) R.T.I. (Med.). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 weedings for broadcast sowing. 3 weedings and thinning to requisite spacing for others. (ix) \(75.65^{\prime \prime}\) approx. (x) \(\mathrm{H}_{1}\) : 5.11.49; \(\mathrm{H}_{2}: 16.11 .49\) and \(\mathrm{H}_{3}:\) 22.11.49.

\section*{2. TREATMENTS}

Main-plot treatments :-
5 spacings :- \(\quad \mathbf{S}_{1}=\) broadcasting, \(S_{2}=\) no thinning within lines \(\times 12^{\prime \prime}\).
\[
S_{3}=2^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}, S_{4}=4^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime} \text { and } S_{5}=6^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}
\]

Sub-plot treatments :-
3 stages of harvest :- \(\mathrm{H}_{1}=\) harvesting at bud stage, \(\mathrm{H}_{2}=\) harvesting at flower stage and \(\mathrm{H}_{3}=\) harvesting at the pod stage.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split plot. (ii) (a) 5 main-plots/replication and 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) NiA. (iii) 6 (iv) (a) \(19^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}\). (b) \(17^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}\). (v) \(1^{\prime}\) border around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) N.A. (iii) Stand, green weight and fibre yield. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Site was shifted to Barrackpore from 1952. ; (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) \(2139 \quad \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\).
(ii) (a) \(444.1 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\).
(b) \(117.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\).
(iii) Only stages of harvest effect is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of fibre in lb/ac.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \(\mathrm{H}_{1}\) & \(\mathrm{H}_{4}\) & \(\mathrm{H}_{3}\) & Mean \\
\hline \(\mathrm{S}_{1}\) & 1990 & 2006 & 1822 & 1939 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{S}_{2}\) & 2069 & 2195 & 1897 & 2054 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{S}_{3}\) & 2233 & 2405 & 2187 & 2275 \\
\hline \(S_{4}\) & 2279 & 2257 & 2082 & 2206 \\
\hline \(S_{5}\) & 2168 & 2324 & 2178 & 2223 \\
\hline Mead & 2148 & 2237 & 2033 & 2139 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
S.E. of difference of two
1. main-plot treatment means \(\quad r \quad=148.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\).
2. sub-plot treatment means \(=30.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\).
3. main-plot treatment means at a level of sub-plot treatment \(\quad=158.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\).
4. sub-plot treatment means at a level of main-plot treatment \(\quad=67.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\).

\author{
Crop: Roselle (Kharif). \\ Site :- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. \\ Ref:- W.B. 50(27). \\ Type:- ' \(C\) '.
}

Object :- To study the effect of spacings and stages of harvest on the yield of fibre.

\section*{1. BASAL CONDITIONS :}
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Roselle. (c) Compost at 3 ton/ac. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 26.5.50. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings and laddering. (b) As under treatment. (c) 20 lb ./ac. for broadcast sowing and for others according to spacing. (d) As under treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Compost at 3 ton/ac. applied at the time of general preparation of land. (vi) R.T.I. (Medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 weedings for broadcast sowing; 3 weedings and thinning to proper spacings for others. (ix) \(54.94^{\prime \prime}{ }_{\text {a }}\) approx. (x) \(\mathrm{H}_{1}:-8.11 .50 ; \mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{2}}\) :23.11.50; \(\mathrm{H}_{3}:-11.12 .50\). (As per treatments).
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatment :-
5 spacings :- \(S_{1}=\) broadcasting, \(S_{2}=\) no thinning within lines \(\times 12^{\prime \prime}, S_{3}=2^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}, S_{4}=4^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\) and \(S_{5}=6^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\)

Sub-plot treatments:-
3 stages of harvest : \(-\mathrm{H}_{1}=\) harvesting at bud stage, \(\mathrm{H}_{2}=\) harvesting at flower stage and \(\mathrm{H}_{3}=\) harvesting at pod stage.

\section*{3. DESIGN:}
(i) Split plot. (ii) (a) 5 main-plots/replication \& 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) (main plot) \(53^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}\); (sub-plot) N.A. (b) Main-plot \(51^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}\); sub-plot \(17^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}\). (v) \(1^{\prime}\) border around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) N.A. (iii) Stand count, green weight and fibre yield. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Site shifted to Barrackpore from 1952. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.

\section*{5. RESULTS :}
(i) \(587.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(ii) (a) \(164.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(b) \(63.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(iii) Only spacing and stages of harvest effects are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of fibre in \(\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
\begin{tabular}{l|ccc|c} 
& \(\mathrm{H}_{1}\) & \(\mathrm{H}_{2}\) & \(\mathrm{H}_{3}\) & Mean \\
\hline \(\mathrm{S}_{1}\) & 688 & 699 & 699 & 695 \\
\(\mathrm{~S}_{2}\) & 506 & 515 & 577 & 533 \\
\(\mathrm{~S}_{3}\) & 560 & 536 & 601 & 566 \\
\(\mathrm{~S}_{4}\) & \(\ldots 51\) & 619 & 607 & 599 \\
\(\mathrm{~S}_{5}\) & 506 & \(\ldots 53\) & 580 & 540 \\
\hline Mean & 566 & 581 & 613 & 587
\end{tabular}
S.E. of difference of two


\author{
Crop :- Roselle (Kharif). \\ Site :- 'State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.
}

Ref :- W.B. 51(39).
Type :- 'C'.

Object :- To study the effect of spacings and stages of harvest on the yield of fibre.

\section*{1. BASAL CONDITIONS :}
(i) Nii. (b, Roselle. (c) Compost at 3 ton/ac. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 5.6.51. (iv)
(a) 4 plougings and laddering. (b) As under treatments. (c) \(20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\). for broadcast sowing and for others according to spacings. (d) As under treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Compost at 3 ton/ac. applied at the time of general preparation of land. (vi) R.T.I. (Med.) (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 weedings for broadcast sowing; 3 weedings and thinning to proper spacings for others. (ix) \(38.93^{\prime \prime}\) approx. \((x) \mathrm{H}_{1}:-2.11 .51, \mathrm{H}_{2}:-20.11 .51\). and \(\mathrm{H}_{3}\) 1.12.51.

\section*{2. TREATMENTS :}

Main-plot treatments:-
5 spacings :- \(\quad S_{1}=\) broadcastıng, \(S_{2}=\) no thinning within lines \(\times 12^{\prime \prime}, S_{3}=2^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}, S_{4}=4^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime} \cdot\) and \(S_{5}=6^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\).

Sub-plot treatments:-
3 stages of harvest : \(-\mathrm{H}_{1}=\) harvesting at bud stage, \(\mathrm{H}_{2}=\) harvesting at flower stage and \(\mathrm{H}_{3}=\) harvesting at prod stage.

\section*{3. DESIGN :}
(i) Split plot. (ii) (a) 5 main-plots/replication \& 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) Main-plot \(53^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}\) : sub-plot : N.A. (b) Main-plot : \(51^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}\); sub-plot : \(17^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}\). (v) \(1^{\prime}\) border around each plot. (vi) Yes.

\section*{4. GENERAL :}
(i) Fair. (ii) N.A. (iii) Stand count, green weight and fibre yield. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1951. (b) No. (c) N.A.: (v) (a) Site shifted to Barrackpore from 1952. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.

\section*{5. RESUL'TS :}
(i) \(1261 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(ii) (a) \(203.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(b) \(162.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(iii) Interaction spacing \(\times\) stage of harvest is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of fibre in lb ./ac.
\begin{tabular}{c|lll|l} 
& \(\mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{1}}\) & \(\mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{2}}\) & \(\mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{2}}\) & Mean \\
\hline \(\mathrm{S}_{1}\) & 1275 & 1318 & 1164 & 1252 \\
\(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{2}}\) & 1223 & 1184 & 1402 & 1270 \\
\(\mathrm{~S}_{3}\) & 1240 & 1033 & 1248 & 1174 \\
\(\mathrm{~S}_{4}\) & 1289 & 1122 & 1403 & 1271 \\
\(\mathrm{~S}_{5}\) & 1282 & 1399 & 1335 & 1339 \\
\hline Mean & 1262 & 1211 & 1310 & 1261
\end{tabular}
S.E. of difference of two
1. main-plot treatment means \(\quad=67.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\)
2. sub-plot treatment means
3. main-plot treatment means at a level of sub-plot treatment
\(=41.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
4. sub-plot treatment means at a level of main-plot treatment.
\(=102.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\).
\(=93.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\).

Crop:-Mesta (Kharif).
Site : \({ }^{\text {State }}\) Agri. Farm, Chinsurah.

Ref :-W.B. 49 (24)
Type: 'C'.

Object :-To study the effect of spacings and stages of harvest on the yield of fibre.

\section*{1. BASAL CONDITIONS :}
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Jute. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 5.6.49. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings and ladderings. (b) As under treatments. (c) \(25 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\). for broadcast-sowing and for others according to spacing. (d) As under treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Compost at 3 ton/ac. at the time of general preparatıon of land. (vi) M.T. 15 (Medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 wcedings for broadcast sowing; 3 weedings and thinning to requisite spacing, for others. (ix) \(70.10^{\prime \prime}\) approx. (x) \(H_{1}:-19.9 .49 . \mathrm{H}_{2}\) :5.10.49 \(\mathrm{H}_{3}:-14.10 .49\).

\section*{2. TREATMENTS :}

Main-plot treatments :-
5 spacings: \(S_{1}=\) broadcasting, \(S_{2}=\) no thinning within lines \(\times 12^{\prime \prime}, S_{3}=2^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}, S_{4}=4^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\) and \(S_{5}=6^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\).
Sub-plot treatments:-
3 stages of barvest : \(\mathrm{H}_{1}=\) harvesting at bud stage, \(\mathrm{H}_{2}=\) harvesting at flower stage and \(H_{3}=\) harvesting at pod stage.

\section*{3. DESIGN :}
(i) Split plot. (ii) (a) 5 main-plots/replication and 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (iii) 6 . (iv) \(\mathbf{( a )}\) main-plot: \(53^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}\); sub-plot : N.A. (b) Main-plot : \(51^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}\); sub-plot ; \(17^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}\). (v) \(1^{\prime}\) border around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Fair. (ii) N.A. (iii) Stand count, green weight and fibre yield. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1951 . (b) No. (c) N A. (v) (a) Site changed to Barrackpore from 1952. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

\section*{5. RESULTS :}
(i) \(\quad 601.7 \mathrm{lb}\)./ac.
(ii) (a) \(323.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\).
(b) \(367.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of fibre in \(\mathrm{lb}, / \mathrm{ac}\).

S.E. of difference of two
1. main-plot treatment means \(\quad \therefore \quad: \quad \therefore \quad=108.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\).
2. sub-plot treatment means \(\quad \therefore \quad \therefore \quad \therefore \quad \therefore \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
3. main-plot treatment means at a level of sub-plot treatment \(=204.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
4. sub-plot treatment means at a level of main-plot treatment \(=212.2 \mathrm{lb}\)./ac.
Crop :- Mesta (Kharif).
Site:- State Agri. Farm, Chinsurah. \(\quad\) Ref:-W.B. 50(26).
Type :- 'C'.

Object :-To study the effect of spacing and stages of harvest on the yield of fibre.

\section*{1. BASAL CONDITIONS}
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Mesta. (c) Compost at 3 tons/ac. (ii) (a) Clay. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) 18-19.5.50. (iv) (a) 5 ploughings and laddering. (b) As under treatments. (c) 25 lb ./ac. for broadcast sowing and for others according to spacings. (d) As under treatments. (e) N.A. (v) 3 ton/ac. of compost applied at the time of general preparation of land. (vi) M.T. 15 (Medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 weedings for broadcast sowing; 3 weedings and thinning to proper spacings, for others. (ix) \(48.58^{\prime \prime}\). ( x ) \(\mathrm{H}_{1}:-6.9 .50 ; \mathrm{H}_{2}:-19.9 .50 \mathrm{H}_{3}:-27.9 .50\).

\section*{2. TREATMENTS :}

\section*{Main-plot treatments :-}

5 spacings : \(S_{1}=\) broadcasting, \(S_{2}=\) no thinning within lines \(\times 12^{\prime \prime}, S_{3}=2^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}, S_{4}=4^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\) and \(S_{5}=6^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\).

\section*{Sub-plot treatments :-}

3 stages of harvest : \(\mathrm{H}_{1}=\) harvesting at bụd stage, \(\mathrm{H}_{2}=\) harvesting at flower stage and \(\mathrm{H}_{3}=\) harvesting at pod stage.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Split plot. (ii) (a) 5 main-plots/replication and 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. iv) (a) Mainplot: \(52^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}\); sub-plot N.A. (b) Main-plot : \(51^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}\); sub-plot : \(17^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}\). (v) \(1^{\prime}\) border around each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) N.A. (iii) Stand count, green weight and fibre yield. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Site shifted to Barrackpore from 1952. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Crop severely damaged by melignant disease during the year 1951. The expt. was vitiated in 1951.
5. RESULTS :
(i) \(1361 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(ii) (a) \(194.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(b) \(124.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(iii) 'Spacing's and stages of harvest effects are highly significant. Interaction is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of fibre in \(\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
\begin{tabular}{c|ccc|c} 
& \(\mathrm{H}_{1}\) & \(\mathrm{H}_{2}\) & \(\mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{2}}\) & Mean \\
\hline \(\mathrm{S}_{1}\) & 1299 & 1508 & 1681 & 1496 \\
\(\mathrm{~S}_{2}\) & 13.8 & 1363 & 1383 & 1351 \\
\(\mathrm{~S}_{3}\) & 1416 & 1583 & 1531 & 1510 \\
\(\mathrm{~S}_{4}\) & 1.91 & 1361 & 1353 & 1335 \\
\(\mathrm{~S}_{5}\) & 1045 & 1225 & 1066 & 1112 \\
\hline Mean & 1272 & 1408 & 1403 & 1301
\end{tabular}
S.E. of difference of two
1. main-plot treatment means
\(=64.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
\(=32.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
\(=87.3 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}\).
\(=71.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
2. sub-plot treatment means
3. main-plot treatment means at a level of sub-plot treatment

Crop :- Groundnut.
Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampur \(d\)

Ref:- W.B. 52(38).
Type:- 'C'.

Object :-To find out the most suitable spacing for Groundnut of spreading type to get the maximum out-turn.
1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loamy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampur. (iii) 26.6 .52 . (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) Varies from \(3 \mathrm{Jlb} / \mathrm{ac}\). to \(60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\). according to different spacings. (d) As per treatments. (e) -. (v) Cowdung \(150 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}\). (vi) Spanish peanut from Nagpur (Late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and 2 mulchings. (ix) \(39.72^{\circ}\). (x) 10.12.52.
2. TREATMENTS:

\section*{Spacings:-}
1. \(24^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}\).
2. \(18^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\).
3. \(24^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\).
4. \(18^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}\).
5. \(12^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}\).
6. \(24^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}\).
7. \(18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}\).
8. \(12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\).
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 8
(b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) \& (b) \(17^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}\). (v) No border area kept. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Favourable. (ii) Slight attack of termite. (iii) Yield of groundnut Pod. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1955. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) \(1200 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\).
(ii) \(365.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(iii) The treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of groundnut Pod. in \(\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
\begin{tabular}{cc} 
Treatments & Av. yield \\
1. & 1441 \\
2. & 1353 \\
3. & 1271 \\
4. & 1238 \\
5. & 1183 \\
6. & 1101 \\
7. & 1037 \\
8. & 978 \\
S.E /mean & \(=1492 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
\end{tabular}

\section*{Crop:- Groundnut. \\ Site -: State Agri. Farm, Berhampur.}

\section*{Ref :- W:B.53(44). \\ Type:- 'C'.}

Object:-To find out the most suitable spacings for Groundn ut of spreading type to get maximum outturn.
1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loamy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) 20.6.53. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings and laddering. (b) Seeds were broadcast. (c) \(30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\). to 60 lb ./ac. (d) As under treatments. (e) -. (v) \(150 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}\). cowdung. at the time of general preparation of land in the months of May-June.
(vi) Spanish peanut from Nagpur (Late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and 2 mulchings. (ix) \(37: 22^{\prime \prime}\).
(x) 26.11.53.

\section*{2. TREATMENTS:}

Spacings:-
1. \(24^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}\).
2. \(24^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\).
3. \(24^{\prime \prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}\).
4. \(18^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\).
5. \(18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}\).
6. \(12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\).
7. \(12^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}\).
8. \(18^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}\).
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) \& (b) \(17^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}\). (v) No. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of groundnut pod. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1955. (b) No. (c) N.A.'(v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A.
(vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) \(1824 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\),
(ii) \(304.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of groundnut pod in lb./ac.
\begin{tabular}{cc} 
Treatment & Av. yield \\
1. & 2368 \\
2. & 2039 \\
3. & 1911 \\
4. & 1857 \\
5. & 1767 \\
6. & 1764 \\
7. & 1511 \\
8. & 1371 \\
S.E./mean & \(=124.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
\end{tabular}

\author{
Crop:- Groundnut. \\ Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampur.
}

Ref:- W.B. 52(39).

Object :-To find out the best spacing for Groundnut of erect type to get maximum out-turn.
1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loamy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) 30.6.52. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) \(25 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\). to \(65 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\). (d) As under treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Cowdung at \(150 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}\). (vi) K-3 (Kopargaon) (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings, 2 mulchings and 2 earthings. (ix) \(39.72^{\prime \prime}\). ( x ) 2.12.52.

\section*{2. TREATMENTS :}

Spacings :-
1. \(24^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}\).
2. \(12^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}\).
3. \(24^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\).
4. \(18^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}\).
5. \(18^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\).
6. \(18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}\).
7. \(12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\).
8. \(24^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}\).
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) and (b) \(17^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}\). (v) Nil. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of groundnut pod. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1955 . (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) NA. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
4. RESULTS:
(i) \(1319 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(ii) \(287.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\).
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of groundnut pod in \(1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
\begin{tabular}{cc} 
Treatment & Av. yield \\
1. & 1658 \\
2. & 1453 \\
3. & 1453 \\
4. & 1418 \\
5. & 1418 \\
6. & 1318 \\
7. & 1172 \\
8. & 662 \\
S.E./mean & \(=165.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
\end{tabular}

Crop :- Groundnut.
Site :-State Agri. Farm, Berhampore.
Ref:- W.B. \(5 \mathbf{5}\) (45).
Type :- 'C'.

Object:-To find out the best spacing for Groundnut of erect type to get maximum out-turn.
1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loamy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) 20.6.53. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings and laddering. (b) Seeds were broadcast by hand and then covered. (c) Seedrate varies from \(25 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\). to \(65 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\). (d) As per treatments. (e)-. (v) Cowdung at \(150 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}\). at the time of general preparation of land in the month of May-June. (vi) K-3 (Kopargaon) ; (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings, 2 mulchings and 2 earthings. (ix) 37.22". (x) 17.11.53.
2. TREATMENTS :

Spacings:-
1. \(24^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}\).
2. \(12^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}\).
3. \(12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\).
4. \(18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}\).
5. \(18^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}\).
6. \(18^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}\).
7. \(24^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}\).
8. \(24^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime}\).
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) \(17^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}\). (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL: \(\because\)
(i) Moderate. (ii) Slight attack of termite. (iii) Yield of groundnut pod. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1955. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) \(851.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(ii) N.A.
(iii) Trcatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of groundnut pod in lb./ac.
\begin{tabular}{cc} 
Treatment & Av. yield \\
1. & 955.4 \\
2. & 938.9 \\
\(\because\). & 955.4 \\
4. & 903.5 \\
5. & 877.2 \\
6. & 785.0 \\
7. & 706.9 \\
8. & 685.5 \\
S.E./mean & \(=\) N.A.
\end{tabular}

Crop :- Groundnut.
Site :~ State Agri. Farm, Berhampur.

\section*{Ref:~ W.B. 53 (43). \\ Type :- ' C '.}

Object :-To find out the effect of intercultural operations on yield of Groundnut (spreading).
1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Wheat (Rabi) Groundnut. (Kharif). (b) Wheat. (c) 250 md./ac. of T.C. (ii) (a) Loamy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampur. (iii) 21.6.53. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings and laddering. (b) Seeds were broadcast by hand, hoed and then covered. (c) 60 lb ./ac. (ucshelled). (d) Line to line \(\mathbf{2}^{\prime}\); plant to plant \(9^{\prime \prime}\). (e) N.A. (v) Cowdung \(150 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}\). at the time of general preparation of land in the months of MayJune. (vi) Spreading type. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) As under treatments. (ix) N.A. (x) 11.12.53.
2. TREATMENTS :
1. Control.
2. 1 weeding and 1 mulching.
3. 2 weedings and 2 mulchings.
4. 3 weedings and 3 mulchings.
5. 1 weeding, 1 mulching and 1 earthing.
.6. 2 weedings, 2 mulchings and 2 earthings.
3. DESIGN :
(i) L. Sq. (ii) (a) 6 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) \(20^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}\). (b) \(1 / 242\) th ac. (v) \(1^{\prime}\) around a plot. Distance between plots \(2^{\prime}\) and between blocks \(3^{\prime}\) (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Unfavourable. (ii) Incidence of termite reported. (iii). Yield of ground nut pod. .(iv) (a) 1953 to 1955.
(b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) NiL.
5. RESULTS :
(i) \(601.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(ii) N.A.
(iii) \(\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{A}\) :
(iv) Av. yield of groundnut pod in \(\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).


\section*{Crop:-Linseed. \\ Site :- Stăté Agxí. Farm, Berhampore.}

> Ref :- W.B. \(52 .(37)\).
> Type :- 'C'.

Object :-To find out the optimum seed rate of Linseed by broadcast-sowing under West Bengal conditions.

\section*{1. BASAL CONDITIONS :}
(i) (a) Til-Linseed. (b) N.A. (c) N.A (ii) (a) Loamy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) 1 st' week of November. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Seeds were broadcast. (c) As per treatments. (d) and (e)-. (v) Cowdung \(150 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}\). (vi) K-2 (Medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 wəeding. (ix) N.A. (x) 1 st and 2 ad week of March, 1953.

\section*{2. TREATMENTS :}

Seed rates:-
1. \(8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
2. \(10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
3. \(12 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
4. \(14 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
5. \(16 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
6. \(18 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) \(27^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}\). (b) \(20^{\circ} \times 15^{\circ}\). (v) A border of \(2^{\prime}\) in one direction and that of \(1^{\prime}\) in orthogonal direstion. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Moderate. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of linseed. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1955. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) \& (b) Nil (vi) Severe drought after sowing affected the yield of the crop. (vii) S.E. and raw data N.A.
5. RESULTS:
(i) \(280 . \times \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(ii) N.A.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of linseed in lb ./ac.
\begin{tabular}{cc} 
Treatment & Av. yield. \\
1. & 354.7 \\
2. & 288.0 \\
3. & 283.9 \\
4. & 265.0 \\
5. & 250.2 \\
6. & 242.8 \\
S.E./mean & \(=\) N.A.
\end{tabular}

\section*{Crop :- Linseed.}

Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore.

Ref :- W.B. 53 (40).
Type:- 'C'.

Object :-To find out the optimum seedrate of Linseed by broadcast-sowing under West Bengal conditions.

\section*{1. BASAL CONDITIONS :}
(i) (a) Til-Linseed. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loamy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) 1.11.53.
(iv) (a) 4 ploughings and laddering. (b) Broadcast by hand, levelled and covered. (c) As per teatments.
(d) and (e) - . (v) Cowdung at \(150 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}\). at the time of generak preparation of land in the months of

May-June. (vi) K-2 (Medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and mulching. (ix) N.A. (x) 13.3.54.
2. TREATMENTS :

Seedrates:-
1. \(8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
2. \(10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
3. \(12 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
4. \(14 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
5. \(16 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
6. \(18 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N:A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) \(20^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}\). (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Very good. (ii) Not recorded. (iii) Yield of linsead (iv) (a) 1952 to 1955. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No.
(b) N.A. (vi) Weather was favourable. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) \(1374 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(ii) N.A.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of linseed in lb ./ac.
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Treatment & Av. yield. \\
1. & 1497 \\
2. & 1461 \\
3. & 1378 \\
4. & 1352 \\
5. & 1314 \\
6. & 1244 \\
S.E. mean & \(=\) N.A.
\end{tabular}

\author{
Crop :- Linseed. \\ Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore.
}

Ref :- W.B. 5 ( \({ }^{\text {(46). }}\)

Object:-To find out the optimum seed rate of Linseed (erect type) by broadcast-sowing under West Bengal conditions.

\section*{1. BASAL CONDITIONS :}
(i) (a) Til-Linseed. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loamy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) 1st week of Nov. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings and laddering. (b) Seeds were broadcast and then covered (c) As per treatments. (d) \& (e) -. (v) Cowdung \(150 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}\). applied at the time of general preparation of land in the months of May-June. (vi) K-2 ; Erect type from Kangra, Punjab ; (Medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings, 2 mulchings and 2 earthings. (ix) \(1.31^{\prime \prime}\) approx. (x) Mid week of March.
2. TREATMENTS :

Seedrate :-
1. \(12 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
2. \(24 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\) :
3. 36 lb ./ac.
4. \(48 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 4 .
(b) N.A. (iii) 9. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) \(1 / 144\) th ac.
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 1.953 -continued. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) Weather condition was favourable: :(vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) \(732.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(ii) N.A.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of linseed in lb ./ac.
\begin{tabular}{cc} 
Treatment & Av. yield. \\
1. & 650.1 \\
2. & 759.5 \\
3. & 767.8 \\
4. & 752.1 \\
S.E./mean & \(=\) N.A.
\end{tabular}

\author{
Crop :- Til. \\ Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore.
}

Ref :- W.B. 52(40).
Type:- 'C'.
Object .-To find out optimum seedrate of Til so as to get maximum yield.

\section*{1. BASAL CONDITIONS :}
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Til. (b) Sugarcanc. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loamy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore.
(iii) 25.6.52. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Seeds were sown by broadcast. (c) As per treatments. (d) \& (e)-. (v) Cowdung 150 md ./ac. (vi) W.B. No. -9 (Medium late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings done. (ix) N.A. (x) 13.9.52.
2. TREATMENTS :

Seedrate:-
1. 4 lb ./ac.
2. \(6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
3. \(8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
4. \(10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) \(42^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}\). (b) \(38^{\prime} \times 13^{\prime}\). (v) A border of \(2^{\prime}\) in one direction and that of \(1^{\prime}\) in orthogonal direction. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Poor. (ii) Attack of cerceous para-blight. (iii) Yield of til. (iv) (a) 1952-continued. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) \(126.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(ii) \(24.69 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of til in lb./ac.
\begin{tabular}{cc} 
Treatment & Av. yield. \\
1. & 129.2 \\
2. & 133.3 \\
3. & 118.5 \\
4. & 125.1 \\
S.E./mean & \(=10.03 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
\end{tabular}

\section*{Crop :- Til.}

Site :- State Agri. Farm, Berhampore.

Ref :- W.B. 53(42).
Type:- 'C'.

Object :-To find out optimum seedrate of Til so as to get maximum yield.
1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Rahar-Til. (b) Rahar. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loamy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampore. (iii) 11.6.53. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings and laddering. (b) Seeds were broadcast and then covered. (c) As per treatments. (d) \& (e) ——. (v) Cowdung 250 md ./ac. at the time of general preparation of land in the months of May-June. (vi) W.B. No.-9 (Medium, late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One weeding, mulcling \& one earthing up. (ix) \(33.95^{\prime \prime}\). (x) 9.9.53.
2. TREATMENTS :

Seedrate:-
1. \(4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
2. \(5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
3. \(6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\).
4. \(7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
5. \(8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
3. DESIGN :
(i) L. Sq.
(ii) (a) 5 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 5 .
(iv) (a) \(24^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}\). (b) \(20^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}\).
(v) \(2^{\prime}\) border around each plot.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL: .: . ..
(i) Moderate. (ii) Slight attack of Cercospora blight. (iii) Yield of til. (iv) (a) 1952-continued. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nit. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) It was decided to change the seed rate/ac. in view of previous year's yields.
s. RESULTS :
(i) \(216.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(ii) N.A.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of til in lb./ac.
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
Treatment & Av. yield: \\
1. & 220.9 \\
2. & 183.8 \\
3. & 246.5 \\
4. & 239.5 \\
5. & 192.1 \\
S.E./mean & \(=\) N.A.
\end{tabular}

Crop:- Til.
Site : \({ }^{\text {State Agri. Farm, Berhampore. }}\)
Ref :- W.B. 53(41).
Type : ' C '.
Object :-To find out the best period of sowing Til under West Bengal conditions.
1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loamy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Berhampur. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings and laddering. (b) Seeds were brodeast by hand; leveled and then covered. (c) 6 lb ./ac. (d) \& (e) -. (v) Cowdung \(150 \mathrm{md} / \mathrm{ac}\). at the time of general preparation of land in the months of MayJune. (vi) West Bengal Selection. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding and mulching \& one earthing up. (ix) N.A. (x) 5. \(9.53 ; 20.9 .53 ; 30.9 .53 ; 7.10 .53 ; 9.10 .53 ; 16.10 .53\) for treatments \(1,2,3,4,5 \& 6\) resp.
2. TREATMENTS :
\begin{tabular}{cc} 
Treatment & Date of sowing. \\
1. & 9.6 .53. \\
2. & 23.6 .53. \\
3. & 7.7 .53. \\
4. & 21.7 .53. \\
5. & 4.8 .53. \\
6. & 19.8 .53.
\end{tabular}
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) \(24^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}\). (b) \(20^{\prime} \times 10.5^{\prime}\). (v) Distance between block \(3^{\prime}\) and plots \(2^{\prime}\); one row on either side. \(2^{\prime} \& 9^{\prime \prime}\) respectively left as guard row. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Not recorded. (iii) Yield of til. (iv) (a) 1953-continued. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTTS :
(i) \(370.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(ii) \(128.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of til in lb,/ac.
\begin{tabular}{cc} 
Treatment & Av. yield. \\
1. & 745.8 \\
2. & 446.8 \\
3. & 364.6 \\
4. & 263.8 \\
5. & 248.1 \\
6. & 155.4 \\
S.E./mean & \(=52.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
\end{tabular}

\author{
Crop :- Banana. \\ Ref :- W.B. 51 (36). \\ Site :- State Horti. Res. Stn. Krishnagar. \\ Type :- 'M'.
}

O bject :-To determine the optimum manurial combination of N obtaind from organic and inorganic sources and to ascertain the effect of addition of \(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\) and K on growth and yield.

\section*{BASAL CONDITIONS :}
(i) N.A. (ii) (a) Bombay alluvial soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Krishnagar. (iii) By suckers. (iv) Martaman. (v) 14 th July 1951. In pits \(1.5^{\prime}\) deep and \(1.5^{\prime}\) in diameter ( \(10^{\prime}\) apart). (vi) \(3-4\) months. (vii) Nil. (viii) Usual cultural desuckering operations done every year. (ix) Nil. (x) Irrigated. (xi) \(58.97^{\circ}\). (xii) N.A.

\section*{2 TRETAMENTS:}
1. No manure.
2. 4 oz . of N from cowdung.
3. 4 oz of N from cowdung +4 oz . of N from \(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}\).
4. 4 oz of N from mustard cake +4 oz . of N from \(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}\).

5 . 4 oz . of N from cowdung +4 oz . of N from mustard cake.
6. 4 oz . of N from cowdung +8 oz . of \(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\) from super.
7. 4 oz of N from cowdung +8 oz . of \(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) from pot. sul.
8. 4 oz . of N from cowdung +8 oz . of \(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\) from super +8 oz . of \(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) from pot. sul.

Treatments applied on per plant basis.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) 8. (iii) 4. (iv) Gross :- 5 rows of 5 plants each-Net :- 3 rows of 3 plants each. (v) 1 guard row alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Height, girth and leaf count. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953. (b) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) Height 86.14 cm . Leaf count 9.28
(ii) Height 9.40 cm . Leaf count 0.88 .
(iii) Variations in height and leaf count due to different treatments are significant.
(iv) Mean height and mean leaf count.
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
Treatments & Mean Height (cm.) (Nov. 51). & Mean leaf count (Nov.51). \\
1. & 52.62 & 6.01 \\
2. & 61.93 & 8.02 \\
3. & 123.56 & 10.88 \\
4. & 118.56 & 11.30 \\
5. & 103.75 & 11.58 \\
6. & 75.37 & 8.90 \\
7. & 76.31 & 8.64 \\
8. & 77.06 & 8.94 \\
S.E./mean & \(=4.70 \mathrm{~cm} . ;\) & 0.44
\end{tabular}

Crop:- Banana.
Site :- State Horti. Res. Stn. Krishnagar.

Ref :- W.B. 52 (64).
Type ' M '.

Object:-To determine the optimum manurial combination of N obtained from organic and inorganic sources and to ascertain the effect of addition of \(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\) and K on growth and yield.
1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) N.A. (ii) (a) Loamy alluvial soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Krishnagar. (iii) By suckers. (iv) Martaman. (v) In pits, \(1.5^{\prime}\) deep, \(1.5^{\prime}\) in diameter ( \(10^{\prime}\) apart). (vi) 3-4 months. (vii) Nil. (viii) Usual cultural and desuckering operations were done. (ix) Nil. (x) Irrigated. (xi) 50.37'. (xii) July 1952—M号ch 1953.
2. TREATMENTS :
1. Nomanure.
2. 4 oz . of N from cowdung.
3. 4 oz . of N from cowdung +4 oz . of N from \(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}\).
4. 4 oz . of N from mustard cake +4 oz . of N from \(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}\)
5. 4 oz . of N from cowdung +4 oz . of N from mustard cake.
6. 4 oz . of N from cowdung +8 oz . of \(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\) as super.
7. 4 oz . of N from cowdung +8 oz . of \(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) from pot. sul.
8. 4 oz . of N from cowdung +8 oz . of \(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\) from super +8 oz. of \(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) from pot. sul.

Treatments applied on per plant basis.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) 8. (iii) 4. (iv)(a), (b) Gross :- 5 rows of 5 plants each; net :- 3 rows of 3 plants each (v) 1 guard row around. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Fair. (ii) Affected by Panama disease (wilt). (iii) Height, girth, leaf count and yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953.
(b) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) \(14.12 \mathrm{lb} . /\) plant.
(ii) 2.36 lb ./plant.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. vield of banana in lb./plant.
\begin{tabular}{cc} 
Treatments & Av. yield \\
\(1 .:\) & 8.35 \\
2. & 12.82 \\
3. & 17.22 \\
4. & 17.95 \\
5. & 16.85 \\
6. & 13.02 \\
7. & 13.05 \\
8. & 13.67 \\
S.E./mean & \(=1.18 \mathrm{lb} . /\) plant.
\end{tabular}

Crop :- Banana.
Site :- State Horti. Res. Stn. Krishnagar.

Reff:- W.B. 53 (83).
Type :- ' \(M\) '.

Object:-To determine the optimum manuring combination of N obtained from organic and inorganic sources and to ascertain the effect of addition of \(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\) and K on growth and yield.
1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) N.A. (ii) (a) Loamy alluvial soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Krishnagar. (iii) By suckers. (iv) Martaman. (v) Date N.A. In pits, \(1.5^{\prime}\) deep, \(1.5^{\prime}\) diameter ( \(10^{\prime}\) apart). (vi) \(3-4\) months. (vii) Nil. (viii) Usual cultural añd đesuçkefing opérations were donè. (ix) Nil. (x) Irrigated. (xi) 64.72". (xii) May 53-June 1954.
2. TRIEATMENTS :
1. No manure.
2. 4 oz . of N from cowdung.
3. 4 oz . of N from cowdung +4 oz of N from \(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}\).
4. 4 oz . of N from mustard cake +4 oz . of N from \(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}\).
5. 4 oz . of N from cowdung +4 oz . of N from mustrad cake.
6. 4 oz . of N from cowdung +8 oz . of \(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\) as super. .
7. 4 oz . of N from cowdung +8 oz . of \(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) as pot. sul.
8. 4 oz . of N from cowdung +8 oz . of \(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\) as \(\mathrm{Super}+8 \mathrm{oz}\). of \(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) as pot. sul.

Treatments applied on per plant básis.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) 8. (iii) 4. (iv) (a), (b) Gross :- 5 rows of 5 plants each. Net :- 3 rows of 3 plants cach. (v) 1 guard row alround. (vi) Yês.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Fair. (ii) Heavily infested by Panama disease. The experiment had be to atandoned. (iii) Height, girth and yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953. (b) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 23.98 lb ./plant.
(ii) \(2.44 \mathrm{lb} . /\) plant.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of banana in lb ./plant.
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
Treatment & Av. yield \\
1. & 13.20 \\
2. & 21.30 \\
3. & 30.25 \\
4. & 30.75 \\
5. & 29.47 \\
6. & 22.42 \\
7. & 21.62 \\
8. & 23.05 \\
S.E./mean & \(=1.22 \mathrm{lb} . /\) plant.
\end{tabular}

Crop :~ Banana.
Ref:- W.B. 53 (77).
Site :- State Banana Res. Stn. Chinsurah.
Type :- 'C'.
Object :-To determine optimum age of suckers and best season of planting.
1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) N.A. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chinsurah. (iii) By suckers. (iv) Martaman. (v) N.A. (vi) As under treatments (vii) 8 oz . N/plant ( \(\frac{1}{2}\) organic \(+\frac{1}{2}\) inorganic). T.C. mixed with soil at the time of next monsoon and \(A / S\) divided into four equal parts, one part applied at the next monsoon and other 3 at an interval of one month. (viii) Spading, ploughing and laddering twice. (ix) Nil. (x) Unirrigated. (xi) 64.72". (xii) Nil.
2. TREATMENTS :
1. Peepers i.e. suckers just emerging out of ground.
2. Two month old suckers.
3. Three month old suckers.
4. Four month old suckers.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) 4. (iii) 6 . (iv) 6 in a single row. (v) A single border around whole area. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Spraying of D.D.T. ( \(0.1 \%\) wettable) 4 times at an interval of fortnight to check incidence o beetle. (iii) Height, girth, leaf count and yield. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1954. (b) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Raw data N.A.
5. RESULTS :

Monsoon planting :
(i) 177.93 cm . (height) ;
57.10 cm . (girth).
(ii) N.A.
(iii) Variation in height and girth due to different treatments are not significant.
(iv) Mean height and mean girth.
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
Treatment & Mean height (cm.) Dec. 1953. & Mean girth (cm.) Dec. 1953. \\
1. & 172.95 & 55.31 \\
2. & 177.36 & 58.03 \\
3. & 180.95 & 57.98 \\
4. & 180.45 & 57.06 \\
S.E mean & \(=\) N.A. & \(=\) N.A.
\end{tabular}

\section*{Autumn planting :}
(i) 173.18 cm . (height) ;
56.65 cm . (girth)
(ii) N.A.
(iii) Variation in height and girth due to different treatments are not significant.
(iv)
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
Treatment & Mean height (cm.) Dec. 1953 & Mean girth (cm.) D \\
1. & 167.85 & & 54.68 \\
2. & 182.35 & & 57.96 \\
3. & 167.43 & & 54.10 \\
4. & 175.11 & & 55.86
\end{tabular}

Crop:- Banana.
Ref:- W.B. 51(37.)
Site : m State Horti. Res. Stn. Krishnagar.
Type:- 'C'.

Object :-To determine whether a rhizome in whole or in bits from fruited and non-fruited plants can provide a more suitable material for propagation.

\section*{1. BASAL CONDITIONS :}
(i) Remained fallow for a year. Prior to it, rainfed vegetables were grown. (ii) (a) Loamy alluvial soil.
(b) Refer soil analysis, Krishnagar. (iii) By suckers. (iv) Belua Kanchkela. (v) 8th April, 51. Suckers placed in pits \(1^{\prime}\) deep and \(1^{\prime}\) in diameter. (vi) As under treatments. (vii) 15 Srs of compost +4 oz of N as A/S. applied to every plant. (viii) Interculturing by cultivator and ploughing in between plants (4-5 times during a year). (ix) Nil. (x) Unirrigated. (xi) \(58.97^{\prime \prime}\). (xii) N.A.

\section*{2. TREATMENTS :}
1. Planting the full rhizome from a parent plant.
2. Planting full rhizome of the most well developed daughter sucker of the Clump.
3. Planting bits from a rhizome of the parent plant cut into two.
4. Planting bits from a rhizome of the daughter sucker cut into two.
5. Planting bits from a rhizome of the parent plant cut into four.
6. Planting bits from a rhizome of the daughter sucker cut into four.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) 6. (iii) 4. (iv) Gross: 5 rows of 5 plants each. Net: 3 rows of 3 plants each. (v) \(1^{\prime}\) border row alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A.
(ii) N.A
(iii) Height, girth and leaf count.
(iv)
(a) 1951-1952. (b) N.A.
(v) Nil.
(vi) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) Height :- 41.92 cm . (Sept. 51 ); 229.89 cm . (May, 52 ). Leaf count :- 6.78 (Sept. 51 ); 9.77 (May, 52 ).
(ii) N.A.
(iii) Variation in mean height and Leaf count due to different treatments were significant in September 1951 but not in May, 1952.
(iv) Mean height and mean leaf count.

Mean height in cm .
\begin{tabular}{cc}
\multicolumn{2}{c}{ Mean leaf count } \\
Sept. 51 & May 52 \\
4.82 & 9.77 \\
5.35 & 9.62 \\
4.77 & 9.82 \\
4.80 & 9.27 \\
4.70 & 10.05 \\
4.25 & 10.07
\end{tabular}

Crop :- Banana.
Site :~ State Horti. Res. Stn. Krishnagar.

Ref :- W.B. 52(65).
Type:- 'C'.

Object :-To determine whether a rhizome in whole or in bits from fruited and non-fruited plants can provide a more suitable materal for propagation.
1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) Remained fallow for a year. Prior to it, rainfed vegetables were grown. (ii) Loamy alluvial soil, (b) Refer soil analysis, Krishnagar. (iii) By suckers. (iv) Belua kanchkela. (v) 8th April, 51. Suckers placed in pits 1' deep and of \(1^{\prime}\) in diameter. (vi) As under treatments. (vii) 15 Srs. of compost +4 oz of N as \(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}\), applied to every nursery plant. (viii) Interculturing by cultivators and ploughing in between plants (4-5 times during a year). (ix) Nil. (x) Unirrigated. (xi) \(58.97^{\prime \prime}\). (xii) N.A.

\section*{2. TREATMENTS :}
1. Planting the full rhizome from a parent plant.
2. Planting full rhizome of the most developed daughter sucker of the clump.
3. Planting bits from a rhizome of the parent plant cut into two.
4. Planting bits from a rhizome of the daughter sucker cut into two.
5. Planting bits from a rhizome of the parent plant cut into four.
6. Planting bits from a rhizome of the daughter sucker cut into four.

\section*{3. DESIGN :}
(i) R.B.D. (ii) 6. (iii) 4. (iv) Gross : 5 rows of 5 plants each. Net : 3 rows of 3 plants each. (v) \(1^{\prime}\) border row alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield per plant, hands and fingers per bunch and size of finger. (iv) (a) 1951-1952.
(b) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) \(20.44 \mathrm{lb} /\) plant.
(ii) N.A.
(iii) Treatments are not significantly different.
(iv) Av. yield of banana in lb/plant.
\begin{tabular}{cc} 
Treatment & Av. yield. \\
1. & 21.21 \\
2. & 19.53 \\
3. & 20.68 \\
4. & 21.12 \\
5. & 20.41 \\
6. & 19.66 \\
S.E /Mean & N.A.
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Crop :- Banana. & Ref :- W.B. 51(34). \\
Site :- State Horti. Res. Stn. Krishnagar. & Type :- 'C'.
\end{tabular}

Object :- To determine optimum age of suckers and best season of planting.
1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) Fallow for a year. Prior to this rainfed vegetables were grown. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Krishnagar. (iii) By suckers. (iv) Kabali (dwarf). (v) Monsoon planting-19th June 51. Autumn planting-15th Oct. 51 in pits of \(1^{\prime}\) depth and \(1^{\prime}\) diameter. (vi) As under treatments. (vii) 8 oz N/plant ( \(\frac{1}{2}\) organic \(+\frac{1}{2}\) inorganic). T.C. applied with the onset of monsoon and \(A / S\) applied in 4 equal doses starting with the on set of monsoon and thereafter at an interval of one month. (viii) Spading twice. Interculture 4 times by means of bullocks. (ix) Nil. (x) Irrigated. (xi) \(58.97^{*}\). (xii) Nil.
3. TREATMENTS :
1. Planting peepers i.e. sprouts just emerging out of the ground with rhizome of parent plant.
2. Planting two months old sword suckers.
3. Planting three months old sword suckers.
4. Planting four months old sword suckers.
3. DESIGN :
(i) L. Sq. (ii) 4. (iii) 4 . (iv) Gröss : 6 rows of 6 plant each. net : 4 rows of 4 plants each. (v) \(1^{\prime}\) border row alround. (vi) Yes.

4 GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Height, girth and leaf count. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953. (b) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Nil.
5. RESULTS .

May-June Planting.
(i) 139.04 cm . (height) ; 11.90 (leaf count).
(ii) N.A. (for height) ; 0.33 (for leaf count).
(iii) Variations in height due to different treatments are not significant and variation in deaf count is significant.
(iv) Mean height and Mean Leaf count.
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
Treatment & Mean height (cm) May, 52 & Méan leaf count May, 52 \\
1. & 137.82 & 11.57 \\
2. & 140.47 & 12.32 \\
3. & 136.67 & 12.12 \\
.4. & \(141 \cdot 22\) & 11.60 \\
& & S.E./mean \\
& & \(=0.17\) (leaf count.)
\end{tabular}

\section*{September, October Planting}
(i) 99.73 cm (for height); 10.92 (for leaf count).
(ii) N.A.
(iii) Variation in height and leaf count due to different treatments are not significant.
(iv) Mean height and Mean Leaf count.
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
Treatment & Mean height (cm.) May 52 & Mean leaf count May 52 \\
1. & 94.92 & 10.77 \\
2. & .96 .50 & 10.87 \\
3. & 102.17 & 11.02 \\
4. & 105.23 & 11.00
\end{tabular}

\author{
Crop :- 'Banana. \\ Site :- State Horti. Res. Stn. Krishnagar. \\ \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ref : : 'W.B. } 52(60) . \\
& \text { Type :m 'C'. }
\end{aligned}
\]
}

Object :- To determine optimum age of suckers and best season of planting.
1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) Fallow for a year ; prior to this rainfed vegetables were grown. (ii) (a):Sandy loam. (b)-Refer soil analysis, Krishnagar. (iii) By suckers. (iv) Kabali (dwarf). (v) Monsoon planting 18th June 51 : Autum planting, 15 th Oct, 51 ; in pits of \(1^{\prime}\) depth and \(1^{\prime}\) diameter. (vi) As under treatments. (vii) \(8 \mathrm{oz} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{plant}\) ( \(\frac{1}{2}\) organic + \(\frac{1}{2}\) inorganic) ; T.C. applied at the time of onset of monsoon and A/S applied in 4 equal doses starting from onset of monsoon and thereafter at an interval of one month. (viii) Spading twice. Interculture-by bullocks four times. (ix) Nil. (x).Irrigated. (x) \(53.37^{\prime \prime}\). (xii) 28.11 52-20.5:53 for monsoon planting; 25.4.53-15.8.53 for autumn planting.

\section*{2. TREATMENTS :}
1. 'Planting peepers ie. sprouts just emerging out of the ground with rhizome of parent plant.
2. Planting two months old sword suckers.
3. Planting three months old sword suckers.
4. Planting four months old sword suckers.
3. DESIGN :
(i) L. Sq. (ii) 4. (iii) 4. (iv) Gross : 6 rows of 6 plants each, Net : 4 rows of 4 plants each. (v) \(1^{\prime}\) border row alround. (vi) Yes.

\section*{GENERAL :}
(i) Good. (ii) Spraying of D.D.T. ( \(0.1 \%\) wettable) 4 times at an interval of fortnight to avoid incidence of beetle on tender fruit. (iii) Height, girth, leaf count and yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1953. (b) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Nil.
5. RESULTS :

May-June planting.
(i) \(28.89 \mathrm{lb} . /\) plant.
(ii) N.A.
(iii) Variation in yield due to different treatments is not significant.
(vi) Av. yield of banana in lb ./plant.
\begin{tabular}{cl} 
Treatment & Av. yield \\
1. & 29.71 \\
2. & 29.11 \\
3. & 29.75 \\
4. & 27.00
\end{tabular}

\section*{September-October planting}
(i) \(22.26 \mathrm{lb} /\) plant.
(ii) 0.42 lb ./plant.
(iii) Variation in yield due to different treatments is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of banana in lb /plant.
\begin{tabular}{cl} 
Treatment & Av. yield \\
1. & 22.10 \\
2. & 21.75 \\
3. & 23.55 \\
4. & 21.65
\end{tabular}

Crop:- Banana.
Site :- State Horti. Res. Stn. Krishnagar.

Ref:- W.B. 53(79).
Type:- 'C'.

Object :- To determine optimum age of suckers and best season of planting.
1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) Fallow for a year. Prior to this rainfed vegetables were grown. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Krishnagar. (iii) By suckers. (iv) Kabali (dwarf). (v) Monsoon planting 18th June 51. Autumn planting. 15 th Oct. 8 pits of depth \(1^{\prime}\) and \(1^{\prime}\) diameter. (vi) As under treatments. (vii) 8 oz of \(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{plant}\) ( \(\frac{1}{2}\) organic \(+\frac{1}{2}\) inorganic) ; T.C, applied at the time of onset of monsoon and A/S applied in 4 equal doses starting from onset of monsoon and thereafter at intervals of one month. (viii) Spading twice. (ix) Nil. (x) Irrigated (xi) \(63.72^{\prime \prime}\). (xii) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :
1. Planting peepers i.e. sprouts just emerging out of the ground with rhizome of parent plant.
2. Planting two months old sword suckers.
3. Planting three months old sword suckers.
4. Planting four months old sword suckers.
3. DESIGN :
(i) L. Sq. (ii) 4 (iii) 4 . (iv) Gross : 6 rows of 6 plants each. Net : 4 rows of 4 plants each. (v) \(1^{\prime}\) border row alround. (vi) Yes.

\section*{4. GENERAL:}
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Height, girth, leaf count and yield (iv) (a) 1951-1953. (b) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Nil.

\section*{5. RESULTS :}

May-June planting.
(i) 28.25 lb ./plant.
(ii) N.A.
(iii) Variation in yield due to different treatments is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of banana in 1 b ./plant
\begin{tabular}{cc} 
Treatment & Av. yield \\
1. & 28.47 \\
2. & 24.72 \\
3. & 29.00 \\
4. & 30.80
\end{tabular}

\section*{Sept.-October planting.}
(i) \(19.77 \mathrm{lb} . /\) plant.
(ii) N.A.
(iii) Variation in yield due to different treatments is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of banana in lb./plant.
\begin{tabular}{cc} 
Treatment & Av. yield \\
1. & 19.75 \\
2. & 19.77 \\
3. & 19.75 \\
4. & 19.82
\end{tabular}

\section*{Crop:- Banana.}

Site :- State Horti. Res. Stn. Krishnagar.

Ref :- W.B. 51(20).
Type :- 'C'.

Object :- To devise optimum desuckering practice for perennial plantation.
1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam, alluvial. (b) Refer soil analysis, Krishnagar. (iii) By suckers. (iv) Champa. (v) 18.7.51; Spacing \(10^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}\) in pits \(3^{\prime}\) deep and \(3^{\prime}\) diameter. (vi) 3 months. (vii) A/S at 65 lb ./ac. top dressed on 11.6.51. G.N.C. at 312 lb ./ac. as basal dressing. (viii) Spading, ploughing and desuckering. (ix) Nil. (x) N.A. (xi) \(58.97^{\prime \prime}\). (July 51 -June 52. (xii) 1.8 .52 to 18.1 .53 (No harvest in the 1st year).
2. TREATMENTS:
1. All suckers allowed to grow.
2. The first and third suckers allowed to grow.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Paired plot. (ii) 2 ; net plot size \(30^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}\). (iii) 6 . (iv) 25 . (v) Nil. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Height, girth, weight of bunch, no. of hands and fingers/bunch, yield of fruit. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1954. (b) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) \(9425 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\).
(ii) \(1122 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\).
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of banana in lb./ac.
\begin{tabular}{cc} 
Treatment & Av. yield. \\
1. & 8855 \\
2. & 9995 \\
S.E. \(/\) mean & \(=458 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
\end{tabular}

Crop :-Banana.
Site :-State Horti. Res. Stn. Krishnagar.
Ref:-W.B. 52(51).
Type: : 'C'.

Object :-To devise optimum desuckering practice for perennial plantation.
1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam-alluvial. (b) Refer soil analysis, Krishnagar. (iii) By suckers. (iv) Champa. (v) 18.7.51; Spacing \(10^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}\) in pits \(3^{\prime}\) deep and \(3^{\prime}\) diameter. (vi) 3 months. (vii) \(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}\) at 65 lb ./ac. as top dressing and G.N.C. at 312 lb ./ac. as basal dressing. (viii) Spading, ploughing and desuckering. (ix) Nil. (x) N.A. (xi) \(50.37^{\prime \prime}\) (July 52—June 53). (xii) 1.8.52 to 18.1.53.
2. TREATMENTS :
1. All suckers allowed to grow.
2. The first and third suckers allowed to grow.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Paired plot. (ii) 2 ; net plot size \(30^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}\). (iii) 6. (iv) 25. (v) Nil. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Weight of bunch, no. of hands and fingers per bunch and yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1954. (b) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) \(11279 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(ii) \(521.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of banana in \(\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
\begin{tabular}{cc} 
Treatment & Av. yield \\
1. & 9474 \\
2. & 13084 \\
S.E/mean & \(=212.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
\end{tabular}

\author{
Crop :-Banana. \\ Ref:-W.B. 53(69) \\ Site :-State Horti. Res. Stn. Krishnagar. \\ Type:-‘C'.
}

Object :-To devise optimum desuckering practice for perennial plantation.
1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam-alluvial. (b) Refer soil analysis, Krishnagar. (iii) By suckers. (iv) Champa. (v) 18.7.51,' spacing \(10^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}\) in pits \(3^{\prime}\) deep and \(3^{\prime}\) diameter. (vi) 3 months. (vii) A/S top dressed at 65 lb ./ac. on 30.9.53, A/S top dressed 130 lb ./ac. on 7.12 .53 , A/S top dressed 130 lb ./ac. on 16.5 .54, T.C. 208 md ./ac. applied on 7.12.53. (viii) Spading, ploughing and desuckering. (ix) Nil. (x) N.A. (xi) 64.72" (July 53-June 54). (xii) 9.1.54 to 2.5.54.
2. TREATMENTS :
1. All suckers allowed to grow.
2. The first and third suckers allowed to grow.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Paired plot. (ii) 2 ; net plot size \(30^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}\). (iii) 6. (iv) 25 . (v) Nil. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Weight of bunch, no. of hands and fingers per bunch. (iv) (a) 1953-54. (b) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) \(10202 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(ii) 1355 lb. .ac.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly
(iv) Av. yield of banana in \(\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
\begin{tabular}{cc} 
Treatment & Av. yield \\
1. & 7869 \\
2. & 12535 \\
S.E./mean & \(=553 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
\end{tabular}

Crop :-Banana.
Site :-State Horti. Res. Stn. Krishnagar.

Ref:-W.B. 50(22)
Type :^'C’.

Object :- To determine optimum spacing for dwarf variety.
1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Krishnagar. (iii) By suckers. (iv) Kabali. (v) 20th July 1950, suckers placed in pits of \(1.5^{\prime}\) depth and \(1.5^{\prime}\) diameter. (vi) 2 to 3 months. (vii) Nil. (viii) Ploughing and laddering twice. (ix) Nil. (x) Unirrigated. (xi) \(50.51^{* *}\). (xii) Plants are not in bearing stage.
2. TREATMENTS:

Spacing between plants.
1. \(8^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}\).
2. \(6^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}\).
3. DESIGN :
(i) Paired plot. (ii) 2. (iii) 6. (iv) 9 for treatment 1 and 16 for treatment 2. (v) Single border line alround.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Below Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Height and leaf count. (iv) (a) 1950 to:1954. (b) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi), Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 66.05 cm . (height); 12.87 (leaf count).
(ii) N.A.
(iii) Treatments are not significantly different for height and leaf count.
(iv) Mean height and leaf count.
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
Treatment & Mean height (in cm.) & Mean leaf count \\
1. & 62.30 & 12.91 \\
2. & 69.80 & 12.83
\end{tabular}

Crop:- Banana.
Site :- State Horti. Res Stn. Krishnagar

Ref:- W.B. 51(31).
Type :- ' C '.

Object :-To determine optimum spacing for dwarf variety.
1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam (b) Refer soil analysis, Krishnagar. (iii) By suckers (iv) Kabali (v) 20th July, 1950 ; suckers placed in pits of \(1.5^{\prime}\) depth and \(1.5^{\prime}\) diameter (vi) 2 to 3 months. (vii) \(8 \mathrm{oz} . \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{plant}\) ( \(\frac{1}{2}\) organic \(+\frac{1}{2}\) inorganic) ; T.C. mixed with soil at the onset of monsoon and A/S divided into 4 parts ; one part applied at the onset of monsoon within the diameter of plant and other three at interval of one month. (viii) Spading twice (ix) Nil (x) Unirrigated (xi) 58.97". (xii) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

Spacing between plants:-
1. \(8^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}\).
2. \(6^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}\).
3. DESIGN :
(i) Paried plot (ii) 2 (iii) 6 (iv) 9 for treatment 1 and 16 for treatment 2. (v) Single border line alround (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good (ii) Nil. Spraying of D.D.T. ( \(0.1 \%\) wettable) four times at an interval of fortnight to avoid incidence of pests and diseases. (iii) Height, girth, leaf count, yield per plant and per plot, number of hands and fingers/bunch: (iv) (a) 1950 to 1954. (b) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) \(154.62 \mathrm{lb} . /\) plot.
(ii) 39.02 lb ./plot.
(iii) Treatment difference is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of banana in lb./plot. (1st crop)
\begin{tabular}{cc} 
Treatment & Av. yield. \\
1. & 119.10 \\
2. & 190.13 \\
S.E./mean & \(=15.93 \mathrm{lb}\)./plot.
\end{tabular}

\author{
Crop:- Banana. \\ Ref :- W.B. 52(57). \\ Site :- State Horti. Res. Stn. Krishnagar. \\ Type : ' C '.
}

Object :-To determine optimum spacing for dwarf variety.

\section*{1. BASAL CONDITIONS :}
(i) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam (b) Refer soil analysis, Krishnagar. (iii) By suckers (iv) Kabali (v) 20th July, 1950 ; suckers placed in pits of \(1.5^{\prime}\) depth and \(1.5^{\prime}\) diameter (vi) 2 to 3 months. (vii) \(8 \mathrm{oz} . \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{plant}\) ( \(\frac{1}{2}\) organic \(+\frac{1}{2}\) inorganic) ; organic manure (T.C.) applied soon after onset of monsoon and A/S applied in 4 doses starting from onset of monson and at interval of one month, there after mixed with soil and applied within the diameter of plant. (viii) Spading twice (ix) Nil (x) Irrigation (xi) \(50.37^{*}\) (xii) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

Spacing between plants :-
1. \(8^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}\).
2. \(6^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}\).

\section*{3. DESIGN :}
(i) Paired plot (ii) 2 (iii) 6 (iv) 9 for treatment 1 and 16 for treatment 2. (v) Single border line alround. (vi) Yes

\section*{4. GENERAL :}
(i) Good (ii) Nil, spraying of D.D.T. ( \(0.1 \%\) wettable) at an interval of fortnight to avoid incidence of beetle. (iii) Height, girth and yield/plot. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1954 (b) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Nil.

\section*{5. RESULTS:}
(i) 333.14 lb. plot.
(ii) 28.98 lb ./plot.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of banana in lb ./plot.
\begin{tabular}{cc} 
Treatment & Av. yield. \\
1. & 230.31 \\
2. & 435.97 \\
S.E./mean & \(=11.83 \mathrm{lb}\)./plot.
\end{tabular}

Crop:- Banana.
Site :- State Horti. Res. Stn. Krishnagar.

Ref :- W.B. 53(76).
Type :- ' C '.

Object :-To determine optimum spacing for dwarf variety.

\section*{1. BASAL CONDITIONS :}
(i) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Krishnagar. (iii) By suckers (iv) Kabali (v) 20th July, 1950 ; suckers placed in pits of \(1.5^{\prime}\) depth and \(1.5^{\prime}\) diameter. (vi) 2 to 3 months. (vii) 8 oz . N/plant
 soil and applied in 4 equal doses starting with the onset of monsoon and continuing thereafter at an interval of a month. (viii) Spading twice (ix) Nil (x) Irrigated (xi) 64.72" (sii) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS:

Spacing between plants :-
1. \(8^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}\) 。
2. \(6^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}\).
3. DESIGN :
(i) Paired plot (ii) 2 (iii) 6 (iv) 9 for treatment 1 and 16 for treatment 2. (v) Single border line alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good (ii) Spraying D.D.T. ( \(0.1 \%\) wettable) 4 times at an interval of fortnight to avoid incidence of beetle. (iii) Height, girth and yield/plot. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1954 (b) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) \(23.1 .29 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{plot}\).
(ii) 15.61 lb ./plot.
(iii) Treatments are significantly different.
(iv) Av. yield of banana in lb./plot.
\begin{tabular}{cc} 
Treatment & Av. yield. \\
1. & 164.25 \\
2. & 298.33 \\
S.E./mean & \(=6.37 \mathrm{lb}\)./plot.
\end{tabular}

\section*{Crop:- Banana.}

Site :- State Horti. Res. Stn. Krishnagar:

Ref :- W.B. 50(23).
Type :- 'CV'

Object:-To determine optimum spacing for tall variety.
1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) Fallow for a year. Prior to this, there were rainfed vegetables. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Krishnagar. (iii) By suckers. (iv) Champa and Martaman. (v) 20th July 1950 ; in pits \(1.5^{\prime}\) in diameter and \(1.5^{\prime}\) in depth. (vi) 2.5 months old. (vii) 15 srs. of compost and 4 oz . of N as A/S per plant. Mixed with soil and applied \(4-5\) times in instalments within the diameter of the plant. (viii) Spading, ploughing, desuckering and weeding. (ix) Nil. (x) Irrigated, (xi) \(50.51^{\prime \prime}\). (xii) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 varieties viz. Martaman and Champa.
(2) 2 spacings viz. \(12^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}\) and \(9^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}\).
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (Fact.). (ii) 4. (iii) 4 (iv) Net area \(36^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime} ; 16\) for \(9^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}\) spacing and 9 for \(12^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}\) spacing.
(v) Single border row alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Not good. (ii) Nil. : (iii) Height, girth and leaf count. (iv): (a) 1950 to 1954. (b) N.A. (v) NiI. (vi) Nil.
5. RESUL̇TS :
(i) 152.96 cm . (height) ; 10.24 (leaf count).
(ii) N.A.
(iii) Treatments are not significantly different.
(iv) Mean height and mean leaf count.


\author{
Crop:- Banana. \\ Site :- State Horti. Res. Stn. Krishnagar. \\ Ref: : W.B. 51(33). \\ Type :- 'CV'.
}

Object :-To determine optimum spacing for tall variety.

\section*{1. BASAL CONDITIONS}
(i) Fallow for a year. Prior to that, rainfed vegetables grown. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Krlshnagar. (iii) By suckers. (iv) Champa and Martaman. (v) 20 th July, 1950; in pits \(1.5^{\prime}\) in depth and \(1.5^{\prime}\) in diameter. (vi) 2.5 months old. (vii) \(8 \mathrm{oz} \mathrm{N} /\) plant. ( \(\frac{1}{2}\) organic \(+\frac{1}{2}\) inorganic). T.C. applied at the time of onset of monsoon and \(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}\) applied in 4 equal doses starting from onset of monsoon and thereafter at monthly interval. (viii) 5.6 interculturings by a cultivator. (ix) Nil. (x) Irrigated. (xi) 58.97". (xii) N.A.

\section*{2. TREATMENTS :}

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 varieties viz. Martaman and Champa.
(2) 2 spacings viz. \(12^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}\) and \(9^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}\).
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (Fact.) (ii) 4. (iii) 4 . (iv) Net area \(36^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime}\); 16 for \(9^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}\) spacing and 9 for \(12^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}\) spacing. (v) Single border row alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Height, girth, leaf count and yield (iv) (a) 1950 to 19:4. (b) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) \(233.30 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{plot}\).
(ii) \(271.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{plot}\).
(iii) Main effects of spacing, variety and their interaction are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of banana in lb ./plot.
\begin{tabular}{c|cc|c}
\multicolumn{2}{|c|}{ Spacing } \\
Variety & \(12^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}\) & \(9^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}\) & Mean \\
\hline Martaman & 175.75 & 355.20 & 265.48 \\
Champa & 145.87 & 256.37 & 201.12 \\
\hline Mean & 169.81 & 305.79 & 233.30
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { S.E. of marginal mean of variety or spacing } & =9.60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{plot} . \\ \text { S.E. of body of table } & =13.57 \mathrm{lb} . / \text { plot. }\end{array}\)

Crop:- Banana.
Site :- State Horti. Res. Stn. Krishnagar.

Ref:- W.B. 52(59).
Type :- 'CV'.

Object :-To determine optimum spacing for tall variety.

\section*{1. BASAL CONDITIONS:}
(i) Fallow for a year. Prior to this rainfed vegetables were grown. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Krishnagar. (iii) By suckers. (iv) Champa and Martaman. (v) 20 th July, 1950 in pits \(1.5^{\prime}\) in depth and \(1.5^{\prime}\) diameter. (vi) 2.5 months old. (vii) \(8 \mathrm{oz} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{plant}\). ( \(\frac{1}{2}\) organic \(+\frac{1}{2}\) inorganic) ; T.C. applied at the onset of monsoon and \(A / S\) applied in 4 equal doses starting with the onset of monsoon and thereafter on monthly intervals. (viii) 5-6 intercultural operations by cultivator. (ix) Nil. (x) Irrigated. (xi) 50.37". (xii) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 varieties viz. Martaman and Champa.
(2) 2 spacings viz- \(12^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}\) and \(9^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}\).
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (Fact.). (ii) 4. (iii) 4. (iv) Net area \(36^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime} ; 16\) for \(9^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}\) spacing and 9 for \(12^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}\) spacing. (v) Single border trow alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Spraying of D.D.T. ( \(0.1 \%\) wettable) 4 ©times an anterval of fortnight to avoid incidence of beetle. (iii) Height, girth, leaf count and yield. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1954. (b) N.A. (v) Nil, (vi) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 372.02 lb ./plot.
(ii) \(27.36 \mathrm{lb} . /\) plot.
(iii) Main effect of spacing is highly significant and main effect of variety is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of banana in \(\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{plot}\).
\begin{tabular}{c|cc|c} 
& \multicolumn{2}{c}{ Spacing } \\
Variety & \(12^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}\) & \(9^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}\) & Mean \\
\hline Martaman & 247.00 & 452.68 & 349.84 \\
Champa & 290.65 & 497.77 & 394.21 \\
\hline Mean & 268.82 & 475.22 & 372.02
\end{tabular}
S.E. of marginal mean of variety or spacing \(=9.67 \mathrm{lb} . /\) plot.
S.E. of body of table \(\quad=13.68 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{plot}\).

Crop :- Banana.
Site :- State Horti. Res. Stn. Krishnagar.

\section*{Ref :- W.B. 53 (78). \\ Type :n 'CV'.}

Object :-To determine optimum spacing for tall variety.

\section*{1. BASAL CONDITIONS :}
(i) Fallow for a ycar. Prior to this rainfed vegatables were grown. (ii) (a) Sandy loam (b) Refer soil analysis, Krishnagar. (iii) By suckers (iv) Champa and Martaman (v) 20th July, 1950 in pits 1.5 in depth and \(1.5^{\prime}\) diameter. (vi) 2.5 months old. (vii) 8 oz. N/plant ( \(\frac{1}{2}\) organic \(+\frac{1}{2}\) inorganic); T.C. applied at the onset of monsoon and A/S applied in 4 equal doses starting with the onset of monsoon and thereafter on monthly interval. (viii) 5-6 intercultural operations by cultivator. (ix) Nil (x) Irrigated (xi) 64.72" (xii) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 varieties viz. Martaman and Champa.
(2) 2 spacings viz. \(12^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}\) and \(9^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}\).
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (Fact.). (ii) 4 (iii) 4 (iv) Net area \(36^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime}\); 16 for \(9^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}\) spacing and 9 for \(12^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}\) spacing.
(v) Single border row alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good (ii) Nil (iii) Height, girth, leaf count and yield/plot (iv) (a) 1950 to 1954 (b) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 336.09 lb ./plot.
(ii) \(34.02 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{plot}\).
(iii) Main effect of spacing alone is bigbly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of banana in \(\mathbf{l b}\)./plot.
```


[^0]:    A.D. Pandit

    Vice-President,
    Indian Council of Agricultural Research.

[^1]:    *Owing to transfers and other changes more than one Regional Supervisor have been shown against several states as these officers have acted as Regional Supervisors during different periods from 1955 to 1962.

